Work-in-Progress: Survey Development to Examine Connections Between Engineering Identity and Engineering Student Support

Dr. Leslie Hopkinson, West Virginia University

Dr. Leslie Hopkinson is an Associate Professor in the Wadsworth Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering in the Statler College of Engineering and Mineral Resources, specializing in water resources. She received her B.S. in Biological and Agricultural Engineering at Louisiana State University and her Ph.D. in Biological Systems Engineering at Virginia Tech. Her research is related to hydrology, reclamation, ecological engineering, and engineering education.

Lynette Michaluk, West Virginia University

PI, is a social sciences researcher at the West Virginia University Center for Excellence in STEM Education. Her research interests include broadening access to and participation in STEM. She is Co-PI of the National Science Foundation KY-WV Louis Stokes Alliance for Minority Participation and Research Scientist for Secure and Upgrade Computer Science in Classrooms through an Ecosystem with Scalability & Sustainability. She is evaluator for RII Track 2 FEC: Enabling Factory to Factory (F2F) Networking for Future Manufacturing, and Department of Education Title III Strengthening Potomac State College, as well as several National Aeronautics and Space Administration STEM education initiatives.

Dr. Lizzie Santiago, West Virginia University

Lizzie Y. Santiago, Ph.D., is a Teaching Associate Professor for the Fundamentals of Engineering Program in the Benjamin M. Statler College of Engineering and Mineral Resources. She holds a Ph.D. in Chemical Engineering and has postdoctoral training in ne

Work-in-Progress: Survey Development to Examine Connections Between Engineering Identity and Engineering Student Support

Introduction

Several instruments have been developed to assess students' science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) identity (e.g., [1], [2]). These instruments include evaluation components like recognition, performance and competence, interest and belonging. For example, the instrument developed by Goodwin and Kirn [1] for evaluating engineering role identity includes elements that evaluate perceptions of engineering role identity and future time perspectives. Three items are related to engineering role identity (i.e., interest, recognition, performance/competence).

In addition, multiple instruments seek to identify student support needs of college students in STEM (e.g., [3], [4]). Lee and Matusovich [5] developed an empirically based concept model of co-curricular support (MCCS) for undergraduate engineering students which identified six essential areas of student support in engineering: i) academic support, ii) faculty-interaction support, iii) extra-curricular support, iv) peer-interaction support, v) professional development support, and vi) additional support. Lee et al. [3] then developed the STEM Student Perspectives of Support Instrument (STEM-SPSI) to measure the perceptions of a student population in STEM. The instrument includes twelve factors of student support (academic advising support, academic peer support, faculty support, STEM faculty connections, student affairs support, out-of-class engagement, STEM peer connections, general career development, cost-of-attendance support and planning, and diversity and inclusion).

This work-in-progress paper describes the development of a survey to examine the connections between engineering identity and engineering student support services in civil and environmental engineering (CEE) undergraduate students. The questionnaire is based on Godwin and Kirn's [1] instrument for evaluating engineering role identity and the STEM Student Perspectives of Support Instrument (STEM-SPSI) developed by Lee et al. [3].

Civil and environmental engineering (CEE) questionnaire development

Two existing validated surveys were used in the development of our CEE questionnaire: Godwin and Kirn's [1] instrument for evaluating engineering role identity and the STEM Student Perspectives of Support Instrument (STEM-SPSI, Lee et al. [3]). Demographic items were also included. The first iteration of our survey included all survey items from the existing surveys. This iteration resulted in a survey that was expected to take an average of over 10 minutes to complete. To encourage participation and completion by undergraduate civil engineering students, our overall intent was to revise this iteration of the questionnaire so that it could be completed in 5-10 min. The following sections describe the main portions CEE survey instrument, and the major changes made to the existing survey instruments.

Engineering identity

We followed the definition of engineering role identity reported by Godwin and Kirn [1] and

began with their instrument for evaluating engineering role identity. The published instrument includes elements that evaluate perceptions of engineering role identity and future time perspectives. We began by including only items related to engineering role identity (i.e., interest, recognition, performance/competence to define). The current version of the survey includes the single item of a students' overall engineering identity (i.e., "I see myself an engineer.") with a Likert scale response (i.e., 1=Does not apply to me; 2=Completely disagree, 3=disagree; 4=Neither agree nor disagree; 5=Agree, 6=Completely agree).

Student support services

We adapted the STEM Student Perspectives of Support Instrument (STEM-SPSI) developed by Lee et al. [3] First, survey questions were changed to focus on engineering experiences rather than STEM. "STEM" in the variable names was edited to "Engineering". Overall, the number of items was reduced from 70 to 35 (Table 1). The same Likert scale was used for all survey items (i.e., 1=Does not apply to me; 2=Completely disagree, 3=Disagree; 4=Neither agree nor disagree; 5=Agree, 6=Completely agree).

	Number of survey items		
Variable	STEM-SPSI [3]	CEE Instrument	
Academic advising support	3	3	
Academic peer support	4	3	
Faculty support	8	5	
STEM* faculty connections	7	5	
STEM* peer connections	5	4	
Graduate student connections	5	0	
Out-of-class engagement	8	5	
Student affairs support	3	0	
STEM* career development	10	6	
General career development	5	4	
Cost-of-attendance support and planning	7	0	
Diversity and inclusion	5	0	

Table 1. Number of Variables and S	urvey Items in	STEM-SPSI	Survey [3]	and the CEE
Instru	ment in Devel	lopment		

*STEM changed to "Engineering" for CEE instrument

Demographic data

Demographic data collection was positioned at the end of the survey to avoid stereotype threat and priming [6]. Guidance by Fernandez et al. [6] was used to inform comprehensive and data collection, and questions were informed from a survey presented by McEldowney et al. [7]. Items related to academic major, academic classification, transfer student status, and general demographic data were included.

Progress and future work

The surveys will be online-based and administered through Qualtrics. The questionnaire was reviewed by faculty prior to distribution. WVU Institutional Review Board (WVU IRB) approval is on file. Flyers for participation were distributed in November 2024; participation recruitment and data collection will continue in spring 2025.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 2406798. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References

- A. Godwin and A. Kirn, "Identity-based motivation: Connections between first-year students' engineering role identities and future-time perspectives," *Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 362–383, 2020, doi: <u>10.1002/jee.20324</u>.
- [2] S. Liu, S. Xu, Q. Li, H. Xiao, and S. Zhou, "Development and validation of an instrument to assess students' science, technology, engineering, and mathematics identity," *Phys. Rev. Phys. Educ. Res.*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 010138, Jun. 2023, doi: <u>10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.19.010138</u>.
- [3] W. C. Lee, J. L. Hall, A. Godwin, D. B. Knight, and D. Verdín, "Operationalizing and monitoring student support in undergraduate engineering education," *Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 82–110, 2022, doi: <u>10.1002/jee.20431</u>.
- [4] P. Hardy and M. Aruguete, "Needs assessment in STEM disciplines: reliability, validity and factor structure of the Student Support Needs Scale (SSNS)," Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 553–562, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1080/02602938.2013.857642.
- [5] W. C. Lee and H. M. Matusovich, "A Model of Co-Curricular Support for Undergraduate Engineering Students," *Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 406–430, 2016, doi: <u>10.1002/jee.20123</u>.
- [6] T. Fernandez *et al.*, "More Comprehensive and Inclusive Approaches to Demographic Data Collection," presented at the 2016 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Jun. 2016. Accessed: Oct. 12, 2023. [Online]. Available: <u>https://peer.asee.org/more-comprehensiveand-inclusive-approaches-to-demographic-data-collection</u>
- [7] T. McEldowney, J. Deshler, and L. Michaluk, "Knowledge-GAP Survey Instrument," *Faculty & Staff Scholarship*, Jan. 2023, [Online]. Available: <u>https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/faculty_publications/3291</u>