# AC 2010-5: A COMPUTER-BASED APPROACH TO THE ANALYSIS OF TRANSIENT STABILITY OF POWER SYSTEMS

Mark Lau, Universidad del Turabo

Sastry Kuruganty, Universidad del Turabo

## A Computer-Based Approach to the Analysis of Transient Stability in Power Systems

## Abstract

This paper presents a simple, yet powerful approach to introducing the topic of transient stability in a power system course. The problem of transient stability is of fundamental importance in the analysis and design of power systems. The solution to this problem couples numerical methods for solving power flows with those for solving the differential equations that describe the behavior of synchronous machines.

Transient stability is very rich in technical and mathematical content. As such it is a challenging topic for students to grasp and for instructors to present. This paper uses spreadsheets to implement the step-by-step procedure that is typical in a transient stability study. Unlike commercially available power system software, spreadsheets expose the solution steps with clarity without obscuring the inner workings of the numerical methods employed.

## 1. Introduction

Stability is a major concern in the planning and operation of power systems. Network disturbances such as a short circuit in a transmission line, sudden loss of generation, or the loss of a large load may cause instability; if such disturbances are not cleared away rapidly, instability may ultimately lead to power failure, along with the economic losses associated with the occurrence of such events. Transient stability is defined as the ability of the power system to maintain synchronism when subjected to a severe transient disturbance, such as the ones mentioned previously. When subjected to severe disturbances, the system exhibits large excursions of generator rotor angles, bus voltages, power flows, among other system variables. The system is said to be stable if it is able to maintain synchronism once the disturbance is cleared and operates at a new quiescent point.

The analysis of transient stability in large power systems is complex, thus requiring the use of computers. Commercial software for analyzing power systems is available, e.g., PowerWorld, electromagnetic transients program (EMTP), MATLAB's power system toolbox, among others. These computer programs are highly sophisticated and require training for their proper use. However, the richness of the numerical methods that are packed with commercial software oftentimes gets unnoticed by the untrained user.

This paper presents a simple, yet powerful approach to introducing the topic of transient stability in a power system course. In an introductory power course it is more desirable to instill in students a better appreciation for the methods used in transient stability analysis, rather than to demand expeditious solutions rendered by commercial programs. A stronger emphasis on fundamentals can endow students with sharper critical thinking skills to judge results generated by specific-purpose software. In this paper, the transient stability analysis of small power systems is implemented using spreadsheets. Spreadsheets are widely available and do not require significant programming; spreadsheets offer a convenient environment for tracking convergence of results and exploring what-if questions for sensitivity analysis. Spreadsheets lend themselves to a very transparent implementation of the step-by-step procedures encountered in transient stability analysis, without obscuring the inner workings of the numerical methods employed in such studies.

The disturbances considered in this paper are three-phase short circuits at generator buses. These faults are the most severe type, as they cause maximum acceleration of the connected machines. The presentation will follow those of most textbooks;<sup>1-4</sup> equations will be presented without derivations as they can be found in standard reference material. <sup>1-4</sup> The emphasis will be placed on the construction of spreadsheet models. Uses of spreadsheets in power system analysis have been reported in the literature. <sup>5-7</sup> It is along this line that this paper is presented, continuing the efforts initiated by the authors in a power systems course.<sup>6</sup>

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the governing equations for transient stability analysis of a single-machine system, and includes the corresponding spreadsheet implementation. Section 3 suggests a possible spreadsheet adaptation in the stability analysis of a special case of two-machine systems. Section 4 discusses the pedagogical advantages of the spreadsheet approach. Finally, Section 5 gives concluding remarks.

## 2. Transient stability in a single-machine system

## 2.1 The swing equation

The transient stability analysis begins with the formulation of the governing equation that describes the dynamic behavior of a synchronous machine. This equation is referred to as swing equation, which in a per unit (p.u.) system may be written as<sup>3</sup>

$$\frac{2H}{\omega_{\rm syn}}\omega_{\rm p.u.}(t)\frac{d^2\delta(t)}{dt^2} = p_{m\rm p.u.}(t) - p_{e\rm p.u.}(t)$$
(1)

where

$$\begin{split} \delta &= \text{power angle in radians,} \\ \omega_{\text{p.u.}} &= \text{angular speed of the rotor in p. u.,} \\ t &= \text{time in seconds,} \\ \omega_{\text{syn}} &= \text{synchronous angular speed in rad/s} \\ H &= \text{machine inertia constant in seconds,} \\ p_{m\text{p.u.}} &= \text{mechanical power in p. u.,} \\ p_{e\text{n.u.}} &= \text{electrical power in p. u.} \end{split}$$

In the per-unit swing equation of (1),  $\omega_{syn} = 2\pi f$  with f being the rated frequency in hertz,  $p_{mp.u.}$  is the p.u. mechanical power supplied by the prime mover minus mechanical losses,  $p_{ep.u.}$  is the p.u. electrical power output of the generator plus electrical losses.

The electrical power,  $p_e$ , delivered by the generator to an infinite bus (see Figure 1) can be found from<sup>3</sup>

$$p_e = \frac{E' V_{\text{bus}}}{X_{\text{eq}}} \sin \delta \tag{2}$$

where E' is the internal generator voltage,  $X_{eq}$  is the equivalent reactance between the generator internal voltage and the infinite bus,  $V_{bus}$  is the voltage of the infinite bus system, X is the reactance of the infinite bus system, and  $\delta$  is the power angle with respect to the infinite bus – the latter typically taken to be 0°. If all these quantities (except  $\delta$ ) are expressed in p.u. then Equation (2) will yield  $p_{ep.u.}$ 

Although the transient stability of a single-machine, infinite-bus system can be best analyzed by way of the so called equal area criterion, the paper will concentrate on numerical methods for solving the differential equation in (1). For additional information on the equal area criterion, the interested reader may consult any of the standard references.<sup>1-4</sup>

Since the swing equation is a second-order differential equation, it is more convenient to rewrite it as a set of two first-order differential equations<sup>3</sup>

$$\frac{d\delta(t)}{dt} = \omega(t) - \omega_{\rm syn}, \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{d\omega(t)}{dt} = \frac{(p_{mp.u.}(t) - p_{ep.u.}(t))\omega_{syn}}{2H\omega_{p.u.}(t)}.$$
(4)

#### 2.2 The modified Euler method

The modified Euler method is a well known numerical technique for solving differential equations. To apply the method to the system of equations in (3) - (4), a time interval of interest is chosen, say  $[t_0, t_0 + T]$  (T > 0), over which the solution is to be approximated. The time interval is then divided so as to obtain a set discrete times of the form

$$t_k = t_0 + hk$$
  $(k = 0, 1, 2, ..., \left[\frac{T}{h}\right]),$ 

where  $h = t_{k+1} - t_k$  denotes the time increment (step size).

The Euler equations for the swing equation at time  $t_k$  are then given by<sup>3</sup>

$$\tilde{\delta}_k = \delta_k + \left(\frac{d\delta}{dt}\right)_k h, \tag{5}$$



Figure 1. Synchronous generator connected to an infinite bus.

$$\widetilde{\omega}_k = \omega_k + \left(\frac{d\omega}{dt}\right)_k h, \tag{6}$$

$$\left(\frac{d\widetilde{\delta}}{dt}\right)_{k} = \widetilde{\omega}_{k} - \omega_{\text{syn}},\tag{7}$$

$$\left(\frac{d\tilde{\omega}}{dt}\right)_{k} = \frac{(\tilde{p}_{mp.u.k} - \tilde{p}_{ep.u.k})\omega_{\text{syn}}}{2H\tilde{\omega}_{p.u.k}},\tag{8}$$

1.22

$$\delta_{k+1} = \delta_k + \frac{\left(\frac{d\delta}{dt}\right)_k + \left(\frac{d\delta}{dt}\right)_k}{2} h, \qquad (9)$$

$$\omega_{k+1} = \omega_k + \frac{\left(\frac{d\omega}{dt}\right)_k + \left(\frac{d\widetilde{\omega}}{dt}\right)_k}{2} h.$$
(10)

In a typical transient stability study,  $t_0 = 0$  and T = 1 s. The method will be applied to assess the stability of a single-machine, infinite bus system whose line diagram is depicted in Figure 2. The example is based on a system discussed in Reference 3. In Figure 2, the machine is a threephase, 60 Hz synchronous generator, connected through a transformer and transmission lines to an infinite bus. All reactances are indicated in the figure and given in per unit of a common base. The machine inertia constant is H=3 p.u.-s. To perform power flow calculations, it is assumed that the infinite bus receives 1.0 p.u. at 0.9 ( $\cos \varphi$ ) lagging power factor (p.f.).

The equivalent reactance between the generator internal voltage and the infinite bus is

$$X_{eq} = X'_d + X_T + X_{12} || (X_{13} + X_{23})$$
  
= 0.35 + 0.15 + 0.30 || (0.20 + 0.16)  
= 0.6636.

The current into the infinite bus is given by

$$I = \frac{P}{V_{\text{bus}}\cos\varphi} e^{-j\cos^{-1}\varphi} = \frac{1.0}{(1.0)(0.9)} e^{-j\cos^{-1}0.9} = 1.1111 e^{-j25.842^{\circ}}.$$



Figure 2. One-line diagram of a synchronous generator connected to a system.

Therefore, the machine internal voltage is

$$E'e^{j\delta} = V_{\text{bus}} + jX_{\text{eq}}I$$
  
= 1.0 + j0.6636(1.1111e^{-j25.842°})  
= 1.4787e^{j26.667°}.

The electrical power  $p_{e1}$  delivered by the generator is obtained from Equation (2)

$$p_{e1} = \frac{(1.4787)(1.0)}{0.6636} \sin \delta = 2.2282 \sin \delta$$

It is assumed now that at some arbitrary time, say  $t_0 = 0$ , a three-phase-to-ground short circuit occurs on line 1–3 at bus 3. The fault is subsequently cleared by opening circuit breakers located at the ends of lines 1–3 and 2–3. The faulted network is depicted in Figure 3(a). To compute the electrical power  $p_{e2}$  delivered by the generator during the fault, it is convenient to find the Thévenin equivalent of the faulted network (see Figure 3(b)), as viewed from the generator internal voltage source. During the fault,

$$X_{\text{Th}} = X'_d + X_T + X_{12} ||X_{13}|| = 0.35 + 0.15 + 0.30 ||0.20|| = 0.62 \text{ p.u.}$$

and

$$V_{\rm Th} = V_{\rm bus} \left( \frac{X_{13}}{X_{12} + X_{13}} \right) = 1.0 e^{j 0^{\circ}} \left( \frac{0.20}{0.30 + 0.20} \right) = 0.4 e^{j 0^{\circ}} \text{ p. u.}$$



Figure 3. Circuit diagrams for stability analysis: (a) faulted network; (b) Thévenin equivalent of faulted network; and (c) post-fault network, with lines 1–3 and 2–3 removed.

Substituting  $X_{Th}$  and  $V_{Th}$  in Equation (2) yields

$$p_{e2} = \frac{(1.4787)(0.4)}{0.62} \sin \delta = 0.9540 \sin \delta.$$

The fault is subsequently cleared resulting in the removal of lines 1–3 and 2–3, as depicted by the post-fault network in Figure 3(c). Finally, the electrical power  $p_{e3}$  delivered by the generator after the fault is cleared, is once again obtained from Equation (2) under post-fault conditions

$$p_{e3} = \frac{(1.4787)(1.0)}{0.80} \sin \delta = 1.8484 \sin \delta.$$

This concludes the preliminary power flow calculations for pre-, during-, and post-fault operating conditions. The next step in the transient stability analysis is to implement the modified Euler method, as given by Equations (3)–(10).

## 2.3 Spreadsheet implementation of the single-machine, infinite-bus system

In this section the modified Euler method is implemented via spreadsheets. The spreadsheet to be implemented has three sections: (i) input section; (ii) calculations section; and (iii) graphical section.

The input section of the spreadsheet is shown in Figure 4. The input section spans the cell range A1:F11. It displays preliminary calculations such as power flows (red fonts) and other

	A	B	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1	Transient Stability Example							
2	Mechanical p	oower (p_m) =		1	p.u.	% From power fl	ow calculations	
3	Pre-fault max	(imum power (j	p_1max) =	2.2282	p.u.	% From power fl	ow calculations	
4	During fault n	naximum powe	er (p_2max) =	0.9540	p.u.	% From power fl	ow calculations	
5	Post-fault ma	aximum power	(p_3max) =	1.8484	p.u.	% From power fl	ow calculations	
6	Initial (pre-fau	ilt) power angle	e (delta_0) =	0.4654	rad	% From power fl	ow calculations	
7	Frequency (f)	) =		60	Hz	% Frequency m	ay be changed	
8	Synchronous	speed (omega	a_s) =	376.9911	rad/s	% omega_s = 2	*pi*f	
9	Machine iner	tia constant (H	) =	3	p.us	% Inertia consta	nt may be change	ed
10	Clearance tin	ne (t_cl) =		0.35	S	% Fault clearan	ce time may be c	hanged
11	Step size (De	elta t) =		0.01	s	% Time step for	numerical method	d may be changed

Figure 4. Input section of spreadsheet for transient stability analysis.

parameters such as clearance time and step size (blue fonts) that can be modified by the user. The goal of the transient stability analysis is to determine the critical clearance time,  $t_{\rm cr}$ , the maximum time a system may be subjected to a sustained fault before it is cleared away without incurring instability. In other words, the clearance time in cell D10 may be modified (provided all other parameters remain unchanged) until one can discern whether stability is maintained or lost after fault clearance.

The calculations section of the spreadsheet is illustrated in Figure 5. The calculations span the cell range A13:1114. Some of the rows in the table were hidden to make the figure more manageable. Cell range A13:113 simply contains labels to identify the quantities to be computed. Cell range A14:114 contains initial conditions and other calculations at time  $t_0 = 0$ , the instant at which the fault occurs. Cell range A15:115 implements the modified Euler method (Equations (3)–(10)) and constitutes the first complete iteration of the method. The rest of the rows are replicas of the cell range A15:115, which are generated by the powerful Copy command of the spreadsheet.

The contents of cells A14 through 115, along with a brief description of the corresponding Microsoft Excel formulas, are summarized in Table 1.

It is pointed out that throughout the analysis the mechanical power,  $p_m$ , is assumed to be constant and equal to 1.0 p.u. Prior to the fault ( $t_0 < 0$ ) the generator was delivering electrical power  $p_{e1}$ ; when the fault occurs (at  $t_0 = 0$ ) the electrical power is  $p_{e2}$ ; and, finally, when the fault is cleared at time  $t = t_{cl}$ , the electrical power is  $p_{e3}$ . To account for changing conditions, conditional IF statements are programmed in the spreadsheet so that the value of  $p_{e2}$  is used for the time interval  $0 < t < t_{cl}$ , and the value of  $p_{e3}$  for  $t > t_{cl}$ .

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1
13	Time (s)	Delta (rad)	Omega (rad/s)	d/dt delta	d/dt omega	delta~	omega~	d/dt delta~	d/dt omega~
14	0.00	0.4654	376.9911	0.0000	35.9302	0.4654	377.3504	0.3593	35.8960
15	0.01	0.4672	377.3502	0.3591	35.7999	0.4708	377.7082	0.7171	35.5744
16	0.02	0.4726	377.7071	0.7160	35.4791	0.4798	378.0619	1.0708	35.0654
17	0.03	0.4815	378.0598	1.0687	34.9716	0.4922	378.4096	1.4184	34.3752
18	0.04	0.4940	378.4066	1.4155	34.2835	0.5081	378.7494	1.7583	33.5118
19	0.05	0.5098	378.7456	1.7544	33.4228	0.5274	379.0798	2.0887	32.4850
20	0.06	0.5291	379.0751	2.0840	32.3994	0.5499	379.3991	2.4080	31.3068
21	0.07	0.5515	379.3936	2.4025	31.2250	0.5755	379.7059	2.7148	29.9906
22	0.08	0.5771	379.6997	2.7086	29.9132	0.6042	379.9988	3.0077	28.5519
23	0.09	0.6057	379.9920	3.0009	28.4792	0.6357	380.2768	3.2857	27.0073
24	0.10	0.6371	380.2695	3.2783	26.9398	0.6699	380.5389	3.5477	25.3751
34	0.20	1.0716	382.1103	5.1192	10.0682	1.1228	382.2110	5.2198	8.6853
44	0.30	1.6155	382.6252	5.6340	2.9067	1.6718	382.6542	5.6631	3.1486
48	0.34	1.8435	382.7742	5.7831	5.0280	1.9013	382.8245	5.8334	6.0413
49	0.35	1.9016	382.8295	5.8384	-46.2924	1.9600	382.3666	5.3755	-43.9939
50	0.36	1.9576	382.3781	5.3870	-44.0929	2.0115	381.9372	4.9461	-41.6612
54	0.40	2.1402	380.8054	3.8142	-34.6291	2.1784	380.4591	3.4679	-32.2242
64	0.50	2.3830	378.3326	1.3415	-17.0008	2.3964	378.1626	1.1715	-15.8741
74	0.60	2.4458	376.9964	0.0053	-11.6148	2.4458	376.8802	-0.1109	-11.6137
84	0.70	2.3840	375.6597	-1.3314	-17.0350	2.3707	375.4894	-1.5018	-18.1634
94	0.80	2.1415	373.1626	-3.8286	-35.2588	2.1032	372.8100	-4.1811	-37.6480
104	0.90	1.5422	368.4813	-8.5098	-54.4873	1.4571	367.9365	-9.0547	-53.8484
114	1.00	0.4703	365.1674	-11.8237	10.5379	0.3520	365.2728	-11.7183	23.5183

**Figure 5.** Section of the spreadsheet that implements the modified Euler method. The row corresponding to clearance time  $t_{cl} = 0.35$  s (row 49 in this spreadsheet) is highlighted.

Cell	Excel formula	Description
A14	0	Initial time $t_0$ . This is the instant at
		which the fault occurs; normally $t_0$ is
		set to zero.
B14	=D6	Copy the initial (pre-fault) power angle
		$\delta$ from cell D6.
C14	=D8	Copy the initial (pre-fault) generator
		(synchronous) speed $\omega$ from cell D8.
D14	=C14-\$D\$8	Generator relative speed at time step $k$ ,
		$\left(\frac{d\delta}{dt}\right)_k$ , given by Equation (3).
E14	=IF(A14>=\$D\$10,(\$D\$2- \$D\$5*SIN(B14))*\$D\$8^2/(2*\$D\$9*C14),(\$ D\$2- \$D\$4*SIN(B14))*\$D\$8^2/(2*\$D\$9*C14))	Generator acceleration at time step $k$ ,
		$\left(\frac{d\omega}{dt}\right)_k$ , given by Equation (4). The
		conditional IF is used to check whether
		the fault has been cleared or not so that
		the correct value of $p_{e2}$ or $p_{e3}$ is
		substituted in Equation (4).
F14	=B14+D14*\$D\$11	Intermediate value of power angle at
		time step $k$ , $\tilde{\delta}_k$ , given by Equation (5).

**Table 1.** Microsoft Excel formulas for the modified Euler method.

r			
Cell	Excel formula	Description	
G14	=C14+E14*\$D\$11	Intermediate value of generator relative	
		speed at time step k, $\widetilde{\omega}_k$ , given by	
		Equation (6).	
H14	=G14-\$D\$8	Slope correction for generator relative	
		speed at time step $k$ , $\left(\frac{d\tilde{\delta}}{dt}\right)_k$ , given by	
		Equation (7).	
114	=IF(A14>=\$D\$10,(\$D\$2-	Slope correction for generator	
	\$D\$5*SIN(F14))*\$D\$8^2/(2*\$D\$9*G14),(\$ D\$2-	acceleration at time step $k$ , $\left(\frac{d\widetilde{\omega}}{dt}\right)_k$ ,	
	\$D\$4*SIN(F14))*\$D\$8^2/(2*\$D\$9*G14))	given by Equation (8). The conditional	
		IF is used to check whether the fault	
		has been cleared or not so that the	
		correct value of $p_{e2}$ or $p_{e3}$ is substituted	
		in Equation (8).	
A15	=A14+\$D\$11	Updated value of time for current	
		iteration. The time resolution is	
		determined by the step size in cell D11.	
B15	=B14+((D14+H14)/2)*\$D\$11	Updated power angle $\delta$ computed from	
		Equation (9).	
C15	=C14+((E14+I14)/2)*\$D\$11	Updated generator relative speed $\omega$	
		computed from Equation (10).	
D15:l15	Various	Copies of formulas in cell range	
		D14:I14.	
A16:I114	Various	Copies of formulas in cell range	
		A15:I15.	

 Table 1 (continued).
 Microsoft Excel formulas for the modified Euler method.

To make the determination of the critical clearance time ( $t_{cr}$ ) more interactive, a scroll bar may be inserted in the spreadsheet. The scroll bar may be found under the Developer menu of Microsoft Excel. The scroll bar may be placed in the spreadsheet by following the sequence Developer > Insert Form Controls > Scroll Bar. In this case, the settings were modified by rightclicking on the scroll bar and selecting Format Control from the drop-down menu; and then selecting the Control tab to set Minimum value to 0, Maximum value to 100, Incremental change to 1, and Cell link to K10. The actual clearance time ( $t_{cl}$ ) in cell D10 simply scales the content of cell K10 by a factor of 1/100. The scaling factor circumvents the restriction on integer-only incremental change allowed by the scroll bar settings.

To exploit the graphical capabilities of Microsoft Excel, a graph displaying the time history of the generator rotor angle may be incorporated into the spreadsheet. This offers a very convenient user interface. For instance, the user may slide the control within the scroll bar to adjust the clearance time and simultaneously observe the effect on system stability from the graph. The scroll bar and the graph constitute the graphical section of the spreadsheet, a sample of which is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Generator power angle for critical clearance time  $t_{cr} = 0.35$  s. The top portion of the figure displays the scroll bar, which is linked to cell K10.

The generator power angle shown in Figure 6 corresponds to a clearance time  $t_{cl} = 0.35$  s. Since this is the maximum time the system can operate with a sustained fault before being cleared, it can be concluded that the critical clearance time is  $t_{cr} = 0.35$  s. Any clearance time below  $t_{cr}$  will show time histories qualitatively similar to that in Figure 6, that is, the machine is able to oscillate and eventually attain synchronism under new operating conditions.

To demonstrate that  $t_{\rm cr} = 0.35$  s is a reasonable approximation to the critical clearance time, the user may attempt to slide the control in the scroll bar to set the value in cell K10 to, say 36. This in turn implies that the clearance time in cell D10 will be 0.36 (scales the value in cell K10 by a factor of 1/100). The effect of having a clearance time  $t_{\rm cl} = 0.36$  s (>  $t_{\rm cr}$ ) is illustrated in Figure 7. From the figure, it is obvious that for this clearance time the system will be unstable, as the generator rotor angle incurs large excursions without being able to produce oscillations that can steer the system to a new quiescent operating point.

In summary, the proposed spreadsheet allows the user to assess the stability of a single-machine, infinite-bus system. The critical clearance time can be determined by manually adjusting the slide control of a scroll bar. The effect of different values of clearance times on system stability may be observed instantly in a graph. Moreover, the spreadsheet is capable of handling other parameter variations, e.g. transmission line parameters, thereby allowing the user to explore system robustness or conduct sensitivity analysis.



**Figure 7.** Generator power angle for clearance time  $t_{cl} = 0.36 \text{ s} > t_{cr}$ .

## 3. Transient stability in a two-machine system

Real power systems contain multiple machines interconnected through a grid. In practice, the stability analysis of large-scale systems is performed with specialized software. Although the transient stability analysis of multi-machine systems is beyond the scope of this paper, in this section an alternative swing equation is provided whereby the spreadsheet introduced in Section 2.3 may still be employed.

To be more specific, it is assumed that two machines 1 and 2 operating within the same power plant are connected to a common bus. The two machines may be combined into a single dynamically equivalent machine with corresponding swing equation<sup>4</sup>

$$\frac{2H_{12}}{\omega_{\rm syn}} \frac{d^2 \delta_{12}(t)}{dt^2} = P_{m12}(t) - P_{e12}(t)$$
(11)

where the relative angle  $\delta_{12}$  equals  $\delta_1 - \delta_2$ , and the equivalent inertia constant  $H_{12}$  and weighted mechanical and electrical powers  $P_{m12}$  and  $P_{e12}$  are given by

$$H_{12} = \frac{H_1 H_2}{H_1 + H_2},\tag{12}$$

$$P_{m12} = \frac{P_{m1}H_2 - P_{m2}H_1}{H_1 + H_2},\tag{13}$$

$$P_{e12} = \frac{P_{e1}H_2 - P_{e2}H_1}{H_1 + H_2}.$$
(14)

In Equations (12)–(14),  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are the inertia constants,  $P_{m1}$  and  $P_{m2}$  are the mechanical powers, and  $P_{e1}$  and  $P_{e2}$  are the electrical powers of machines 1 and 2, respectively. In a transient stability analysis the relative angle  $\delta_{12}$  is of interest; for the two-machine system to be stable, the relative angle must decrease after a fault is cleared. Incorporating all the changes indicated by Equations (12)–(14), the spreadsheet introduced in Section 2.3 may be used to solve the combined swing equation (11), except in this case the relative angle is of interest.

#### 4. Pedagogical advantages of the spreadsheet approach

In light of the spreadsheet implementations presented in this paper, the following remarks could be made:

- (i) Setting up spreadsheets demands precise attention to detail from the user. Incorrect use of the Copy command or improper referencing of cells will lead to erroneous results. Attention to detail is a desirable skill that students should hone. Spreadsheets offer an environment in which such skill can be honed; the use of highly specialized software without proper understanding of the underlying methods may at times impede development of the skill in the student.
- (ii) Spreadsheets offer a reasonable compromise between the sophistication of specificpurpose software and programming. The amount of programming in a typical spreadsheet is minimal, often reduced to formula editing and copying. This approach allows students to concentrate on analysis and interpretation of results rather than on time-consuming code debugging.
- (iii) The spreadsheet implementations mimic hand calculations. The notepad-like interface of spreadsheets allows the student to keep track of results and ascertain convergence. Because of the resemblance to hand calculations, the spreadsheet approach may provide the student with a deeper understanding of the numerical methods, which could be obscured if specific-purpose software is used without proper knowledge of such methods.
- (iv) The spreadsheets implementations may be presented to students to introduce numerical methods for solving differential equations such as the swing equation. Students may be asked to adapt the spreadsheet presented in Section 2.3 to analyze the transient stability of other systems of complexity comparable to that of the system discussed in Section 2. The ambitious students may even improve upon the spreadsheet presented in this paper by macro programming or creative use of other Microsoft Excel functions.

In fairness to specific-purpose software designed for power system analysis, it can be argued that such programs serve other purposeful needs, namely, handling large-scale systems and accommodating various types of faults. Problems of considerable size and high complexity may not be handled efficiently by spreadsheets.

## 5. Conclusions

This paper presented a spreadsheet implementation of the modified Euler method for analyzing the transient stability of a single-machine, infinite bus system. The spreadsheet approach is ideal if the emphasis is on understanding of numerical techniques. Spreadsheets may be considered as a viable alternative to enhancing education in other subjects and engineering fields.

The interested reader may obtain a copy of the Microsoft Excel file for transient stability analysis by sending an e-mail to <u>mlau@suagm.edu</u>.

#### References

- <sup>1</sup> Bergen, A. R. and Vittal, V. Power systems analysis, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Prentice Hall, 1999.
- <sup>2</sup> El-Hawary, M. E. Electrical power systems: design and analysis, IEEE Press, Reston Publishing Company, 1983.
- <sup>3</sup> Glover, J. D. and Sarma, M. S. Power system analysis and design, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Thomson-Engineering, 2001.
- <sup>4</sup> Grainger, J. and Stevenson, W. D. Power systems analysis, McGraw-Hill Publishing Co, 1994.
- <sup>5</sup> Acarnley, P. A power system load flow analysis using an Excel workbook. Online available: <u>http://www.engsc.ac.uk/downloads/awards/load.pdf</u>. Accessed: November 4, 2009.
- <sup>6</sup> Lau, M. A. and Kuruganty, S. P. Spreadsheet implementations for solving power-flow problems, eJournal of Spreadsheets in Education, 3(1): 27-45, August 2008. Online: <u>http://epublications.bond.edu.au/ejsie/vol3/iss1/3/</u>.
- <sup>7</sup> Rao, N. D. and Haddad, N. Y Typical applications of new generation spreadsheets to power system problems, Proceedings of the 33<sup>rd</sup> Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Vol. 1, 159-162, August 12-14, 1990.