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Abstract
This unique catalytic oxidation experiment is used in a traditional chemical reaction engineering course to demonstrate the principles of
i) reaction rate determination,
ii) reactor design,
iii) heterogeneous catalysis,
iv) heat and mass transfer,
v) environmental application of reactors,
vi) and safety in reactor design.

A major advantage of this experiment is that it does not have costly product and reactant disposal problems. The reactants are propane and air and the products are primarily carbon dioxide and water. These gases are easily disposed of using a common vent system. This experiment is also cost effective. It is inexpensive to run, since the primary reactant is propane and air and the energy source is electricity. The construction of the experiment is relatively simple. The reactor is a stainless steel tube with a section of a honeycomb monolith placed inside the reactor. The tube is heated using an electric furnace. The most expensive item is the gas analysis system starting with a relatively low cost on-line FID detector to a more expensive gas chromatograph or FTIR system.

These experiments have been tested by Rowan engineering students and chemical engineering faculty at a unique hands-on industrially integrated NSF workshop on Novel Process Science and Engineering conducted at Rowan University. We believe that reaction engineering comes alive with students conducting innovative experiments in a laboratory setting. Students are able to see the catalyst; measure gas phase concentrations and flowrates; and use these measurements to examine at least 6 principles of reactor design.

Figure 1: Automotive Catalysts
Introduction

This experiment explores the area of heterogeneous catalysis using the automotive catalytic converter which is the largest market for heterogeneous catalytic reactors. Autocatalysts have been placed in approximately 225 million of the world’s 400 million plus automobiles. Nearly everyone with a car less than 10 years old owns a catalytic converter.

A smaller, but growing market is for oxidation catalyst is in the destruction of volatile organic compounds from manufacturing sources. These catalytic reactors are designed using similar principles to the automotive catalysts. Base metals and platinum group metals catalyze the CO oxidation and unburned hydrocarbons as well as reduced NO\textsubscript{x}. Large installations have been in place on stationary internal combustion engines, gas turbines. Johnson-Matthey has developed other products such as CONCAT for halogenated hydrocarbon destruction and Honeycat for standby generators and diesel engines working in confined spaces.

The catalytic converter was originally introduced to reduce the photochemical smog problems in large cities such as Los Angeles and Tokyo. The automobile was identified as the major producer of smog precursors and a catalytic converter was required. The catalytic converter consists of a honey comb monolith support with a washcoat of metals are placed on the surface of the support. A typical monolith is either ceramic or metal and consists of approximately 1 mm square channels 6 inches in length.

The current state-of-the art catalysts is a three-way catalyst in which (1) unburned hydrocarbons and (2) CO are oxidized to CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O, and NO is reduced to N\textsubscript{2}. A brief review of these reactions and reactors is presented by Schmidt (1998). Typical metals used in catalysis are platinum (Pt) and/or Palladium (Pd) to oxidize CO and hydrocarbons and rhodium (Rh) to reduce NO\textsubscript{x}. Jacoby (1999) reports that these catalyst are continually being engineered to reduce emissions from cars with cold engines, and meet California’s Air standards of low and ultra low emission vehicles. In all new catalyst the reaction rate must be determined. This paper will describe a classic experiment to determine the reaction rate of these catalysts.

Experimental Equipment

In this experiment a small portion of an actual automotive catalyst is used. A typical automotive catalyst is shaped in an ellipse with axes of 5 5/8 and 3 inches. There are 400 square channels per in\textsuperscript{2} having a length of 6 inches. The catalyst you will be working with is cylindrical having a diameter of 25 mm length of 30 mm. The average weight (monolith + metal washcoat) is 8.434g. This catalyst is wrapped in a ceramic blanket, used as a gasket, and then placed in a stainless steel tube. A picture of both the full-scale automotive catalyst and the small catalyst are given above.

To obtain rate data the propane concentration and reactor temperature will be varied as shown in Table 1. Note that the temperatures that are used are above the autoignition temperature of
propane of 468°C. Why doesn’t propane just burn? At room temperature and atmospheric pressure the explosive limits for propane are between 2.3 and 9.5 vol% in air. The concentration of propane we are using in this experiment is around 1000 ppm or 0.1% propane and at these low concentrations it is difficult to burn a hydrocarbon.

The active sites of this Johnson-Matthey catalyst are Palladium. To prepare this catalyst it was aged at 900°C for 12 hours in 10% H₂O and balance nitrogen. The catalyst is contained within a 1.25 Schedule 80 (1.278” ID) 316 stainless steel pipe and is located 8 inches from the top of the reactor. Ceramic fiber blanket is placed between the catalyst walls and the stainless steel tube walls. The ceramic fiber holds the catalyst in place as well as prevents gas from bypassing the catalyst. A 6 inch bed of sand is situated at the bottom of the reactor to both preheat and distribute the gas. The reactor temperature is maintained using a model F79345 Thermolyne split tube furnace from. The furnace has a 12 inch heated zone and is rated for 2880 Watts and a maximum temperature of 1200°C.

Propane was obtained from MG Industries and has a purity of 99% propane and is rated chemical pure (CP grade). Air was obtained through the house compressor and regulated from 120 psig to 14 psig.

Gas concentrations are analyzed using a Nicolet Magna-IR® E.S.P. Spectrometer. This spectrometer uses a 2 m gas cell path length with a KBr substrate beamsplitter. In this analysis the spectrometer can detect compounds that have a net dipole moment such as CO₂ or NO, but can not detect compounds with

Figure 3: Catalytic Reactor
Figure 5: FTIR spectrum a catalytic reactor outlet stream from an inlet stream of 1000 ppm propane in air at a furnace temperature of 500°C.

Figure 4: Standard Spectra of Possible Absorbing Compounds
such as O₂ and N₂. In this experiment we will only quantify the concentration of propane. The complete Fourier Transform of a signal from a mixture of 1000 ppm of propane passed through a catalyst sample at a furnace temperature of 500°C is given in Figure 4. Propane is detected primarily from the C-H stretch in the range of 3000 to 2850 cm⁻¹. Figure 4 can be compared with standard spectra shown in Figure 5 to determine if other product gases are present.

**Experimental Procedure**

In this lab you will determine the reaction rate parameters by varying the inlet concentration of propane and furnace temperature as shown in Table 1. If time is limited, each team can perform several conditions using multiple units or multiple laboratory periods. The results from these laboratories would then be combined for a complete analysis of the rate data. These experiments can be divided into several groups.

**Table 1: Suggested Experimental Conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furnace Temperatures °C</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Propane Concentration, ppm</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Rotameter (mm)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane Rotameter (mm)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Analysis**

In this experiment we will assume that the reaction kinetics can be determined from a differential reactor model. In a differential reactor a small amount of catalyst which results in small changes in concentration and temperature. Thus the catalyst can be assumed to be isothermal. At steady-state the mass balance on propane over a small volume of catalyst is:

\[
0 = F_{\text{in}} - F_{\text{out}} + \frac{r W}{F} \text{mol/s}
\]

Using this \( F_{\text{in}} - F_{\text{out}} + r W = 0 \)

where

- \( F_{\text{in}} \) molar flowrate of propane into reactor \([\text{mol/s}]\)
- \( F_{\text{out}} \) molar flowrate of propane out of reactor \([\text{mol/s}]\)
- \( r \) reaction rate of propane \([\text{mol/(g cat s)]}\)
- \( W \) weight of catalyst \([\text{g cat s]}\)

This mass balance is equivalent mathematically to a CSTR. Since there is a change in temperature between gas flowmeters and the outlet of the reactor, then the volume flowrate, \( Q \), of gas increases through the reactor.

\( F = CQ \)

Another factor, which can be ignored because of small

![Figure 6: Reaction Limiting Processes](image-url)
changes in concentration, is the increase in total number of moles going from reactants to products. From the ideal gas law the change in flowrate with temperature is

$$Q_{\text{out}} = Q_{\text{in}} \left( \frac{T_{\text{out}}}{T_{\text{in}}} \right)$$

Using the above equations the rate of propane destruction is

$$rW = (CQ)_{\text{in}} - (CQ)_{\text{out}} = Q_{\text{in}} \left( C_{\text{in}} - C_{\text{out}} \left( \frac{T_{\text{out}}}{T_{\text{in}}} \right) \right)$$

The average concentration within the catalyst is given by

$$\overline{C} = \left( \frac{C_{\text{in}} + C_{\text{out}}}{2} \right)$$

Since your measurements are being reported in ppm by volume, x, (10,000 ppm = 1%), then

$$C = xC_T = x10^6 \left( \frac{P}{RT} \right)$$

In a typical differential reactor model experiment the change of concentration should be less than 10%. In our experiment we will exceed this amount, because we are using an industry standard test catalyst of 30 mm in length. To achieve small changes in concentration would require us to decrease the length of the catalyst.

From the experiments it was determined that the reaction rate order with respect to propane concentration was approximately 1.4. These rates can be compared to reported data of Morooka et al. (1967).

$$r' = kC_{\text{propane}}^{1.3} C_{\text{oxygen}}^{-1.6}$$

with

$$k = 10^{6.89} \left( \frac{\text{mol}}{m^2 \text{cat s}} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{36.3 \text{ kcal/mol}}{1.987 \times 10^{3} \text{ kcal/(mol K)T}} \right)$$

Now we need to consider if the reaction rate in the palladium monolith is limited by mass transfer or reaction kinetics. A typical temperature dependence for a reaction controlled by kinetics is:

$$k = \exp \left( \frac{-E_a}{RT} \right)$$

A reaction controlled by external mass transfer is nearly independent of temperature. Thus a plot of $\ln r$, at a constant propane concentration, as a function of $1/T$ would resemble the adjacent graph.

**Safety and Environmental Considerations**

This experiment is operated in a safe and environmentally responsible manner. All vessels must be rated for pressures greater than the release pressure of the liquefied propane tank. The concentrations of propane in the air stream are representative of hydrocarbons present in the exhaust gases of cars and are converted to CO$_2$ and water using the catalyst.
Conclusion
These experiments have been run by Rowan engineering students and chemical engineering faculty at a unique hands-on industrially integrated NSF workshop on Novel Process Science and Engineering conducted at Rowan University. We believe that a reaction engineering comes alive with students conducting innovative experiments in a laboratory setting. In addition these experiments are related to a commercially important process: the automotive catalytic converter. Using this experiment students are able to see the catalyst; measure gas phase concentrations and flowrates; and use these measurements to examine at least 6 principles of reactor design.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Costs and Supplies</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Split Tube Furnace, multiprogrammable</td>
<td>Model F79345 Thermolyne</td>
<td>$2,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane Flowmeter</td>
<td>03267-00 Cole-Parmer Instrument company</td>
<td>$123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Flowmeter</td>
<td>6F-5520-1700 VWR Gilmont Flowmeter</td>
<td>$99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP Grade Propane, 20 lbs</td>
<td>MG Industries</td>
<td>$120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane Regulator</td>
<td>MG Industries</td>
<td>$133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Temperature Indicator</td>
<td>DP116-KC1 Omega Engineering</td>
<td>$195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316 Stainless Steel Pipe Sch 80, 24&quot;</td>
<td>Lincoln Supply</td>
<td>$55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two 316 Stainless Steel Caps</td>
<td>Lincoln supply</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Type K Thermocouples, 24&quot;, 1/16 OD</td>
<td>GKQIN-116U-24 Omega Engineering</td>
<td>$63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Type K Thermocouple,</td>
<td>GKQIN-18U-12 Omega Engineering</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubing and fittings</td>
<td>Swagelok</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolet MagnaIR 560 E.S.P. Spectrometer or NDIR detector (or GC, GC-MS, online FID)</td>
<td>Nicolet</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>or</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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