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Using Service Oriented Remote Laboratories in Engineering Courses

Abstract

This paper suggests a new approach to perform the laboratories sessions in engineering courses using remote laboratory. Our approach is that for each laboratory session, there are sets of user interfaces designed as services available for the learners. Each service allows applying some inputs (if any) to the physical equipment and returns some selected output signals from the equipment. These output signals can be measured and/or saved. In order to obtain the required results formulated by the teacher in his/her learning scenario, the remote learner has to select the services that match the best, and be able to perform all necessary calculations and analysis. We refer to this approach as constructional, since, to achieve a given task, the user has to search and choose the appropriate user interfaces designed as services among many others available. The teacher is also able to assess whether the learner has understood the theoretical concepts previously studied in class. The proposed approach is used in a Control System Laboratory session using a DC motor remotely controlled and in Electric circuit analysis course.
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Introduction

During their studies in engineering courses, students carry out simulations and/or real experiments in order to understand or verify some theoretical concepts. The simulations with software tools have a unique benefit in that they can be used by an unlimited number of the students without geographical or temporal limitations. Online laboratories based on simulations are called Virtual Laboratories [1-5]. On the other hand, hands-on/physical experiments require equipment. With the traditional approach of performing experiment directly with equipment, the need for equipment grows proportionally to the increase of the number of students, often resulting in considerable expenses. Following hands-on approach, the only way for universities to share the same equipment is if the students of other universities are moving physically to a given university to perform their laboratory sessions. We all now know that one way to overcome this drawback is the use of remote laboratories [6-14]. Remote laboratories allow students to access and manipulate real equipment located anywhere in the world through the Internet via dedicated interfaces, thanks to the development of Information and Communication Technologies. In most proposed remote laboratory work, the users only remotely interact with the real device to change some parameters, perform some calculations and analyze the obtained results.

The main contribution of this paper is to propose an approach which allows the students not only to change some parameters of the dedicated interface of the remote laboratory,
but also apply critical thinking to reconfigure the system to optimize the performance using the available set of service oriented resources for each experiment.

The paper consists of the following sections. Section 2 gives the description of the proposed approach. Section 3 illustrates the application of the proposed approach using a DC Motor control experiment, and circuit analysis, and finally conclusions are drawn in section 4.

**Proposed approach**

With the traditional approach, generally the experiment session results in systematically performing predetermined steps and then analyzing the obtained results. The proposed approach is different, allowing students to select by themselves the appropriate services for a given experiment in order to perform the required tasks set in the teacher’s learning goals. The flowchart of the proposed approach is shown in Figure 1.

Fig. 1. Flow chart of the proposed approach
As one can see from Figure 1, there are the following main steps:

1) **Set of available experiments:** All currently available experiments are displayed.
2) **Selection of a given experiment:** At this step the user selects a given experiment among the available experiments. Usually this selection is imposed by the teacher.
3) **Services related to the selected experiment:** For each experiment a set of services is available.
4) **Selection of a given service:** Ordering selection of some or all services to be executed will allow achievement of all goals fixed by the teacher. Before executing the next service, it is sometimes necessary to calculate some parameters from the results obtained from the previous services.
5) **Configure and run the selected service:** Only one service can be executed at a time. Generally, in this case the service configuration is limited to choosing appropriate inputs values in the interface of the service. After the execution of the service the related outputs parameters will be available. If necessary the service can be executed again and again.
6) **Analysis of the obtained results:** Before putting the results in the lab report, it is sometimes necessary to analyze the adequateness of the obtained results.

We used LabVIEW™ 2013 Service Oriented feature to implement all the programs controlling the real processes.

The generic interface of each service consists of:

- The remote panel that is the front panel of the VI (a LabVIEW Software component) viewed in a web page [15]. The remote panel has the same functionality as the front panel.
- A live video to watch the real process when the service is running. This is to imitate the traditional experiment session. For example for the DC Motor Control experiment which will be used as case study in section 3 of this paper the user can watch the rotation of the motor during the execution of the service.
- A link to go back to all services of a given experiment. This link is useful, since generally to achieve required goals of an experiment session it necessary to use several services.
Experimenting with the proposed approach

The proposed approach is applied to an experiment called "DC Motor Control Experiment". The setup used for this experiment is a QNET™ DC Motor Control Trainer acquired from Quanser™ shown in figure 2.

Figure 2. QNET™ DC Motor Control Trainer.

This type of the experiment is proposed to the undergraduate students in control systems course in an engineering school in Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

For this experiment the following services are available:

**Service 1:** Open Loop Response. With this service the user first selects the voltage to apply to the motor. The amplitude of the applied voltage is limited to a given interval. After selecting the applied voltage the user pushes the Start button and the motor’s speed variation is displayed on the graph. The motor runs for 2 seconds and stops.

**Service 2:** Closed Loop Response with P Controller. With this service the user first selects the desired speed reference, calculates the gain value (Gp) of the proportional controller depending on the desired performance and pushes the Start button. The motor’s speed variation will be displayed on the graph. The motor runs for 3 seconds and stops.
**Service 3:** Closed Loop Response with PI Controller. This service is the same as Service 2 but the user needs to calculate and then set the gains $G_p$ and $G_I$ of the proportional integral controller.

**Service 4:** Closed Loop Response with PID Controller. This service is the same as Service 2 but the user needs to calculate and then set the gains $G_p$, $G_I$, $G_d$ of the proportional integral derivative controller.

To understand how these services are used, assume that the teacher provides the following learning scenario.

**Learning scenario and Lab Report (From the teacher)**

Use the DC Motor Control Experiment to determine the value of the gain $G_p$ that allows reaching a steady state error (ess) equal to 15% when the reference speed is a step signal of amplitude 150 rad/s. The setup has the following block diagrams:

The block diagram of the DC Motor in open loop is:

![Block diagram of the DC Motor in open loop](image1)

Figure 3. Block diagram of the DC Motor in open loop.

$V(s)$ and $\Omega(s)$ are the Laplace transforms of the applied voltage to the motor and speed respectively, and $GM(s)$ is the transfer function of the motor.

The block diagram of the DC Motor in closed loop is:

![Block diagram of the DC Motor in closed loop](image2)

Figure 4. Block diagram of the DC Motor in closed loop.
R(s) and Ω(s) are the Laplace transform of the reference speed (desired speed) or actual speed respectively; G_c(s) and G_M(s) are the transfer functions of the used controller and motor respectively.

Indicate in the lab report all services and computation procedures used to achieve the set goal.

**Provided Lab Report (From the student)**

**Determination of G_p**

The steady-state error $e_{ss}$ for a step input is determined by [16]:

$$e_{ss} = \frac{1}{1 + K_p} \quad (1)$$

Where $K_p$ is the position constant error.

According to the requirement we need $e_{ss} = 15\%$ (0.15). From equation (1) the required value of $K_p$ is 5.666.

Now determine the expression of the $K_p$ from the block diagram of the system in the closed loop. The controller is a proportional one with gain $G_p$, $G_c(s) = G_p$. In this case the block diagram of Figure 4 can be reduced to the following block diagram with unity feedback:

![Figure 5: Block diagram of the DC Motor with unity feedback](image)

From Figure 5:

$$K_p = 0.0032 G_p \lim_{s \to 0} G_M(s) \quad (2)$$

For the sake of simplicity let:
\[ K_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} G_M(s) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Taking into account (3) and the required value of \( K_p \):

\[ G_p = \frac{5.666}{0.0032K_{ss}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\( K_{ss} \) is called a steady state gain of the system. It is defined as the ratio of the output (speed in this case) value in steady state to the input value (applied voltage to the motor in this case). The procedure to determine \( K_{ss} \) is illustrated in the subsection below.

**Determination of \( K_{ss} \)**

Based on the definition of \( K_{ss} \), the Open Loop Response service is the only service which allows reaching this gain. The screenshot after configuring (Setting the applied voltage in this case to 4.5 Volts) and running the service is shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Interface of the Open Loop Response Service](attachment:image.png)
The steady state gain is determined by the following:

\[ K_{ss} = \frac{\omega_{ss}}{V} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where \( \omega_{ss} \) is the value of the motor’s speed in steady state and \( V \) is the amplitude of the applied voltage to motor. From figure 6, placing the cursor 0 in steady state, we can read that \( \omega_{ss} = 125.6 \, \text{rad/s} \). Taking into account that the amplitude of the applied voltage is 4.5 V (see figure 6), we have: \( K_{ss} = 27.911 \, \text{rad/s.V} \).

**Validation of the obtained result**

Taking into account that \( K_{ss} = 27.911 \), \( G_p = 63.438 \).

In order to validate the determined value of \( G_p \) we configure (Setting the reference speed to 150 rad/s and \( G_p = 63.438 \)) and run the service Closed loop with P Controller. The screenshot of the service interface is shown in figure 7.

![Figure 7. Interface of the closed loop with P Controller service.](image)

From Figure 7, placing the cursor 0 in steady state, we can read that \( \omega_{ss} = 128.2 \, \text{rad/s} \).

The real steady state error obtained is:
Note that the obtained real steady state error (14.533%) is nearly the desired steady state error (15%).

The proposed approach is also applied to Kirchhoff’s Laws experiments for undergraduate students in electrical circuit course.

For this experiment the following services are available:

**Service 1:** Measurements of Voltages. With this service for a given circuit the interested nodes are shown on the circuit. In order to measure voltage across given nodes, the user has to:

a) Select the appropriate nodes (number and polarity for each node);

b) Select the amplitude of the voltage source to be applied to the circuit;

c) Read the correspondent value on a numerical indicator.

**Service 2:** Measurements of currents. With this service, for the nodes where the circuit can be opened are shown. In order to measure a current flowing across a given branch the user has to:

a) Select the amplitude of the voltage source to be applied to the circuit;

b) Select the appropriate nodes (number and polarity for each node);

c) Read the correspondent value on a numerical indicator.

**Learning scenario and Lab Report (From the teacher)**

Given the circuit shown in Figure 8:

![Analyzed circuit](image)

Figure 8. Analyzed circuit.

Use Kirchhoff’s Laws Experiment to verify the Kirchhoff voltage law for the loop formed by resistors R2, R3, R4 and R5 given that the value of the amplitude the generator E = 10 Volts.

In your Lab report do the following:

a) Select the appropriate service(s).
The interface of the appropriate service is shown below:

![Image of the interface of the Measurements of Voltages Service](image)

**Figure 9. Interface of the Measurements of Voltages Service**

b) Write the Kirchhoff’s voltage law and verify this law with the measured voltages.

**Expected Lab Report to be filled by the student**

a) Selection of the service

Kirchhoff’s voltage law is based on summing voltages around loops, so in this case the Service 1 is the appropriate service as it allows to measure voltages across all elements of the circuit.

b) Applying Kirchhoff’s voltage law (KVL)

The selected signs for the nodes are shown in the table below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sign</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measured voltages are shown in the table below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltages</th>
<th>$U_{R2}$</th>
<th>$U_{R3}$</th>
<th>$U_{R4}$</th>
<th>$U_{R5}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values, V</td>
<td>1.501</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.007</td>
<td>1.503</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the table above $U_{Ri}$ is the voltage across the resistor $R_i$

In this the KVL equation clockwise around the loop using passive sign convention is:

$U_{R3} - U_{R5} + U_{R4} - U_{R2} = 0$ (6)

Taking into account the measured values of the voltages, we obtain:

$1.03 - 1.503 + 2.007 - 1.501 = 0.033$.

Note that the obtained sum is close to zero.
Conclusion

With the proposed approach, the teacher can choose either to ask students to perform the predetermined steps of a given experiment or give them the opportunity to choose for themselves all the steps required in order to achieve the goals of the experiment. The latter approach is the best way to assess the student’s learning process. Applying the approach we were able to find out if the learner truly understood the theoretical concepts learned in Control System course. Moreover, we found that expanding several services of each experiment allows more flexibility when many institutions remotely share the same devices. Furthermore, the teacher is then able to formulate the goals of an experiment according to the learning outcomes he/she expects.

In this paper the application of the proposed approach to experiment in Control systems course has been illustrated. We provide an example of how we are using the same approach for Electric circuit analysis. The approach can also be used in the context of some other courses. The usefulness of the proposed approach depends on the ability of the teacher to provide adequate set of services for each experiment and the detailed description of the functionality of each service. In a future work we will specifically address, in the framework of this approach, the issue of the collaborative use of a remote laboratory with not only one single service at a time but in cases where multiples services are needed to perform a laboratory learning task. We will also orient our effort toward the compliance of our approach with the ongoing IEEE-SA P1876™ Working Group achievements [17].
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