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An Online Database and User Community for Physical Models in the Engineering Classroom

Abstract

The Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering at the United States Military Academy (USMA) has partnered with McGraw-Hill to develop a web-site that will list many of the physical models and hands-on-demonstrations currently used at USMA to teach introductory courses in Statics, Dynamics, Mechanics of Materials, Material Science, Thermodynamics, Fluids, Heat Transfer and Structural Analysis. McGraw-Hill approached West Point because of the number of papers written and presented at ASEE and other venues on the use of physical models to include the ASEE Best Zone paper in 2003\textsuperscript{1}.

This paper will present information about the web site – Hands-On Mechanics, the process to develop the web site, the vetting and management process for inclusion of physical models by the faculty at West Point, and how faculty at other institutions can add physical models and participate in the site as it grows. Each physical model has a description of the model, the theoretical background, pictures and/or video of the set-up and use of the demonstration, a parts list (or order location), cost, and building plans, as well as that something extra about other courses where the physical model can be used or how to insert greater insight or drama into the classroom using the model or demonstration. Course assessment data will be provided to demonstrate the impact of physical models on student learning.

The basic concepts in mechanics courses must be driven home if students are to comprehend their follow-on courses. For most students, particularly visual and sensory learners, classroom demonstrations are essential to understanding these “abstract” concepts. Students crave concrete experiences when confronting engineering topics for the first time; in a sense, they say “Don’t TELL me, SHOW me!”

I. Introduction

Physical models are a great way to both educate and motivate the student and can greatly improve student learning. Sound innovative? Sounds new? Not really; these types of techniques were in use at the United States Military Academy and nearly every other engineering institution at the beginning of the 20\textsuperscript{th} century (Figure 1). Hands-on models were once the cornerstone of every class in mechanics, but today many classrooms are equipped with only a textbook, chalkboard (if lucky), and a computer projection system. Is this enough? Not hardly! How can faculty in today’s classrooms foster an atmosphere that is more conducive to student-centered learning? They can start by using hands-on physical models that stimulate learning in their students.

The research and literature supporting the highly successful ASCE ExCEEd Teaching Workshops (ETW)\textsuperscript{2,3} highlights the importance of physical models when covering pedagogy on
how people learn, what constitutes good learning, and how to prepare a good class. Throughout
the workshop physical models are stressed to include having physical models displayed

Figure 1. Truss Analysis at USMA at the Turn of the Century – Note Loading on the Models

throughout the seminar room, providing a tour of the large physical models storage area at the
USMA, and the use of 25-30 physical models during the three demonstration classes (Figure 2).
All participants are strongly encouraged to try all new techniques presented in the workshop
during their practice classes, especially the use of physical models.

Figure 2. Demonstration Class in the ExCEEd Teaching Workshop

Students often have difficulty grasping the reality of what is being discussed in introductory
courses in Mechanics. For some students, especially those who are struggling, physical reality
becomes mired in seemingly endless equations and an apparent mish-mash of theory and
practical application. This doesn’t have to happen, however, since fundamental elements of
Mechanics are constantly demonstrated in everyday life. Students therefore bring considerable
instinctive knowledge (bigger is stronger, things deform in an observable way when loaded, etc.)
to the course, and this natural knowledge can be a springboard for learning. The key is to exploit
this natural knowledge by linking the theoretical solutions to what the students instinctively
know to be true. The authors have observed and pedagogy supports that classroom
demonstrations and physical models do an excellent job of bridging the gap between natural knowledge and theory. Further, formal and informal student feedback consistently reinforces the effectiveness of hands-on demonstrations in driving home key points in Mechanics\textsuperscript{1,4}.

Additionally, two common student attitudes about almost any engineering course are; the course is cryptic (“I’ll never understand this complex stuff!”), and the course is boring (“How can anyone actually LIKE studying this?”). In the experience of the authors, maintaining intellectual excitement in the classroom is the key to suppressing these two typical reactions. That said, preparation of notes, instructor enthusiasm and excitement can only go so far. Once a student begins to lose connection to the topic, the likelihood of seeing one or both of the attitudes above is high. Both authors have heard and experienced these attitudes while teaching their first semester of Mechanics. Therefore, seeking ways to engage student interest, build enthusiasm, and at the same time reinforce basic knowledge in Mechanics led to direct, interactive demonstrations of basic principles which were a good way to

1. push students towards an active mode of learning,
2. excite interest in the topic,
3. link theory to the student’s natural knowledge, and
4. engage global learners fully.

Numerous authors contend that classroom demonstrations, or “hands-on” learning methods, are critical to student understanding.\textsuperscript{5,6,7} Students tend to remember what they see. Lowman contends that demonstrations, which he calls lecture-demonstration classes, are essential in engineering and science courses.\textsuperscript{5}

It is worth noting that many of the articles returned during a literature search under “classroom demonstration” on both the ASEE and Compendex search engines dealt with software rather than benchtop-based demonstrations. While some of these software tools are excellent, the authors were struck by the predominance of simulations as opposed to demonstrations, given that engineering is inherently a hands-on profession.\textsuperscript{8,9} Further, Compendex searches on the phrase “virtual demonstration” and “engineering” came up with more than 1200 hits, while “classroom demonstration” and “engineering” produced only about 170 hits (many of which related to software tools for classroom demonstrations!).\textsuperscript{10} The authors strongly advocate the use of real, tangible, hold-it-in-your-hand demonstrations over computer simulations of system behavior, particularly in the face of a younger generation of students who know that digital images can be manipulated to defy physical laws.

Campbell stated that active learning significantly enhances student retention, with lecture/demonstration combination classes roughly doubling student retention of information versus lecture alone, and direct student involvement in the generation of knowledge roughly redoubling retention when combined with lectures and demonstrations.\textsuperscript{7} This need for physical reality is certainly not new; an oft-repeated Chinese proverb is “I hear and I forget. I see and I remember. I do and I understand.” The authors of this paper concur, and will provide both anecdotal and statistical data to support this contention.
II. Preparation

Success in any endeavor requires proper organization. This is especially true in higher education. Without organization and structure, teaching can easily lose priority relative to research. Preparation and presentation without organization will miss the desired goal of properly educating and then motivating the students to continue in the discipline as a student, an educator, a researcher or a practitioner. According to Lowman, “Most excellent instructors plan very seriously, fully aware that alternative ways of organizing class sessions are available, which go beyond the mere presentation of material to the promotion of active higher-order learning and motivation.”

The planning for the proper use of physical models and hands-on demonstrations must be considered.

There are only a set number of lessons during each semester for professors to properly cultivate learning within their students. When a professor walks into class, opens up the course folder to the sticky note marking the spot the previous lesson stopped, and begins at that point to try and determine what to discuss in class, precious student contact time is wasted. The lesson can quickly disintegrate into a stream of consciousness with an occasional concept being placed in any empty space available on the chalkboard. What is needed is a “grabber” – something to stimulate the student’s curiosity for the current lesson, to set the concept being presented in context and to call forward the student’s natural knowledge.

Why not use a physical model as the “grabber” to excite the students to want to know the impending theory behind the concept?

After deciding to create demonstrations for Mechanics, the authors and others at USMA built and tested a number of classroom apparatus and experiments, not all of which were immediately successful. There were a number of difficulties encountered and lessons learned. First and foremost, making a demonstration simple, quick and correct is essential to success. This can be very challenging. Problems with device machining tolerances, inelastic behavior, unexpected interferences, etc., plagued the efforts. Some of the difficulties encountered are described later in the paper.

These difficulties lead to the second guiding principle of using classroom demonstrations: test everything carefully and repeatedly! The last thing one wants to do in the classroom is provide an example that is counter to theory, or that has be explained with a lot of hand waving and “The result would have been more obvious if…” Even seemingly simple demonstrations can hide unexpected interferences, so careful testing is essential.

Finally, a good demonstration should be dramatic. Think about the basics of theatrical staging. Make sure the students can SEE the demonstration, get students to PARTICIPATE in the demonstration, make everything bigger than life and see-through to emphasize key points, and encourage student excitement during the experiment/demonstration. Paying attention to these basic theatrical rules will help greatly with student retention and desire to learn more.

A lesson is generally considered fully prepared once the professor has developed lesson objectives, studied the material, planned exactly what he or she intends to place where on the chalkboard, acquired the lesson materials (handouts, structural plans, models, etc.), constructed physical models, rehearsed the class, planned in-class group or individual exercises, planned possible in-class assessments, and prepared the associated homework. According to Lowman,
“Teachers who carefully consider what content should be presented and how learning should be organized are more likely to orchestrate virtuoso performances than those who leave much to improvisation.”¹⁴ The most likely area to be overlooked is constructing or locating working physical models.

Maybe the demonstration or physical model should be the centerpiece or start point for each lesson. Just as with a play, except here the professor is the sole performer, the props greatly assist in the effectiveness of the performance.¹⁵ Simply put - a picture is worth a thousand words. Some prep time is usually required to have a proper model prepared or a demonstration set-up in time for a given lesson.¹⁶

A professor who has been teaching the same course for a large number of years may be able to walk into a lesson with little to no practice and use a physical model in an effective, flawless manner, while accomplishing the desired student reaction. For the majority, some type of practice with the model is required. Who has the time? All of us must make time! Few of us are brave enough to walk into a conference without developing the slides, reviewing the order of the slides and thinking through what we plan to say. The same process must be used before presenting a lesson, especially when including a physical model. Some might say, “Why change what I am doing now to add physical models?” The simple fact is, physical models usually have a huge positive impact. However, there are many who feel that developing rapport with the students in each course they teach is unnecessary, but those students who enjoy the time they spend with their professor will enjoy the classroom environment. They are actively engaged in class and feel they learn more.¹⁷ Lowman considered interpersonal rapport so important that it became one dimension of his two-dimensional model for effective teaching.¹⁸

Active education implies engaged students throughout the lesson. Try asking a tough question on the theory you are covering. Then demonstrate and/or have the students play with a physical model which brings the theory alive, and ask the tough question again. For visual and sensory learners, theory and actual application have become one. Get students out of their seats to work with physical models and to participate directly in the creation of new knowledge in themselves and their fellow students. Some professors are reluctant to ask students questions in class. With a physical model present, prompting thought through questions on the model is only natural. Open discussion and answering student questions due to the physical model is an effective way to generate positive rapport. Once the professor asks questions, the students will eventually be encouraged to ask the professor questions based on intriguing course material – and then true learning begins.¹⁹

III. The Web Site

The web site came about through a discussion over a meal at The McGraw Hill Statics and Dynamics Symposium in September 2004. Ron Welch was an invited guest and spoke strongly during the meetings for the need to have physical models rather than just computer simulations form an integral part of every engineering student’s education. The ensuing discussion over the next months led to a partnership with the United States Military Academy to develop and populate the following topics with their current physical models: Statics, Dynamics, Mechanics of Materials, Material Science, Thermodynamics, Fluid Dynamics, Heat Transfer, and Structural Analysis.
When you visit the web site (www.handsonmechanics.com) you will see a welcome page. On that page, each topic icon is a hot link button to the list of available physical models under that topic area. There is no need to register to see the physical models provided by USMA. However, registering will allow users to participate more fully (adding comments, receiving notification of new postings, participating as a reviewer, submitting demonstrations, etc). By the time this article appears, many areas of the site will be populated with demonstrations and some areas will be open for submission of new demonstrations from interested parties outside of USMA.

The vision is to provide one-stop shopping for educators to learn about and build physical models that will enhance the quality of the learning in their classrooms. The list of physical models available in each topic will have a picture of each model along with the submitted title which serves as the link button. The page for each physical model will contain the name of the person(s) who submitted the physical model, a Bottom Line Up Front (short model description), pictures and/or videos of the model being used, Principle (theory supported by the physical model), What You Need (the parts list and how to build it, if needed), How It’s Done (how the submitter uses the physical models in class to include before and in-class instructions), and That Little Extra (how to generate some drama or humor with the physical model, how it is tied to other concepts or future courses, etc.) Figure 3 shows a partial snapshot of one of the demonstrations. The reader is encouraged to surf to the site and check a full page out.

Figure 3. A Snapshot of a Demonstration Page at www.handsonmechanics.com

Once the USMA team agreed to be part of the process, the first step was to develop an initial framework for the content and look of the web site. Of course, the next step was to try and agree on a look and feel for the site. This is not an easy task, especially considering the variety of viewpoints and objectives brought to the project by the involved parties. The USMA team developed a few example web-pages to stimulate discussion with the McGraw-Hill team and the
developers of the web-site infrastructure (Hunt and Gather, Inc.). Throughout the development of the site, the USMA team reviewed the progress and provided feedback on what they would like to see on (added to) the site and how it should work. Once the site was uploaded onto the McGraw-Hill server, the USMA team began alpha-testing it and providing feedback. The feedback loop continues running in an effort to improve the workability of the site for the content providers and the administrators. Teamwork was essential throughout the development process, and the finished site reflects extensive cooperation between the publisher (McGraw-Hill), the developers (Hunt and Gather, Inc.) and the content providers/editors (USMA).

The procedures currently being used with the USMA will continue once the site is open to all for physical model submission. If you provide a physical model, you must be willing to serve as a reviewer for another submitted physical model before your model will be posted. Once a physical model is submitted and the admin team reviews it to ensure that all of the required content appears to be available, technical reviewers are assigned. Once a reviewer is assigned, an e-mail is generated to alert the reviewer that they have been assigned a physical model to review. The reviewer’s mission is to pull down the physical model, build it, and verify that it demonstrates the desired concept and supports the presented theory. All content is then copy-edited and approved final by the website editors, Ron Welch and Led Klosky.

IV. Practical Engineering Classroom Demonstrations

This section presents two examples of classroom-tested demonstrations in engineering. Obviously, the point of the website is to present a comprehensive catalog, and the two provided here are intended to give the readers a taste of the website content. Demonstrations in mechanics have also been published by Vander Schaaf and Klosky.¹⁴

![Figure 4. Knife Blade in Bending](image)

A. Material Science; Bending a Knife Blade like a Paper Clip (Figure 4)
**Bottom Line Up Front:** Knife blades are typically very hard and brittle. In this rapid and inexpensive demonstration developed by Led Klosky, the structure of a steel knife blade is transformed through simple heating and the blade becomes capable of sustaining large deformations without rupture.

**Principal:** Knife blades are typically made of steels with relatively high carbon contents. These steels are also sometimes subjected to aggressive heat treatments which leave them exceptionally hard, strong and brittle. This is particularly true of utility knife blades, which are resistant to deformation (keeping them sharp longer) due to their hardness but have little toughness and thus rupture when subjected to large deformations. By heating the steel utility knife blade to an orange-hot state (perhaps 650 to 700 degrees Celsius), the steel is allowed to go through the initial stages of the annealing process, most likely leading to spheroid structure within the blade. It should be noted that this demonstration is qualitative rather than quantitative, since knowing the initial state of the blade in terms of carbon content or percent martensite, as well as what tempering and annealing went on during manufacturing, is very difficult to determine. Still, the demonstration brings out two key theoretical points. First, the heating and quenching of a sample will not lead to martensitic steels if the heat is insufficient for the formation of austenite. Second, the very simple processes can lead to radical changes in material behavior, and engineers must be aware of these potential transformations when designing machines, especially for high-heat settings.

**What You Will Need:** Table 1 details the items needed to carry out the demonstration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Items needed for the Knife Blade demonstration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice Grips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane Torch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container of Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Knife Blade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrepid Professor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How it’s Done

Before Class: The basic setup is shown in Figure 5. Make sure to test this one thoroughly before trying it in class. Different knife blades can behave very differently, depending on the manufacturing process.

In Class: Begin with the as-manufactured knife blade, and dig into the student's natural knowledge about the behavior. They should be able to guess what will happen when you grab the sample with the two pairs of vice grips and bend. Let them pick which blade to test, and show them that the blade snaps neatly after just a little bit of bending. Then, take a fresh knife blade and heat it thoroughly with a propane torch while holding it with the vice grips (Figure 6). During the heating, which should take less than 1 minute, you can ask the
students to give their thoughts on what is likely to happen. Having the phase diagram for steel displayed in the classroom can help fuel the discussion. The blade can then be quenched in the water without worries, and the sizzling noise is good drama for keeping student interest. The blade cools almost instantly, and can then be bent back on itself without fracture. This demonstration takes only about 4 minutes and is an excellent introduction to the topic of heat treating steels. It’s also a great way to illustrate that a mechanical designer must know about the heat treatment of steels to avoid unexpected behavior.

**That Little Bit Extra:** It is easy to relate this demonstration to what students might have seen in the Old West movies. I like to point out that a blacksmith making a horseshoe had to have a significant amount of knowledge about the heat treatment of steels (post 1870, anyway) in order to make a shoe that was both hard enough and tough enough to take the kind of beating that a galloping horse can dish out. I suppose you could also wear chaps and a 10-gallon hat while doing this demonstration, but I’ve never been inspired to go quite that far...

**B. Statics: The Amazing Weight-Loss Program!!**

![Figure 7. The Amazing Weight-Loss Program](image)

**Bottom Line Up Front:** This is a simple demonstration of the perpendicular and parallel components of a force vector on an inclined plane developed by Tom Messervey and John Richards. By weighing a student or instructor first on the floor and then at some inclination, a “loss of weight” is observed (Figure 7).

**Principal**

The spring scale is designed to measure the force component perpendicular to the ramp or inclination. This begs the question of where the rest of the force goes (the parallel component down the ramp resisted by the force of friction). One can show the trigonometric relationship between the angle of inclination and the similar triangle formed by the weight vector and its components in as much detail as desired. From trigonometry one shows:

\[ w_\perp = w \cos(\theta) \quad w_\parallel = w \sin(\theta) \]
What You Need: Table 2 details the items needed to carry out the demonstration.

Table 2. Items needed for the Amazing Weight loss!!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Description/Clarification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The old style bathroom scale works best. Standard laboratory scales can also be utilized with a book if necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Any improvised inclination works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How It's Done: This is typically conducted on lesson one as a warm up. Simply pre-position the title “Amazing weight loss program” somewhere in the classroom with the scale nearby to get students curious. Have a student read off your weight while standing with the scale flat on the floor. Then, place the scale on the ramp, have the student read your weight again, and have everyone applaud your ingenious weight loss program (Figure 8). Depending on your student population or how long the summer/winter break has been, students can struggle with how the angle of inclination relates to the similar triangle formed by the weight vector. Be ready to work through the derivation.

Figure 8. The sequence of events for the weight loss demo

That Little Extra: Prior to the scale demo, I like to emphasize that statics is a subject in which we’ll be touching, measuring, and investigating the world around us. As a warm up and trigonometry review, I state that a sloppy design partner left a dimension missing off the ramp. Using only a protractor, I challenge the student to find the missing dimension. Inevitably, students struggle with where to put the protractor and some will need the refresher on basic trigonometry. Surprisingly to some, many of the students really benefit from the review, and some students profess to little or no prior knowledge of the most basic geometric functions. While it is highly unlikely that they haven’t seen cosines and the like before, the demonstration clearly helps to refresh that knowledge.

V. Assessment

In Fall 2001 and again in Fall 2002, Vander Schaaf and Klosky\(^1\) resuscitated, developed, and/or instituted many of the demonstrations that will be part of the Mechanics section of the Hands-On Mechanics Website; however, many were not used in Fall 2000. A number of quantitative and qualitative measures of student responses indicate that the addition of classroom demonstrations was highly effective.
1. Semester-end survey questions related to visual connection showed a strong upward trend between 2000 and the subsequent two semesters (see Figure 9). This is significant because the instructors, course content and student population composition remained fairly constant during the three terms (Vander Schaaf and Klosky taught Mechanics all three terms). Questions A2, B1, B7, C5 and C10, detailed in Figure 9, all related directly to the effectiveness of the instructor and thus indirectly to the effectiveness of the classroom demonstrations. Student rating for these questions showed gains on the order of 0.2 points out of 5, which is considerable given that the majority of the ratings were already above 4 and thus had less upward sensitivity. Question A6 asked students about their motivation to learn and continue learning because of this course, and both authors saw a very strong gain in this very important category, with ratings increasing on the order of 0.5 points out of 5 possible.

2. Student excitement was clearly higher during the demonstrations, and the demonstrations always inspire a high volume of questions, a clear sign of student engagement. An additional benefit of the demonstrations is they break up the normal pace of the class and generate a higher level of student interaction for the rest of that class.

3. In speaking with students in the semester following the Mechanics courses, the strongest recollections tend to be of the physical demonstrations rather than equations or even general concepts. Speaking with former students follows a predictable path: reminding the student of the demonstration elicits a strong recollection of the physical demonstration, which usually leads to a recollection of the physical phenomenon.

![Figure 9: Results of Student Feedback on Demonstrations](image)

Student comments also supported the use of demonstrations, though usually not in a direct way. In general, students were very positive about how the course related to real-world
Six years of long-term assessment data from ExCEEd participants reveals insight as to the use of physical models as well. Figure 10 presents the survey data collected from participants during their second semester after attending ExCEEd at West Point (1-none, 2- small, 3- moderate, 4- high, 5- very high). For each topic they note the contribution of each major area of the ExCEEd Teaching Workshop to their overall success. Key areas that can be attributed to or partly to the use of physical models are student interaction and presentation of material, and of course use of demos/visual aids. Generally it could be noted that the group in 2003 possibly experienced less contribution from the use of physical models for their success. However, the real measure must take into consideration the start point of the participants before ETW as shown in Figure 11. Upon comparison of the long-term results for each group
of participants, the delta between before and after for each category has been relatively consistent since the workshop started in 1999.

The obvious result is that the exposure of ExCEEd participants to demonstrations of excellent teaching using physical models and practicing the use of physical models and demonstrations by participants under the watchful gaze of a mentor is key. Some of the specific comments by recent ExCEEd Teaching Workshop Graduates are:

- Toys help me (the instructor) to see the concepts more clearly
- I need one for every lesson
- I need to include more physical models even though I was not taught that way

Teaching takes place only when the students are learning. Many equate teaching with the act of presenting material in a lecture. However physical models and hands-on-demonstrations are a big part of student learning, especially in engineering.

VI. Conclusions

Each physical model on the web has a description of the model, the theoretical background, pictures and/or video of the set-up and use of the demonstration, a parts list (or order location), cost, and building plans, as well as that something extra about other courses where the physical model can be used or how to insert greater insight or drama into the classroom using the model or demonstration.

Based on from ExCEEd participants back at their home universities as well as our own experience with the use of physical models, the inclusion of physical models in each class will enhance student learning – especially for more difficult topics. Most professors simply try to emulate observed styles without any justification as to the effectiveness of different teaching styles. Today, this normally points to classrooms devoid of physical models. Many faculty, especially those attending a teaching workshop such as the ExCEEd Teaching Workshop,
recognize the need to include physical models, but many instructors lack the basic knowledge and resources necessary to implement classroom demonstrations. The Hands-On Mechanics partnered web-site with the McGraw-Hill Companies will provide one-stop shopping for proven physical models and demonstrations that can be quickly implemented by any instructor.

Wankat and Oreovicz\textsuperscript{20} emphasize that properly using physical models helps develop a student-centered classroom while simultaneously improving the presentation and performance of the teacher as Lowman\textsuperscript{5} emphasizes. The end product is an energized, active classrooms and a better educated student.
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