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Reimagining Energy Year 1:  
Identifying Non-Canonical Examples of Energy in Engineering

Executive Summary
This NSF project focuses on the development of a new, required second-year energy course that considers ways to best include, represent, and honor students from all backgrounds using a collection of teaching practices known as culturally sustaining pedagogies (CSPs). It is sponsored through the Division of Undergraduate Education (DUE) Improving Undergraduate STEM Education: Education and Human Resources (IUSE: EHR) program. Energy is a modern and foundational concept across engineering disciplines, but it is typically introduced to students in notoriously disengaging Thermodynamics courses. Many of these courses have roots in the Industrial Revolution and are characterized by particularly ethnocentric (White), masculine, and colonial knowledge. CSPs have been used successfully in K-12 settings, yielding particular benefits for traditionally underserved students, but have yet to be explored in undergraduate engineering. CSPs encourage students to connect their lived experiences to course topics, broaden what is accepted as engineering knowledge, and help individuals acknowledge the differing values and perspectives of others.

This research seeks to (1) identify energy examples outside of those traditionally used in thermodynamics; (2) develop and teach a course that integrates these non-traditional examples using CSPs; and (3) deepen educators understanding of how CSPs impact student learning, mindsets, and attitudes. These materials are being disseminated so that other faculty may use CSPs to engage their students. An overarching goal of this work is promoting inclusion within engineering to support broader participation and thus increased diversity. CSPs may be a key tool in changing the dominant discourse of engineering education, improving the experience for those students already here and making it more welcoming to those who are not.

Non-Canonical Examples
In the first year of this project, the project team is focused on identifying non-canonical examples of energy that will form the basis of the new class. We sought input from the engineering education community at the 2018 ASEE conference when we presented a paper on this work [1]. We asked those in attendance to suggest examples of potential non-canonical examples of energy. We received many helpful suggestions and have combined these with our prior and further research to have a working list of non-canonical energy examples. We are working on developing pedagogical materials to incorporate these examples into our new energy class using CSPs.

Heat Transfer: Lorena Stove
Lorena stoves provide a low cost cooking option that minimizes dangerous air pollution compared to open flame biomass (wood, charcoal, or waste) options. We have discussed this example in more detail here [1]. Lorena stoves can be related to topics including insulation, thermal mass, conduction, convection, and efficiency when heating under specific constraints. It also exposes students to an inventive method used for many years by indigenous communities to heat their homes and provides an entry point to discuss the importance of safe cooking practices with students.
Refrigeration: Pot in Pot Cooling Device
The "pot-in-pot" cooling device is an elegant, low-cost solution for maintaining fresh produce using evaporative cooling [2], [3]. Developed by Nigerian teacher Mohammed Bah Abba based upon a design from the ancient Egyptians, the "pot-in-pot" makes it possible to "refrigerate" food without requiring electricity. This device can be related to energy topics including heat and mass transfer, the first law of thermodynamics, and insulation materials. In addition, students could engage in discussions of Abba’s innovative business model that engages the local community in making and selling the product. This example also presents a good opportunity to discuss issues with Western appropriation of technology. In 2009 a British student received acclaim for “inventing” a pot-in-pot cooling device. The media portrayal provides a way to engage students in discussions around intellectual property, appropriate terminology for developing nations, and the challenges of the “savior complex”.

Heat Treatment: Hair Care Products
Hair drying and straightening are examples of energy that are not typically examined in an engineering classroom. Research led by Mechanical Engineering professor Dr. Tahira Reid at Purdue University examines the heat transfer of hair care products [4]. The thermal characterization of hair dryers and straighteners is an area that may have more personal relevance to female engineering students. This topic can connect to students’ everyday lives and demonstrate technical aspects of energy, establishing the legitimacy of stereotypically non-male products can have in engineering.

Energy Distribution: Mini-grids in Developing Contexts
Roughly 1 billion people across the globe are still without access to electricity [5]. The International Energy Agency (IAE) projects that to meet the UN’s Sustainable Development Goal of electricity for all by 2030, mini-grids are the most cost effective approach to provide electricity to roughly half of those without it today. Mini-grids are localized power networks that are not connected into a national grid. Many recently installed solutions incorporate renewable energies like solar and wind due to their modular nature, allowing for easy scaling as demands for power increase. (For example, solar energy in Ghana [6]) Another example is the “sunshine box” which provides portable solar energy for electronics and a venue for local business to make a living [7].

External Advisory Board
We have formed an External Advisory Board (EAB) with experts in various areas to assist the project team and supplement the areas of expertise of the project team. The EAB will meet annually to evaluate and advise the team about the progress of the project. Members include:

- Dr. Wendy Newstetter, Georgia Tech, is an expert in cognitive science and will chair the advisory board [8]–[10].
- Dr. Erin Cech, University of Michigan, has expertise in critical analyses of engineering and Native Science [11]–[13].
- Dr. Ananda Marin, UCLA, is an expert in Native Science and CSPs [14]–[17].
- Dr. Juan Lucena, Colorado School of Mines (CSOM), has expertise in social justice and engineering [18]–[20]
Scott Anders is the director of the Energy Policy Initiatives Center at the USD School of Law, his work focuses on policy and regulatory issues related to developing efficient and low-carbon energy sources [21]–[24].

**Future Work**

As we move into Year 2 of the project, we plan to develop the learning objectives and course materials for the energy course to be offered in Spring 2020. We will explore opportunities for hands-on student engagement with data analysis techniques, innovative homework problems, and lab activities. We will conduct assessment and evaluation to determine the impact of CSPs and make improvements for the next offering of the course in Spring 2021.
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