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Abstract

Our project aims to enhance understanding of the policies and practices that promote persistence and graduation as well as attrition for Black students in Electrical Engineering (EE), Computer Engineering (CpE), and Mechanical Engineering (ME). The qualitative portion of our study seeks to explore in depth the causes of the observed differences while our quantitative study explores whether the findings of the earlier research are consistent over time and with a broader set of institutions. Our transformative mixed-methods project responds to calls for more cross-institutional qualitative and longitudinal studies of minorities in engineering education. Our study is investigating the following overarching research questions:

1. Why do Black men and women choose and persist in, or leave, EE, CpE, and ME?
2. What are the academic trajectories of Black men and women in EE, CpE, and ME?
3. In what ways do these pathways vary by gender or institution?
4. What institutional policies and practices promote greater retention of Black engineering students?

To explore our research questions, our mixed-methods approach capitalizes on the quantitative power of large sample sizes available from the Multi-Institution Database for Investigating Engineering Longitudinal Development (MIDFIELD) and the qualitative richness of 80 in-depth interviews with students at predominantly white institutions (PWIs) and a historically black college and university (HBCU); key informant interviews; and detailed content analysis of institutional policies and contexts at four institutions. Our work advances prior research by interviewing both persisters and switchers in EE CpE, and ME to better understand the nuanced and complex nature of retention and attrition among these students.

Project Goals

The objective of this project is to identify policies and practices that lead to increased persistence and graduation as well as attrition for Black students in EE, CpE and ME and to make actionable recommendations for policy makers regarding best practices. Our approach combines the quantitative power of large sample sizes available from the Multi-Institution Database for Investigating Engineering Longitudinal Development (MIDFIELD) and the qualitative richness of 100 in-depth interviews and detailed content analysis of institutional policies and contexts at five institutions. This mixed-methods approach will allow for the development of the thematic rigor necessary to advance theoretical understanding of engineering education for underrepresented minorities (URMs).

Building on prior work that demonstrated the impacts of gender and race on academic trajectories for engineering as a whole and electrical/computer engineering (ECE) and ME in particular, we will use a transformative mixed methods design [1] to respond to calls for more
cross-institutional qualitative and longitudinal studies of minorities in engineering education [2]. The study will investigate the following overarching research questions:

Our transformative mixed-methods project responds to calls for more cross-institutional qualitative and longitudinal studies of minorities in engineering education. Our study is investigating the following overarching research questions:

1. Why do Black men and women choose and persist in, or leave, EE, CpE, and ME?
2. What are the academic trajectories of Black men and women in EE, CpE, and ME?
3. In what ways do these pathways vary by gender or institution?
4. What institutional policies and practices promote greater retention of Black engineering students?

**Major Activities for Year 2**

During Year 2 (March 2019 – February 2020), the project team has collaborated to accomplish the research goals.

**Project Team Meetings**

Our research team has been on a regular basis via telephone conference to plan, coordinate, and discuss the project elements.

**Data Collection**

- During Year 2, the research team completed the in-depth student interviews at our four study institutions. As depicted below, overall, the team completed a total of 79 interviews, including 56 current CpE, EE and ME majors and 23 students who switched out of these majors. Switchers are categorized by their former major and are those students who either switched to another engineering major or out of engineering altogether.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Major</th>
<th>Stay</th>
<th>Switch</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CpE</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>56</strong></td>
<td><strong>23</strong></td>
<td><strong>79</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a part of our mixed-methods approach, we used the following data collection strategies for these in-depth interviews:

- Student interview protocol
- Qualification survey (to get scheduling information and basic demographics from interested students)
- Pre-interview survey (for those selected to be interviewed)
- Card-sorting exercise (to learn more about reasons for selecting major)
- Identity circle
- Multi-Dimensional Inventory of Black Identity (MIBI)
The research team also engaged in the following research activities:

- Conducted additional key informant interviews at our study institutions to learn more about the institutional context of student experiences. These interviews helped us to refine our student interview protocol.
- Continued to engage in in-depth analysis of our interview transcripts and interview notes from key informant interviews, school profiles, and university policies.
- Continued to engage in in-depth analysis of MIDFIELD database, using our mixed method approach to investigate questions that have already arisen based on key informant interviews and from the qualitative analysis of student interviews.
- We continued to conduct an in-depth literature review on a variety of topics related to our research, particularly including research on Black students in engineering and STEM.

Dissemination

In Year 2, in addition to our NSF Grantees paper and poster for Year 1, we wrote and presented an additional three conference papers and two research posters.

We presented a paper about the preliminary findings from our website analysis of institutional websites at the second annual Collaborative Network for Engineering and Computing Diversity (CoNECD) conference in April 2019:


We developed and presented a poster, summarizing our qualitative interview techniques, at the annual meeting of the Southern Sociological Society in April 2019. The conference theme, The Challenge of Intersectionality: Who or What are Missing?”, aligned with our project:


We presented a paper, describing the results from our MIDFIELD analysis, at the ASEE annual meeting in June 2019:


We presented a paper and poster, summarizing the preliminary results from students who left computer, electrical, and mechanical engineering, highlighting the factors leading to their attrition from these majors. This paper was presented at the 2019 Annual Meeting of the IEEE/FIE conference:

We developed and presented a poster at the NSF Grantees Conference in October 2019:


**Highlights of Significant Results**

Below we highlight some of the key findings from several of our papers, published in the proceedings of the conferences noted.

*From the CoNECD 2019 Paper*


In this work in progress, we use Lee and Matusovich’s model of co-curricular support (MCCS) and the recruitment aspect of the Building Engineering and Science Talent (BEST) framework to investigate the policies, programs, and activities for, and recruitment and retention of, underrepresented students at four institutions. These four colleges of engineering are in the top 15 nationally in engineering bachelors’ degrees conferred on Black students. This information will provide background for a mixed-methods study on the choice, persistence, and attrition of Black students in computer, electrical, and mechanical engineering and is a critical first step to contextualize the student experiences to be revealed in individual interviews. We use publicly available information from web-based and other resources as well as interviews with key informants on each campus to ascertain the programs that are available on each campus and categorize them using the MCCS and BEST frameworks. This study lays the groundwork for future institutional data analysis and interviews with Black students currently or formerly enrolled in computer, electrical or mechanical engineering to uncover what causes them to enroll and persist in or leave these majors.

In this paper, we have described the inputs at four colleges of engineering related to the MCCS model for student success. This paper is not meant to represent an assessment of any of these programs, but to document the presence of programs, services, and activities that may influence Black student persistence in computer, electrical, and mechanical engineering. We described some services and activities that were also part of larger programs for completeness. We found that all of the universities contained significant elements of the framework. None of them offered all elements, which is to be expected as each institution and engineering student support center must make decisions on what is most needed for their student body within budget and personnel constraints. Some programs (e.g., LLCs) are limited in how many people they can serve while others (e.g., EE tutoring) serve all students, not just underrepresented ones. We were expansive in what we included in our search to ensure that we captured as many programs as possible that would benefit Black students in engineering, whether or not the programs or services were designed for them. We believe that such support programs, both targeted and otherwise, are necessary, but not sufficient, for fully addressing issues related to diversity in higher education.
As one of our informants noted, in spite of decades of offering programs and activities to help Black and other underrepresented students live and learn in the predominantly White culture on his campus, the lived experience of Black students there has not changed much over that time. Rather, he hopes that these programs have empowered these students to cope better in the environment as it is.

From the ASEE 2019 Paper


In this paper, we present a visual, quantitative analysis of the academic pathways of Black men and women who enroll in EE or ME at any point during their undergraduate experience (N=4816). Our research provides evidence that more Black students choose EE than ME, in contrast to national data for all races that show that more students major in ME than EE. While more Black students initially enroll in EE overall, ME attracts a larger proportion of its Black students from other majors and retains a larger fraction. Black women are particularly persistent in ME (58%). Seventy-eight percent of Black men and 65% of Black women who leave ME leave the institution without a degree. Of those leaving EE, 74% of Black men and 64% of Black women leave the institution without a degree. This examination of quantitative differences between disciplines lays a foundation for qualitative study through in-depth student interviews of Black students in these majors.

From the FIE 2019 Paper


This Research Work in Progress paper reports on Year 1 of a three-year mixed-methods study to identify institutional factors that promote increased retention of Black students in engineering curricula and to determine causes of their attrition. The paper outlines preliminary findings from interviews of students who left computer, electrical, or mechanical engineering curricula. The students cited poor advising, academic challenges for which they were unprepared, lack of knowledge about their chosen major, financial and personal difficulties, perceived poor fit in the major, and issues around being a first-generation college student as important factors in deciding to change their major. They identified their race as an important factor in their selection of a university and in their sense of belonging at the institution, but not in their choice of a major or their decision to change majors.

Additional Major Findings

In the second year, we report preliminary results from our quantitative MIDFIELD analysis and qualitative student interviews. Some highlights include the following findings:

- This year we integrated the centrality measure from the Multidimensional Inventory of Black Identity (MIBI) to better understand the importance of Black identity to the
students’ educational experiences. Early results indicate that students attending the HBCU had higher MIBI averages than students who attended the PWI, indicating that racial identity was more important to the HBCU students.

Future Work

For the remainder of Year 2 and during Year 3, the research team will also work on several additional conference papers and journal manuscripts. Our primary efforts will focus on addressing the four research questions outlined in the original proposal. Tentative topics and journals include the following:


Some additional topics have emerged and we will work on these papers as we are able:


Upcoming Dissemination Activities

A paper about the preliminary findings from our student interviews, focusing on what we learned about Black identity and intersectionality, has been accepted for presentation at the third annual Collaborative Network for Engineering and Computing Diversity (CoNECD) conference in April 2020:


A paper describing the results from our qualitative interviews was accepted for the ASEE annual meeting in June 2020.
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