

Essentials of the Nurse+Engineer: Qualitative Methodology Applied to Foods Systems in Environmental Engineering

Dr. Daniel B. Oerther, Missouri University of Science and Technology

Professor Daniel B. Oerther, PhD, PE, BCEE, DLAAS, FAAN(h) joined the faculty of the Missouri University of Science and Technology in 2010 as the John A. and Susan Mathes Chair of Civil Engineering after serving for ten years on the faculty of the University of Cincinnati where he was head of the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. Professor Oerther is internationally recognized for leadership of engineers, sanitarians, and nurses promoting the practice the sustainable development, local to global. Dan is a Past President of the American Academy of Environmental Engineers and Scientists. He is a Diplomate Laureate of the American Academy of Sanitarians. Dan is a lifetime honorary Fellow of the American Academy of Nursing. Professor Oerther's awards as an educator include lifetime honorary Fellowship in the National League for Nursing's Academy of Nursing Educators and the Robert G. Quinn Award from the American Society for Engineering Education.

Sarah Oerther

Essentials of the Nurse+Engineer: Qualitative Methodology Applied to Food Systems in Environmental Engineering

Daniel B. Oerther

Missouri University of Science and Technology, 1401 North Pine Street, Rolla, MO 65409

Sarah Oerther

Goldfarb School of Nursing at Barnes-Jewish College, 4483 Duncan Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110

Abstract

Previously, we reported on a revamping of an existing design course to shift from a focus on sewage treatment plant design to a focus on the (re)design of local food systems. To introduce engineering students to qualitative research methods as part of community-based participatory research, we used instructional materials from the nursing profession. Results from student feedback suggests that the approach is viable, and the students uncovered examples of lived experience from the community with regard to the availability of food and the local approach to the problem of food loss and waste. Our results suggest that qualitative research methods may be taught to students of engineering by adopting instructional approaches from nursing. In this article, we make the argument that qualitative research methods as part of community-based research is as an essential skill of the nurse+engineer, a convergent V-shaped profession, and we demonstrate the value of this approach to solve problems of sustainable development such as food systems.

Introduction

According to the United States (US) Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), the definition of environmental engineers includes, "...[using] engineering disciplines in developing solutions to problems of planetary health," [1]. Sustainably feeding the human population is one of the problems of planetary health, which environmental engineers are particularly well suited to contribute solution [2]. Current agricultural production:

1. contributes to a loss of biodiversity from land use (i.e., sensitive habitat is cultivated);
2. transfers embedded/embodied/virtual water among watersheds (i.e., excessive groundwater pumping for irrigation in dry, warm regions to produce wintertime fruits and vegetables for consumption in wet, cold regions);
3. emits greenhouse gases (i.e., NO_x emission from soil microbes during plant growth);
4. consumes large amounts of energy (i.e., fossil-fuel powered equipment); and
5. requires substantial inputs of fertilizers (i.e., NPK – nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium – which may contribute to eutrophication) [3].

In addition to agricultural production, addressing the problem of food loss and waste (i.e., FLW) – including food that never leaves the production field as well as food that is scraped from the plate into the compost bin – is another area where environmental engineers have the ability to make a positive impact to improve sustainability.

Environmental engineers are not alone in their ability to make a positive impact to planetary health. Nurses – who focus on the health of patients, whether they are individuals, families, populations, or communities – also have a history of supporting healthy food systems. For example, Florence Nightingale, the founder of modern nursing, promoted the importance of food systems as part of, “Nightingale’s Environmental Theory,” when she noted in her chapter on diet that, “the main question is what the patient’s stomach can assimilate or derive nourishment from, and of this the patient’s stomach is the sole judge. Chemistry cannot tell this. The patient’s stomach must be its own chemist,” [4]. Advocating on behalf of patients and helping to educate patients about the availability of resources to support health is another area where nurses have the ability to make a positive impact to improve health.

Increasingly there has been a call for nurse and engineers to collaborate from the bedside and into the community to work together as professionals to solve challenging problems of public health [5, 6, 7]. For example, a recent systematic review identified more than 50 peer reviewed articles, which described partnerships among engineers and nurses [8]. These partnerships include training the next generation of practitioners [9], working together in academic settings [10], and collaborating on technologies [11], including technology as a paradigm of interprofessional collaboration [12].

These collaborative approaches between engineers and nurses often focus on attaining sustainable development [13] through a collaboration among two professions known for caring, namely nursing and environmental engineering [14, 15]. While nursing is not yet recognized as a STEM – science, technology, engineering, and math – discipline [16, 17, 18, 19], nursing is known for empathy [20], which often includes the use of research techniques that seek to understand the values of individuals.

Previously, we reported on the success of leveraging nursing practice to inform teaching environmental engineers to design improvements to local food systems [21]. We suggested that the parallel work of environmental engineers and public environmental health nurses could be leveraged to support the attainment of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals – including Goal 2: Zero Hunger – while working in local communities [22] in a manner that “leaves no one behind” [23]. We argued that the modern practice of nursing and the modern practice of environmental engineering represent professions that can be pointed towards a common future where societal challenges – such as sustainably supplying food – may be solved through transprofessional partnership [24, 25] from the bedside and into the community [26, 27].

As part of our design course on local food systems, we invited students of engineering to learn from nurses’ approaches to qualitative research [21]. Previously, we reported extensively on the use of quantitative research methods as part of a community-based participatory research [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34]. Unlike quantitative human research – where rigid questions are asked and scored using Likert-type scales– qualitative human research is focused on eliciting stories from individuals and groups, identifying recurring themes, and developing an understanding of the situation using an open-ended approach, which allows for unexpected results to be identified [35].

As we described previously, the (re)design of a local food system depends upon a local understanding of the four pillars of food security, including: the physical availability of food; economic and physical access to food; the ability to utilize food; and the stability of the other three pillars over time [21]. Often, these four pillars are abbreviated with the terms: availability; access; utilization; and stability.

To help engineering students learn to conduct qualitative research, we provided them with expert instruction from a nursing educator. We invited the students to develop interview guides and interview local stakeholders in the community and to report the information they collected in an essay that answered the question, “What is the problem with the food system in Phelps County, Missouri?” [21].

The current article describes our work as part of a design course entitled, “5605 Environmental Engineering Design”, offered at the Missouri University of Science and Technology. Details of this course syllabus, teaching modules, and student feedback have been described previously [21]. In this article, we report on the materials that nurses used to teach engineers about qualitative research; provide examples of stakeholder feedback solicited from interviews; and share the responses of students discussing the strengths, weaknesses, and suggested improvements for the course. The work presented in this article is part of an overall line of research with the intent of demonstrating qualitative community-based participatory research is an essential skill of the nurse+engineer.

Methods

Institutional context. Today’s Missouri University of Science and Technology (S&T) was established in 1870 as the Missouri School of Mines and Metallurgy. Located in Rolla, Missouri, S&T is a comprehensive public research university, which offers approximately 100 degree programs. With an undergraduate student enrollment of approximately 5,500 students, a graduate student enrollment of approximately 1,500 students, and average annual research expenditures of more than \$50 million, S&T is currently characterized as Carnegie R2, a doctoral university with high research activity.

Course description. As described previously [21], 5619 Environmental Engineering Design includes a lecture and a design lab for students enrolled in the Department of Civil, Architectural, and Environmental Engineering. Typically, CArE 5619 is taken by seniors in their final year of baccalaureate study. Some juniors and some graduate students also enroll in the course. Historically, CArE 5619 focused on, “the functional design of water and wastewater facilities and other environmental cleanup systems,” but the syllabus was modified to include the following,

“... Therefore, as an approach to “environmental cleanup”, the design of the Phelps County food system will include a mass/energy balance approach of food import, food conversion, waste export, and food production/exchange from within Phelps County. In this way, life cycle concepts will be used to consider sustainability (i.e., food loss and waste), risk/safety (i.e., contamination of food supplies), uncertainty/reliability (i.e., access to nutritious, culturally appropriate food), and environmental impacts (i.e., how

an individual's diet relates to planetary health). Therefore, water/wastewater treatment will be a part, but not the whole, of the design.” [21].

Details of how the course is taught – including the use of blended style of delivery, flipped classroom management, and modified mastery learning to teach sustainability and life cycle principles – have been reported previously [21, 36]. For example, a portion of the content is provided through required readings while a portion is provided through watching digital recordings. These materials are reviewed by students before attending a required lecture. And students complete a required quiz using an available learning management system (LMS) before attending the required lecture.

The primary purpose of this current article is to provide details on teaching engineering students qualitative research using the perspective of nurse researchers as interprofessional partners. This current article builds upon the prior publication [21, 36], and elaborates on the details of how qualitative community-based participatory research was taught by nurses and how students of engineering responded to this instruction.

Results and Discussion

Leveraging prior research [37, 38, 39, 40], the content in Appendix A includes the slides used by a nursing instructor to teach students of architectural, civil, and environmental engineering how to conduct qualitative research in a community context. In particular, slide 6 of Appendix A provides a side-by-side comparison of qualitative and quantitative research. For example, while the goal of quantitative research includes prediction and control, the goal of qualitative research includes understanding. While the study of discrete (often isolated) variables is the approach used in quantitative research, the study of phenomena in context – often described as the “lived experience” – is the approach used in qualitative research. Therefore, one of the tools that is available to the qualitative researcher is the open-ended interview with a stakeholder in their home, place of employment, or other natural setting.

As part of 5619 Environmental Engineering Design, the University of Missouri Extension Service produced a series of videos that included interviews of instructors, students, and community stakeholders. These videos may be accessed on YouTube at the following URLs:

1. A look at the Phelps County Food System through the Eyes of an Environmental Engineering, at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3mtXvmWA7UI>;
2. Public House Brewing Company – more than a good neighbor, at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XsCm4Q6fv1E>; and
3. Rodney Southward's Cattle Farm, Rolla, Missouri, at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EouXIjW3VsU>.

These video resources provide examples of the kinds of qualitative approaches that have been adopted by students using the content provided in Appendix A.

As part of 5619 Environmental Engineering Design, students were invited to provide feedback using open-ended surveys, administered at the end of each semester, and collected using an anonymous process administered centrally by the University. Extensive examples of comments offered by students were included in our previous publication [21]. Here within, we offer an

example of the type of comment provided by students that specifically addresses the issue of teaching engineers qualitative research methods adapted from the profession of nursing,

“The strength and weakness are both in how different this is than usual. We experienced a new side of design [namely qualitative community-based participatory research,] and the expectations for each part [of the syllabus] could use refining [such as clear grading rubrics to help students better understand expectations]. [For example,] ...providing the standards [(i.e., learning objectives)] for each part so we know exactly what we set out to gain. I enjoy the open-endedness but making sure that the work is what’s expected, especially if we bring them to people like Sarah, so it works well. With that in mind, the time with Sarah was a great addition to the class.”

From this open-ended comment, a number of conclusion may be inferred. First, the tone and content suggest at least a degree of positive reception to the opportunity to engage in qualitative research. Second, the involvement of a recognized expert is highlighted as important. And third, the specific inclusion of an instructor of nursing was viewed positively by this student of environmental engineering. In contrast to the positive aspects of this comment, the student also conveyed a suggestion for improvement, namely: clear learning objectives and the use of grading rubrics so that students could better understand expectations being placed on them as part of the course. Similar positive comments and suggestions were offered occasionally by other students. Future research should specifically elicit comments regarding qualitative research, and the satisfaction of students with the instructional material used to teach these techniques.

To demonstrate how qualitative research enhanced understanding of stakeholders in a manner that was not immediately obvious from prior quantitative work, below, we provide two examples.

First, we note that a group of students working together came to the consensus conclusion that,

*“The problem with the Phelps County food system is a deficit in availability. This is due to the poor distribution, low local production, and **high number of citizens not taking advantage of SNAP and similar financial assistance.**”*

Through interviews with local stakeholders, the students noted the availability of government assistance supporting access to food (i.e., WIC – women-infant-and children – and SNAP – supplemental nutrition assistance programs – resources are available in Phelps County). The students also noted that individuals in the community were disinclined to avail themselves of these resources, in part, because of a strong sense of independence/self-reliance and a general distrust of government. As reported previously, many parents in underserved rural communities, such as the Ozark mountains of south central Missouri, view the Federal government and some state government with distrust [41]. Prior qualitative research on individuals living in the Ozark region succinctly captured this sense of self-reliance in the following quote, “The difference between town and country is this: In town others do for you. In the country you do for yourself,” [42, p. 67]. Collectively, the results collected by the students and the prior literature highlight an important tentative conclusion, namely that “free food” provided by the government may not be a suitable approach to support food availability in the region, and (re)design of the Phelps

County food system should explore alternative approaches to enhancing availability (i.e., perhaps distribution through food pantries and/or providing opportunities to self-produce food such as through community gardens and/or additional hunting/fishing take/catch limits).

Second, we note that one student reported learning that,

“Chefs, they do take steps to reduce waste. And I was kind of surprised by that. But they said it’s all about the money. They don’t want to over produce, and then have to throw food away because that’s money they’re throwing away.”

This observation by a student is particularly important to the area of food loss and waste (FLW), and this example highlights one of the advantages of a qualitative approach to research as compared to a quantitative approach to research. For example, a quantitative approach may ask individuals to rank order from most to least important a predetermined list of reasons for FLW. In contrast, a qualitative approach may invite a diverse sample of individuals to share stories that help to define the terms food, loss, and waste, and help to unearth unexpected reasons for FLW. A practical comparison of quantitative and qualitative approaches to FLW includes the “Inglorious Fruits and Vegetables” campaign in Europe [43].

In Europe, produce (i.e., fruits and vegetables) that fails to achieve basic minimum aesthetic quality controls at the farm gate is not considered “food”. Thus, when these “non-food materials” are diverted to facilities built for waste to energy production (i.e., anaerobic digestors), there is not a quantitative impact on FLW measurements. In the US, “ugly vegetables” and “ugly fruit” are still considered “food”. The FLW hierarchy promoted by the US Department of Agriculture (USDA), the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) recommends that all food be offered for human consumption, then for animal consumption, then for composting, and only finally for anaerobic digestion [44]. Thus, an “ugly apple” in Europe would not be characterized as food and would be immediately sent to an anaerobic digester. In the US, an “ugly apple” that was not purchased at a store would be considered wasted when it was sent to compost. While quantitative measures of FLW may miss this important distinction, a qualitative approach – eliciting stories with rich detail – would make clear that regulations providing a definition of “food” are partially responsible for how society compares FLW between Europe and the US.

In the case of the quote provided by this student, in the Ozark region – with a sense of self-reliance noted above – the issue of FLW is connected to financial responsibility. This is important to note because “more expensive items” may be less susceptible to FLW as compared to “less expensive items”. Future quantitative research could explore this tentative conclusion because the qualitative research unearthed an important understanding of the local, lived experience regarding FLW.

The addition of qualitative research methods from nursing to support traditional quantitative engineering methods is an important tool for modern environmental engineering. For example, the ABET program criteria for environmental engineering and similarly named programs states that, “the curriculum must include ... calculus-based physics, chemistry (including stoichiometry, equilibrium, and kinetics), earth sciences, biological sciences, and fluid mechanics

... hands-on laboratory experiments, and analysis and interpretation of the resulting data in more than one major environmental engineering focus area, e.g., air, water, land, environmental health...” [45]. These criteria – focused on foundational math and science, engineering applications of math and science, and experimentation are areas where environmental engineers historically have tremendous strength in teaching using a variety of pedagogies. The ABET program criteria also include, “... design of environmental engineering systems that includes considerations of risk, uncertainty, sustainability, life-cycle principles, and environmental impacts...” [45]. Again, environmental engineers historically have tremendous strength in teaching design.

But where environmental engineers may especially draw value in collaborating with nurses is in the areas of defining and exploring the values of the public versus the values of individual people in the areas of risk, uncertainty, sustainability, life-cycle principles, and environmental justice. As we noted previously, nurses are unique among the healthcare professions in that a nursing patient may be an individual, a family, a population, or a community [46]. Thus, nurses understand how to “build up” to the concept of “public health” by considering the person-centering preferences of individuals. One way that nurses accomplish this is through the use of qualitative research. While quantitative research methodology and analyses – dealing with measurable phenomena – are well known to environmental engineers, the application of qualitative research methodology and analyses – including collecting and studying narratives – is perhaps less familiar to environmental engineers. The results of this current study suggest that students of environmental engineering are open to instruction about qualitative research methods.

Conclusion

Previously, we reported on efforts to revamp an existing course to focus on the (re)design of local food systems [21]. In the current study, we specifically highlight the role of qualitative research methodology that emphasizes students of engineering learning techniques from instructors of nursing. The results of this work support two important conclusions, namely: 1) students of environmental engineering are open to instruction from nurses about how to perform qualitative research; and 2) students of environmental engineering demonstrated how to use these methods to uncover improved understanding of the lived experience of community stakeholders as part of this class. The results of this study support the conclusion that qualitative research methodology is an essential skill of the nurse+engineer interface, which has benefit for addressing the challenging problems of food system sustainability.

References

- [1] D.B. Oerther, S. Oerther, and C.A. Peters, “Environmental Engineers Solve Problems of Planetary Health,” *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, 2023. [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2023.0301>.
- [2] NAE, *Environmental Engineering for the 21st Century: Addressing Grand Challenges*. Washington, DC: National Academy of Engineering, 2019. [Online] Available: <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/25121/environmental-engineering-for-the-21st-century-addressing-grand-challenges>.
- [3] B.M. Campbell, D.J. Beare, E.M. Bennett, J.M. Hall-Spencer, J.S.I. Ingram, F. Jaramillo, R. Ortiz, N. Ramankutty, J.A. Sayer, and D. Shindell, “Agriculture Production as a Major Driver of the Earth System Exceeding Planetary Boundaries,” *Ecology and Society*, vol. 22, no. 4, 2017. [Online] Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26798991>.

- [4] D.B. Oerther, and S. Oerther, "Nightingale's Legacy as a Nurse Theorist Promotes Healthful Food Systems," *Perspectives in Public Health*, vol. 140, pp. 141-143, 2020.
- [5] M.F. Hendrickson, "The Nurse Engineer: A Way to Better Nursing Information Systems," *Computers in Nursing*, vol. 11, pp. 67-71, 1993.
- [6] W. Eisenhauer, "The Time Has Come for Nursing Engineering," *Industrial Engineer*, vol. 47, p. 24, 2015.
- [7] M.E.S. Glasgow, A. Colbert, J. Viator, and S. Cavanagh, "The Nurse-Engineer: A New Role to Improve Nurse Technology Interface and Patient Care Device Innovations," *J. Nurs. Scholarsh.*, vol. 50, pp. 601-611, 2018.
- [8] Y. Zhou, Y. Li, and Z. Li, "Interdisciplinary Collaboration Between Nursing and Engineering in Health Care: A Scoping Review," *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, vol. 117, no. 103900, 2021.
- [9] Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y.D. Wang, and Z. Li, "The Training System and Characteristics of Graduate Education in Nursing Engineering and Nursing Informatics in the United States," *Chinese Journal of Nursing Education*, vol. 19, pp. 414-410, 2022.
- [10] K. Landsman, and K.K. Giuliano, "Nurse-Engineer Partnerships in Academia," *American Journal of Nursing*, vol. 123, pp. 44-46, 2023.
- [11] M. Sguanci, S. Mancin, M. Piredda, F. Cordella, N.L. Tagliamonte, et al, "Nursing-Engineering Interdisciplinary Research: A Synthesis of Methodological Approach to Perform Healthcare-Technology Integration Projects," *MethodsX*, vol. 12, no. 102525, 2024.
- [12] J. Bayuo, H. Abu-Odah, J.J. Su, and L. Aziato, "Technology: A Metaparadigm Concept of Nursing," *Nursing Inquiry*, vol. 30, no. e12592, 2023.
- [13] A. Squires, F.S. Chavez, D.K.H. Messias, G.L. Narsavage, D.B. Oerther, et al, "Sustainable Development & The Year of the Nurse & Midwife – 2020," *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.*, vol. 94, pp. A3-A4, 2019.
- [14] D.B. Oerther, and C.A. Peters, "Educating Heads, Hands, and Hearts in the COVID-19 Classroom," *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 37, pp. 303, 2020.
- [15] D.B. Oerther, L. Gautham, and N. Folbre, "Environmental Engineering as Care for Human Welfare and Planetary Health," *J. Environ. Eng.*, vol. 148, no. 04022029, 2022.
- [16] R. McMaster, "Is the Fourth Industrial Revolution Relevant to You?" *Nursing and Health Sciences*, vol. 20, pp. 139-141, 2018.
- [17] P.M. Davidson, "Is Nursing a STEM Discipline – Does It Matter and What Can We Do About It?" *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, vol. 76, p. 1-3, 2019.
- [18] C. Green, and L. John, "Should Nursing be Considered a STEM Profession?" *Nurs. Forum*, vol. 55, pp. 205-210, 2020.
- [19] C. Dreisbach, M.L. Wright, R.K. Walker, H.D. Byon, and J. Keim-Malpass, "Nursing Science as a Federally-Recognized STEM Degree: A Call to Action for the United States With Global Implications," *International Journal of Nursing Studies Advances*, vol. 4, no. 100084, 2022.
- [20] P.S. Yoder-Wise, "From STEM to STEAM," *Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, vol. 49, pp. 443-444, 2018.
- [21] D.B. Oerther, S.H. Massengale, and S. Oerther, "Designing Local Food Systems: Results from a Three-Year Pilot," in *Annual Conference & Exposition, Baltimore, Maryland, DATE, 2023*. [Online] Available: <https://peer.asee.org/42990>.
- [22] S. Oerther, "Localizing the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals to Rural Communities in America Through University Extension Programmes," *Nursing Open*, vol. 6, pp. 662, 2019.
- [23] D.B. Oerther, and S.E. Oerther, "Improving Interprofessional Environmental Health Education Using the Leave No One Behind Framework," *AJPH*, vol. 112, pp. S250-S252, 2022.
- [24] D.B. Oerther, and S. Oerther, "From InterProfessional to Convergence: Nurses Educating V-Shaped Professionals," *Nurse Education in Practice*, vol. 53, pp. 103047, 2021.
- [25] D.B. Oerther, and S. Oerther, "Comparing Oranges Versus Grapes as a Metaphor of the Nurse+Engineer," *J. Clin. Nurs.*, vol. 31, pp. e14-e15, 2022.
- [26] S. Oerther, and D.B. Oerther, "Nurses Must Collaborate Beyond the Bedside in Education and Practice," *Nurse Education in Practice*, vol. 59, no. 103280, 2022.
- [27] D.B. Oerther, and S. Oerther, "Sustainable Development in the Anthropocene Requires Interprofessional Environmental Health as Science Diplomacy," in *IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conference, LOCATION, DATE, 2023*. Pp. 344-349. [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/GHTC56179.2023.10354712>.

- [28] D.W. Divelbiss, D.L. Boccelli, P.A. Succop, and D.B. Oerther, "Environmental Health and Household Demographics Impacting Biosand Filter Maintenance and Diarrhea in Guatemala: An Application of Structural Equation Modeling," *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, vol. 47, pp. 1638-1645, 2013.
- [29] L.E. Voth-Gaeddert, M. Stoker, D. Cornell, and D.B. Oerther, "What Causes Childhood Stunting Among Children of San Vicente, Guatemala: Employing Complimentary, Systems-Analysis Approaches," *Int. J. Hyg. Environ.*, vol. 221, pp. 391-399, 2018.
- [30] L.E. Voth-Gaeddert, O. Torres, J. Maldonado, R. Krajmalnik-Brown, B.E. Rittmann, and D.B. Oerther, "Aflatoxin Exposure, Child Stunting, and Dysbiosis in the Intestinal Microbiome Among Children in Guatemala," *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 36, pp. 958-968, 2019.
- [31] D.B. Oerther, L.E. Voth-Gaeddert, and D.W. Divelbiss, "Improving Environmental Health Practice and Policy Through Convergence Research: A Case Study of Linked Food-Water Systems Enhancing Child Health," *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 36, pp. 820-832, 2019.
- [32] L.E. Voth-Gaeddert, M. Stoker, O.R. Torres, and D.B. Oerther, "The Influence of Local Market and Household Factors on Aflatoxin Presence in Maize and Symptoms of Its Exposure to Children in Guatemala," *Int. J. Environ. Health Res.*, vol. 30, pp. 312-326, 2020.
- [33] A. Schriener, and D. Oerther, "No Really, (Crowd) Work is the Silver Bullet," *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 78, pp. 224-228, 2014.
- [34] D.B. Oerther, "A Case Study of Community-Engaged Design: Creating Parametric Insurance to Meet the Safety Needs of Fisherfolk in the Caribbean," *J. Environ. Eng.*, vol. 148, no. 05021008, 2022.
- [35] S. Oerther, and D.B. Oerther, "Pierre Bourdieu's Theory of Practice Offers Nurses a Framework to Uncover Embodied Knowledge of Patients Living with Disabilities or Illnesses: A Discussion Paper," *J. Adv. Nurs.*, vol. 74, pp. 818-826, 2018.
- [36] D.B. Oerther, "Using Modified Mastery Learning to Teach Sustainability and Life-Cycle Principles as Part of Modeling and Design," *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 39, pp. 784-795.
- [37] S. Oerther, and L. SmithBattle, "The Social Construction of Preteen Children," *J. Adv. Nurs.*, vol. 75, pp. 2272-2273, 2019.
- [38] S. Oerther, "Designing Interview Guides on Stress and Coping Related to Parenting Pre-Teen Children: An Example from a Hermeneutic Phenomenological Study," *Nursing Open*, vol. 8, pp. 2142-2152, 2021.
- [39] S. Oerther, "Analysis Methods in Hermeneutic Phenomenological Research: Interpretive Profiles," *Frontiers of Nursing*, vol. 7, pp. 293-298, 2021.
- [40] S. Oerther, "Parenting Generation Z Pre-Teen Children in Rural Communities in the Ozark Mountains: A Paradigm Case from an Interpretive Phenomenological Study," *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services*, vol. 60, no. 12-16, 2022.
- [41] S. Oerther, and D.B. Oerther, "Parenting Pre-Teens During COVID-19 in a Rural Midwestern Community: An Interpretive Phenomenological Study," *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma*, 2022. [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40653-022-00507-9>.
- [42] W.B. Edgar, R.M. Besara, and J.S. Baumlin, *Living Ozarks: The Ecology and Culture of a Natural Place*. Moon City Press: Springfield, Missouri, 2018.
- [43] A.C. Campos, F. De Oliveira Santini, M.G. Perin, and W.J. Ladeira, "Effects of Abnormally Shaped Fruits and Vegetables on Consumer's Willingness to Buy: A Meta-Analytic Study," *Journal of Social Marketing*, vol. 12, pp. 556-586. [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSOCM-08-2021-0178>.
- [44] US Government, *Draft National Strategy for Reducing Food Loss and Waste and Recycling Organics*, Washington, DC: United States Government, 2023. [Online] Available: <https://www.usda.gov/sites/default/files/documents/food-waste-strategy.pdf>.
- [45] ABET, *Criteria for Accrediting Engineering Programs, 2022-2023*. Baltimore, MD: ABET Inc, 2022. [Online] Available: <https://www.abet.org/accreditation/accreditation-criteria/criteria-for-accrediting-engineering-programs-2022-2023/>.
- [46] D.B. Oerther, and S. Oerther, "Drinking-Water Fluoridation as an Example of the Nurse + Engineer Fostering Informed Decisions and Actions," *J. Environ. Eng.*, vol. 149, pp. 01823001, 2023.

Appendix A. Slides used to teach qualitative methods.

1

Introduction to Qualitative Research

Sarah Oerther, Ph.D., RN, ANEF

2

"Not everything that can be counted counts, and not everything that counts can be counted." -Einstein

3

"Methodological discussions are like sex manuals. They can lose vitality, pleasure, and purpose in preoccupation with technique."

-Banks, S. A. (1982). Once upon a time: interpretation in literature and medicine. Literature and medicine, 1, 23-31.

4

"Qualitative research involves broadly stated questions about human experiences and realities, studied through sustained contact with people in their natural environments, generating rich, descriptive data that help us to understand their experiences."

-Boyd, C. O. (1990)

5

Qualitative Origins

- Pre-1960s—anthropology and sociology
- Mostly learned through apprenticeship with immersion in other cultures
- Emerged as an interdisciplinary field in 1970s

6

Comparisons

Qualitative	Quantitative
Goal: understanding	Goal: prediction, control
Holistic	Reductionistic (hypothesis-testing)
Studies phenomena in context	Study of discrete variables
Analysis of textual data	Analysis of isolated variables
Focus on essence, meanings, patterns, process	Focus on measurement
Naturalistic settings	Setting may be artificial

7

Comparisons

Qualitative	Quantitative
Researcher engaged	Researcher detached
Flexible design, open to discovery	Fixed design
Researcher is the tool	Use of reliable tools, surveys, measurement
Analysis of content, meanings, themes, cases	Statistical analysis
Rigor/quality	Procedures to control for bias
Circular process	Linear process

8


Example

Sue: O.K. You're a nurse and I guess you guys go by statistics or something the way it's supposed to be versus the way it is. I've dealt with people-social workers and stuff, and they look at the book, these rules, the rule-book-they don't look at the way it really is.

I: Tell me what is the matter with that.

Sue: Because they treat you in the way of how it's supposed to be. They don't treat you the way it is. And I think that's important, because the advice might not be helpful, it may not be right. Let's say one person comes from a broken home and this other person comes from a nice two parent family, and you tell him to go left the way it's supposed to be. But it's not like that, he has to go between right and left, so if you give him that advice to go left, he may feel that it's not the right advice or she's putting me down without looking at the way it is.

9



Differences

- Use of theoretical framework?
- Research questions/lines of inquiry
- Sample size
- Data collection procedures
- Analytic procedures
- Description of findings
- Ethical considerations
- Computer programs for data management
- Questions of rigor/quality

10



Sampling in Qualitative Studies

- Sample sizes are usually small
- Often rely on samples of convenience
- Sampling unit may be individuals, families, nursing units, episodes, etc
- Sample size often determined during a study
- Considerations include purpose, scope, design (*longitudinal design*), and practical issues

11

Qualitative Approaches

Grounded theory	Ethnographic	Participatory action research
Case study design	Husserlian phenomenology	Interpretive phenomenology
Narrative analysis	Historical research	



12

Generating Data

- Interview data
- Diaries
- Use of pictures and drawings
- Videotaping
- Documents
- Participant observations and field notes
- E-mail responses

13

Interviewing

Survey interviewing

- Conducted as a stimulus-response exchange
- Interview executed in a uniform manner
- Interviewing techniques standardized, forced choice
- Power rests with the researcher to define questions and legitimate answers
- Stories dismissed as untidy and unscientific

Qualitative Interviewing

- Conducted as a dialogue, following the lead of the interviewee
- Listening is methodologically crucial
- Conversation emerges without preset limits for what counts as a legitimate response
- Stories are encouraged and indispensable for understanding

14

Analyzing Qualitative Data For

- Themes
- Basic social process
- Meanings
- Patterns
- Variations
- Paradigm cases

15

Purpose of the Study

- ...was to explore the experiential meanings that constituted parents' understanding of raising Generation Z pre-teen children (eight to 12 years old) living in rural communities.



16

Theme 2: Parenting stress and demands

Subtheme 3: **Financial constraints**

- Some families struggled to meet their basic needs
- Lost jobs
- Lost cars
- Lost savings




Cat (mother 3)

Cat explained: "Stuff like doctor's appointments right now are really hard because we have to drive my husband to work for the day to have access to the car. Stuff like their [pre-teen] dentist appointment schedules have fallen behind because I end up just canceling the kids' appointments. I also haven't signed the kids up for extracurricular activities because transportation is such an issue."




Cat (mother 3)

Cat disclosed: "I don't know how we would get by if my husband did not steal food from work. We would be for real hungry."



Theme 1: Parents' everyday concerns in caring for their pre-teen children

- Subtheme 6: **Family legacy**
 - Interactions with their own parents
 - Personal childhood experiences, good and bad



Greg (father 7)

Greg described how sexual abuse shaped his concerns for his pre-teen daughter: "I am overprotective [of my daughter]. I do not want my daughter to ever experience sexual abuse.... I don't let my daughter go out anywhere. When I was a kid, I would've already been all over the neighborhood by myself, but I don't let my daughter out of the backyard by herself. I've been very picky about which friends I let spend the night."



Ethical Considerations

- IRB approval
- Voluntary participation
- Informed, ongoing consent
- Maintaining confidentiality
- Use of pseudonyms in reports
- Disguising/omitting identifying information
- Findings remain faithful to participants' experience

Rigor/quality

- Research design is spelled out and is consistent
- Does the study address research questions?
- Measures to assure quality of data
- Procedures to minimize researcher bias
- Consensual validation
- Audit trail
- Journaling

Is reader's understanding enhanced?

"Qualitative interpretations illuminate and often provide a vicarious experience by making the familiar more familiar (eliciting the often-cited shock of recognition), making the familiar strange, and/or by revealing what is hidden."
-Sandelowski, 1995, p. 372



An African Proverb

"The lion's story will never be told as long as it is the hunter who tells it."

Developing Interview Guides: Some Basics


- IG functions as a flexible guide to open up a conversation
- Includes broad, open-ended questions to guide the conversation
- Probes included to ensure that details are elicited (e.g., context, changes over time, what person felt, thought, did)
- IG seeks detailed stories, not generalities

MORE BASICS

- Questions may come from clinical experience and literature
- Avoid health care jargon, use lay language
- Avoid closed ended questions
- Questions organized chronologically
- Researcher validates understanding during interview: "You felt really undermined."
- Researcher seeks clarification: "I'm confused"

Excellent Probes

- Tell me more.
- What do you mean?
- Give me an example.
- If I had been a spider on the wall, what would I have seen?
- What do you think the (nurse vs patient, parent vs child) was thinking or feeling during that situation?



"Remember only this one thing," said Badger. "The stories people tell have a way of taking care of them. If stories come to you, care for them. And learn to give them away where they are needed. Sometimes a person needs a story more than food to stay alive. This is why we put these stories in each other's memory. This is how people care for themselves. One day you will be good storytellers. Never forget these obligations" (Lopez, 1990, p. 48).

