# AI tools in the Spotlight: Addressing Educators' Concerns and Building Trust

Lina H. Kloub School of Computing University of Connecticut Storrs, CT, USA Vraj Patel
School of Computing
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT, USA

Tina Huey
Center for Excellence in Teaching and Learning
Department of English
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT, USA

Abstract—As artificial intelligence (AI) transforms higher education, understanding instructor perspectives is critical for its responsible integration. This study investigates instructor perceptions of AI tools in education, focusing on their perceived benefits, challenges, and strategies for fostering trust in their use. An online survey was distributed to all instructors across various disciplines at the University of Connecticut. The survey is used to assess familiarity, benefits, and concerns. Results revealed diverse levels of AI tool familiarity, with most respondents reporting intermediate or basic knowledge. Instructors identified significant advantages, including support for curriculum development, enhanced access to learning resources, and improved digital literacy skills. However, substantial concerns emerged, such as risks of misinformation, over-reliance on technology, academic dishonesty, algorithmic bias, and data privacy. These findings highlight the necessity of transparency, ethical AI development, and regulatory oversight to build trust. Addressing these challenges is vital for successfully integrating AI into education, fostering an inclusive and dynamic learning environment. This study offers valuable insights for future research and practice, underscoring the need for ongoing professional development and clear ethical guidelines for AI use in academia.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has introduced transformative opportunities in education, ranging from automated grading and intelligent tutoring to personalized learning and curriculum design [1]. These tools have the potential to revolutionize teaching and learning, offering educators new ways to enhance efficiency, engage students, and provide adaptive support tailored to individual needs. However, alongside these benefits, the integration of AI in educational settings has raised critical concerns regarding academic integrity, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential erosion of fundamental cognitive skills such as critical thinking and creativity [8], [10], [2]. Educators, as key stakeholders in shaping pedagogical practices, are at the forefront of navigating these opportunities and challenges. Their perceptions, trust, and willingness to adopt AI tools play a crucial role in determining the trajectory of AI's integration into higher education.

Despite AI's growing presence in academia, instructor readiness and attitudes toward these technologies remain underexplored, particularly across diverse academic disciplines. Research suggests that while some educators embrace AI for its ability to streamline administrative tasks and improve

learning outcomes, others express deep concerns about student over-reliance on AI-generated content, the difficulty of detecting AI-assisted academic dishonesty, and the ethical implications of using AI-powered assessment tools [4][7]. This paper summarizes the findings of a recent survey conducted at the University of Connecticut, which revealed that 52% of instructors had significant reservations about AI, particularly regarding cheating risks, data security, and ethical considerations. However, a majority also acknowledged AI's potential in personalizing education, improving accessibility, and supporting instructors by automating routine tasks. This paradox highlights the complex and evolving nature of instructor perspectives on AI adoption.

Building trust in AI tools is a crucial factor in their successful implementation. Studies have shown that trust in AI among educators depends on multiple factors, including transparency in AI decision-making, clear ethical guidelines, and professional development initiatives that enhance AI literacy [9], [3]. Without proper training and institutional support, educators may remain hesitant to integrate AI tools into their teaching practices, limiting the technology's potential to enhance learning experiences. Additionally, concerns over AI's potential to exacerbate existing educational inequities—such as disparities in access to AI resources or biases in AI-generated recommendations—underscore the need for inclusive and responsible AI policies.

# II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education has sparked significant scholarly discourse, with researchers examining both its potential to enhance learning outcomes and the challenges it presents [2], [8], [10].

The U.S. Office of Educational Technology [9] has emphasized the importance of addressing AI's role in education proactively rather than restricting its use. Their recommendations advocate for structured policies that maximize AI's benefits while mitigating risks, ensuring ethical considerations are integrated into AI-driven educational tools. Similarly, recent studies argue that AI presents an opportunity for much-needed pedagogical reform, particularly in the realm of assessments. Heaven [6] suggests that generative AI exposes long-standing flaws in conventional assessment models, necessitating a shift

toward evaluation strategies that prioritize critical thinking and problem-solving over rote memorization.

Despite AI's transformative potential, instructor skepticism remains a barrier to widespread adoption. Concerns over student over-reliance on AI, data privacy, and the opacity of algorithmic decision-making contribute to hesitation among educators [8], [3]. To foster trust in AI, scholars emphasize the need for greater transparency, professional development initiatives for educators, and robust institutional policies that safeguard academic integrity [5], [3].

In summary, while AI holds the potential to revolutionize education, its ethical implications, trust deficits, and institutional challenges must be systematically addressed. The effective integration of AI into higher education requires interdisciplinary collaboration, clear regulatory frameworks, and ongoing research to assess its long-term impact on teaching and learning.

# III. METHODOLOGY

This study sought to explore instructor perceptions of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in education, focusing on their perceived benefits, challenges, and strategies for fostering trust in their use. To achieve these objectives, an online survey was conducted among instructors at the University of Connecticut. The survey was designed to collect both quantitative and qualitative data, incorporating multiple-choice, Likert-scale, and open-ended questions. Key areas of focus included instructor familiarity with AI tools, current usage patterns, perceived advantages and concerns, and recommendations for ethical and effective AI integration in education.

A total of 70 instructors (faculty and graduate students) participated in the survey, representing a wide range of academic disciplines, including humanities, social sciences, natural sciences, computer science, engineering, and mathematics. Among the respondents, 19% identified as Graduate Teaching Assistants, and the majority held advanced degrees, with 30% possessing master's degrees and 51% holding doctoral or professional degrees.

The survey was distributed electronically via institutional mailing lists and through flyers placed in all academic departments, ensuring broad outreach across the university. Participation was voluntary, and confidentiality was maintained by anonymizing responses. The survey was open in October 2024 for several weeks, providing participants with ample time to respond. A total of 70 completed responses were recorded.

# IV. INSTRUCTORS' PERCEPTIONS OF AI TOOLS IN EDUCATION

The survey results reveal instructors familiarity with, usage patterns of, and attitudes toward AI tools, providing insights into their engagement, concerns, and readiness for integration into teaching and professional work.

### A. Instructors Knowledge of AI Tools

Instructors self-reported knowledge of AI tools varied widely. When asked to rate their level of knowledge related

to AI tools, instructors described a rather scattered familiarity with such AI systems. Only 3% of the respondents identified themselves as experts, while a more substantial portion (55%) described their knowledge as intermediate to advanced. 37% reported a basic level of familiarity with AI tools. Remarkably, 5% of the instructors reported having no knowledge in AI tools.

### B. Frequency of AI Tool Usage

The frequency of AI tool usage in teaching or professional work further illustrates instructor engagement with these technologies. A minority, 15%, reported using AI tools daily, another 20% reported using them a few times a week, suggesting consistent but not daily application. Approximately 29% used AI tools occasionally (a few times a month), and 36% of respondents said they used AI tools rarely or never, pointing to potential barriers such as lack of familiarity, access, or perceived relevance. These results point to the great variation in the level of knowledge and usage of AI tools among instructors and to a high need for continuous education and training in order to fill the knowledge gap.

### C. Timing of AI Integration in Education

Instructors' perspectives on the timing of AI integration in education exhibit considerable variation, reflecting differing levels of readiness and concerns about its impact. A subset of instructors (27%) expressed reservations, arguing that the adoption of AI in education remains premature due to concerns related to student preparedness, ethical implications, and the potential for academic misconduct. In contrast, a larger proportion (47%) believed that AI integration is timely, emphasizing its capacity to enhance educational practices when accompanied by appropriate institutional support and ethical guidelines. The remaining 26% remained undecided, highlighting the need for further empirical evidence and clearer implementation strategies.

While caution persists regarding the long-term implications of AI adoption, the findings suggest a general openness to leveraging AI in education, contingent on the development of structured policies and pedagogical frameworks. These results reinforce the necessity of establishing guidelines that promote responsible AI use while mitigating potential risks to student learning, assessment integrity, and engagement.

# D. Effectiveness of AI Tools for Student Learning and Engagement

Instructors' perceptions of AI tools' effectiveness in supporting student learning and engagement were generally cautious. Only a small fraction, 4%, rated AI tools as very effective, while 24% considered them moderately effective and 15% effective. However, a substantial 42% viewed AI tools as slightly effective, and 15% deemed them not effective, reflecting skepticism about their current impact on student outcomes. This distribution underscores instructor reservations about AI's readiness to fully support learning and engagement, likely influenced by concerns about student preparedness and

tool reliability, as noted in prior research on technology integration.

# E. Potential Benefits of AI Tools in Education

When prompted to select possible benefits of AI tools in education, between one-third and two-thirds of instructors perceived benefits such as:

- Assistance with curriculum development and content creation: AI tools can draft educational materials faster and with greater variety, allowing educators to focus more on enhancing the quality of instruction and student engagement. This advantage was highlighted by 60% of respondents.
- Increased efficiency in grading and administrative tasks: Automating routine tasks frees up valuable time for educators to concentrate on more meaningful aspects of teaching and student engagement. This advantage was recognized by 42% of instructors.
- Providing real-time feedback to students: AI tools
  offer immediate and actionable insights, helping students
  understand their progress and areas for improvement
  more effectively. This benefit was pointed out by 27%
  of instructors.
- Enhanced access to learning resources and materials: AI tools facilitate the dissemination of a vast range of educational content, ensuring that students have easy access to the resources they need to succeed. This benefit was recognized by 56% of instructors.
- Helping students develop digital literacy and AI skills: AI tools aid in equipping students with essential digital and AI competencies, which are crucial for both academic success and future professional endeavors. This was seen as a benefit by 55% of respondents.
- **Personalized learning experiences for students** are also highly valued, with 49% of educators acknowledging the role of AI in tailoring educational approaches to meet individual student needs.
- Supporting students with diverse learning needs: AI tools provide customized resources and support for students regardless of their abilities or backgrounds. This benefit was recognized by 45% of respondents.
- Encouraging innovation in teaching methods: By incorporating AI into their instructional strategies, educators can explore new ways to deliver content and engage students, leading to dynamic and interactive classroom experiences. AI tools were credited with encouraging innovation in teaching methods by 49% of instructors.
- Expanding global access to education: AI tools can bridge geographical gaps, offering educational opportunities to students worldwide and promoting educational equity. This benefit was chosen by 31% of respondents.

# V. INSTRUCTORS' CONCERNS REGARDING AI IN EDUCATION

The results highlight significant apprehensions instructor harbor, providing critical insights into barriers to AI adoption and areas requiring attention for responsible integration.

Instructors expressed considerable concern about the implications of AI in education, with a majority indicating moderate to extreme apprehension. Only 7% reported being not concerned at all, while 17% were slightly concerned, suggesting a minority view AI risks as minimal. However, 24% were moderately concerned, 37% very concerned, and 15% extremely concerned, reflecting widespread unease about potential risks. This distribution underscores a pervasive sense of caution among instructor, driven by ethical, practical, and pedagogical considerations.

When prompted to select possible concerns about AI tools in education, instructors selected nearly every option at high levels.

# A. Misinformation and Accuracy of AI-Generated Content

A significant majority (91%) of instructors expressed concerns regarding the potential for AI to produce misinformation or inaccurate content. This highlights the critical need for AI literacy and mechanisms that ensure the reliability, accuracy, and verifiability of AI-generated information in educational contexts.

### B. Over-Reliance on AI and Erosion of Human Judgment

Concerns about the increasing dependence on AI technologies were selected by 80% of respondents. Instructors stressed the importance of preserving critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and ethical reasoning in the learning process, ensuring that AI enhances rather than diminishes these essential cognitive abilities. Respondents added that AI technologies might create bad study habits, "outsource human thought and intellect," cause "loss of creativity and individual style," "reduce collaboration between students," and even "colonize the imagination."

### C. Academic Integrity and the Risk of Cheating

The potential for AI tools to facilitate academic dishonesty was a significant concern, with 83% of instructors expressing apprehension about increased risks of student plagiarism and cheating. One respondent added that prohibiting AI use in education could motivate students to hide their use of it. This finding reinforces the urgent need for institutions to establish comprehensive policies and technological safeguards that uphold academic integrity. Strategies such as AI-detection tools, redesigned assessments emphasizing analytical reasoning, and clear guidelines on AI usage in coursework are critical to mitigating these risks.

### D. Algorithmic Bias and Equity in AI Decision-Making

Bias in AI algorithms and decision-making processes emerged as a notable concern, with 78% of respondents acknowledging the risks associated with biased AI-generated recommendations. One respondent pointed to a concerning lack of knowledge about how AI "operates and makes decisions."

# E. Absence of Regulatory Frameworks and Institutional Guidelines

A significant proportion (74%) of instructors expressed concerns regarding the lack of well-defined regulations and institutional guidelines governing AI usage in education. The absence of clear policies raises uncertainties about ethical implementation, permissible use cases, and accountability in AI-driven learning environments. Establishing comprehensive regulatory frameworks is essential to ensure the responsible and transparent integration of AI tools in educational settings.

### F. Ethical Considerations in AI Adoption

The ethical implications of AI in education were a source of concern for 67% of respondents, reflecting apprehensions about issues such as fairness, bias, environmental impact, and ethical use of AI-generated content. Instructors emphasized the importance of addressing these considerations through ethical AI design, institutional oversight, and well-structured policies that foster trust among educators and students.

#### G. Data Privacy and Security Risks

Concerns regarding data privacy and security were selected by 63% of instructors. Given the sensitive nature of student and instructor data, ensuring stringent data protection measures, compliance with privacy regulations, and transparent data handling practices is paramount to maintaining trust in AIdriven systems.

# H. Equitable Access to AI Tools

The issue of equitable access to AI tools was chosen as a concern by 39% of respondents. One respondent pointed specifically to the need for students to have AI-related workplace skills. Institutional efforts to bridge the digital divide by providing equitable access to AI-powered resources, ensuring that all learners—regardless of financial or infrastructural constraints—benefit from technological advancements in education, is critical.

These findings illustrate the complex considerations surrounding AI adoption in higher education. Addressing these concerns requires a multifaceted approach, combining robust validation mechanisms, ethical AI development, instructor training, and institutional policies that promote responsible AI integration.

# VI. ADDRESSING INSTRUCTORS' CONCERNS REGARDING AI IN EDUCATION

Instructors across disciplines provided valuable insights into strategies for addressing concerns related to AI integration in education. These strategies emphasize the need for ethical, transparent, and pedagogically sound approaches to incorporating AI technologies. The key recommendations are outlined below.

#### A. Establishing Clear Guidelines and Institutional Policies

A consistent theme among instructor' responses was the necessity of well-defined policies governing AI usage in education. These guidelines should specify acceptable practices, ethical considerations, and roles for both educators and students, ensuring clarity and consistency across institutions. AI policies outlined in course syllabi can set clear expectations and promote transparency. Additionally, fostering open discussions about AI's role in learning can enhance engagement and prevent misconceptions about its use.

### B. Enhancing Data Privacy and Security Measures

Concerns over data privacy and security highlight the importance of implementing robust data protection protocols to safeguard sensitive student and instructor information. Instructors stressed the need for institutions to establish transparent data governance policies that regulate AI's data collection, storage, and usage. Addressing bias in AI models is also crucial, as poorly trained algorithms may reinforce existing disparities, necessitating validation mechanisms to ensure fairness and reliability in AI-driven educational applications.

### C. Ensuring Transparency and Explainability in AI Systems

Instructors underscored the importance of transparency in AI decision-making to build trust and ensure responsible adoption. AI tools should clearly communicate their operational mechanisms, including how they generate outputs and make decisions. Increased visibility into AI processes allows educators to critically assess AI-generated recommendations and mitigate potential biases. Open dialogue between instructor and students regarding AI's role in learning can demystify the technology and encourage its ethical use.

# D. Providing Comprehensive AI Literacy and Training Programs

Effective AI integration requires educators and students to develop AI literacy skills. Instructors recommended structured training programs that focus on both technical proficiency and critical evaluation of AI-generated content. These initiatives should equip educators with the knowledge to integrate AI meaningfully into their pedagogical practices while fostering students' ability to use AI tools as aids rather than replacements for human reasoning. Training should also address the evolving nature of AI technologies and prepare instructors to adapt to future advancements.

# E. Balancing AI Integration with Traditional Teaching Methods

Instructors emphasized the need to maintain a balance between AI-assisted learning and traditional pedagogical approaches. While AI can enhance educational efficiency, overreliance on AI-generated content may diminish student creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills. Institutions should promote AI as a complementary tool rather than a substitute for human instruction, ensuring that AI enhances, rather than diminishes, the human elements of teaching and learning.

#### F. Promoting Ethical AI Development and Usage

Instructors advocated for institutional support in ensuring that AI technologies are developed and implemented ethically. Addressing issues such as algorithmic bias, equitable access, and ethical content generation is critical to fostering an inclusive AI-driven learning environment. Institutions should collaborate with AI developers to establish ethical standards and best practices that align with educational objectives.

# G. Ensuring Equitable Access to AI Resources

Concerns about the digital divide underscore the need for equitable access to AI tools across all student demographics. Instructors emphasized that disparities in technology access should not create an uneven learning environment. Institutions should invest in infrastructure that ensures all students, regardless of socioeconomic background, have equal opportunities to engage with AI-enhanced learning tools.

### H. Incorporating Educators in AI Tool Development

To ensure AI tools align with pedagogical goals, instructors advocated for direct involvement in AI development and implementation. Educators' expertise in curriculum design and assessment strategies can contribute to the creation of AI applications that support meaningful learning experiences. Instructors' collaboration with AI developers can also lead to innovations tailored to specific disciplinary needs.

### I. Continuous Evaluation and Improvement of AI Tools

Regular assessment and refinement of AI applications are necessary to maintain their relevance and effectiveness in educational settings, instructor recommended systematic reviews to identify biases, improve accuracy, and ensure AI tools align with evolving pedagogical objectives. Institutions should establish feedback loops that allow educators and students to contribute to the ongoing development of AI technologies.

# J. Monitoring AI's Impact on Learning Outcomes

To maximize AI's educational benefits, institutions must systematically evaluate its impact on student engagement, comprehension, and performance. Instructors suggested data-driven approaches to assess AI's effectiveness, using evidence-based adjustments to refine AI integration strategies. AI tools should be continuously monitored to ensure they contribute positively to student learning rather than inadvertently diminishing cognitive engagement.

### K. Raising Awareness of AI's Limitations

Instructors stressed the importance of educating both students and educators about AI's limitations. A realistic understanding of AI's capabilities and shortcomings is essential to prevent overreliance and ensure informed decision-making. Encouraging critical evaluation of AI-generated content fosters a responsible approach to AI use, reinforcing its role as an assistive rather than definitive tool in education.

By implementing these strategies, institutions can address instructor concerns while fostering a responsible, ethical, and effective integration of AI in education. As one instructor noted, "AI is here to stay, and our role as educators is to learn how to integrate it thoughtfully and effectively into teaching practices."

#### VII. DISCUSSION

The findings from this study provide an understanding of instructors' perceptions of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in higher education, revealing both opportunities and challenges for their responsible integration. These insights offering valuable implications for educational practice and future research. The findings from this study provide valuable insights into instructors' perceptions of AI tools in education, highlighting both the potential benefits and significant concerns.

Instructors demonstrated varied levels of familiarity and engagement with AI tools, with most reporting intermediate or basic knowledge and a significant portion using them infrequently or not at all. This variability may be attributable to barriers such as lack of training or access, or it may reflect instructor perceptions that AI is not relevant to their work. Despite these challenges, instructor recognized substantial benefits, such as AI's potential to support curriculum development, enhance learning resources, and develop digital literacy, reflecting optimism about its educational value. However, this optimism is tempered by cautious perceptions of effectiveness and widespread concerns about risks like misinformation, student cheating, and algorithmic bias, which fuel a pervasive sense of apprehension among instructors.

Instructors identified several key advantages, including assistance with curriculum development, enhanced access to learning resources, and the development of digital literacy skills. These benefits suggest that AI tools can significantly enhance the quality of education by providing valuable resources and promoting innovative teaching practices.

These concerns align with instructors' divided views on the timing of AI integration, with some seeing it as premature due to readiness and ethical uncertainties, while others view it as timely with proper support. The proposed strategies-emphasizing clear guidelines, training for educators and students, and raising awareness of AI limitations—offer a roadmap to address these apprehensions, prioritizing transparency, education, and policy to build trust. The predominance of ethical and integrity-related concerns, coupled with the call for scaffolding AI use through, for example, AI literacy training and professional development, suggests that instructors prioritize safeguarding educational quality while leveraging AI's potential. Addressing data privacy and security concerns, maintaining academic integrity, and ensuring equitable access to AI tools are also critical steps for fostering trust and acceptance. Future research should focus on exploring strategies to mitigate these concerns and further investigate the impact of AI tools on educational outcomes.

In conclusion, while AI tools offer significant potential benefits for enhancing education, addressing the concerns of instructors is essential for their successful integration. By fostering trust through transparent and ethical practices, AI tools can be effectively utilized to create a dynamic and inclusive educational environment.

### VIII. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

While this study provides valuable insights into instructors' perceptions of AI in education, several limitations must be acknowledged.

First, the sample size was limited to instructors at a single institution, which may restrict the generalizability of the findings. While the University of Connecticut provides a diverse academic environment, instructor attitudes toward AI may vary across institutions with different levels of AI adoption, funding, and administrative support.

Second, the study relied on self-reported data, which may introduce response biases, such as social desirability bias or overestimation of AI literacy. Some instructors may have underreported their concerns due to institutional pressures, while others may have exaggerated AI-related risks based on personal experiences rather than empirical evidence. Non-response bias may also have skewed results toward those with a heightened investment either for or against AI.

Third, disciplinary differences were not explored, although initial findings suggest that instructor perceptions of AI vary by academic field. Future research should investigate how instructor perspectives differ across STEM, humanities, and social sciences, as disciplines may have unique needs and challenges regarding AI adoption.

Fourth, the survey design prohibits inference about instructor attitudes prior to taking the survey. It is possible that instructors became aware of both benefits and concerns by taking the survey itself. However, benefits and concerns were not selected with equal frequency. The variability in responses suggests that some benefits and concerns were already known to the respondents, despite the possibility of response (acquiescence) bias.

Finally, the rapid evolution of AI technologies poses challenges in capturing a static picture of instructor attitudes. AI models and tools continue to evolve, and instructor perspectives may shift as they gain more exposure and institutional policies adapt. Longitudinal studies are needed to track how instructor attitudes toward AI change over time and how institutions respond to emerging challenges.

Despite these limitations, the methodology provides a solid foundation for analyzing instructor attitudes toward AI tools and identifying actionable recommendations for their ethical and effective use in education. Future studies could address these limitations by including larger, multi-institutional samples and triangulating survey data with interviews or classroom observations.

#### IX. FUTURE IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Building on the findings of this study, future research should explore the long-term impact of AI integration on student learning outcomes, teaching practices, and institutional policies. Studies could also examine how AI tools influence the development of critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration skills among students. Moreover, cross-disciplinary investigations into AI adoption and attitudes could provide deeper insights into the unique needs and challenges faced by different academic fields.

As AI technologies continue to evolve, it is imperative for educators, researchers, and policymakers to remain proactive in addressing emerging challenges. By fostering a culture of openness, adaptability, and critical engagement, the educational community can harness AI's potential to enhance learning and teaching while safeguarding its ethical and equitable

#### X. CONCLUSION

This study sheds light on the diverse perspectives, challenges, and opportunities associated with the integration of AI in education. Instructors across disciplines acknowledge the transformative potential of AI, emphasizing its ability to personalize learning, automate administrative tasks, and enhance teaching efficiency. However, significant concerns persist regarding ethical implications, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential for over-reliance on AI tools.

To address these challenges, a multifaceted approach is required. Institutions must implement clear guidelines, provide comprehensive training for both educators and students, and ensure equitable access to AI tools. Continuous evaluation of AI's impact on learning outcomes and the development of critical thinking skills is essential to maximize its benefits while mitigating risks.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akinwalere and V. Ivanov, "Artificial intelligence in higher education: Challenges and opportunities," *Border Crossing*, vol. 12, pp. 1–15, 02
- [2] D. Baidoo-Anu and L. Owusu Ansah, "Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence (ai): Understanding the potential benefits of chatgpt in promoting teaching and learning," SSRN, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4337484
- [3] P. A. Barrett, A., "Not quite eye to a.i.: student and teacher perspectives on the use of generative artificial intelligence in the writing process," *Int J Educ Technol High Educ*, vol. 20, 2023.
- [4] P. Bharadwaj, C. Shaw, L. NeJame, S. Martin, N. Janson, and K. Fox, "Time for class – 2023 (fall update)," Dec. 2023, tyton Partners. [Online]. Available: https://tytonpartners.com/time-for-class-2023
- [5] J. W. Creswell and V. L. Plano Clark, Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research, 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2021.
- [6] W. Douglas Heaven, "Chatgpt is going to change education, not destroy it," MIT Technology Review, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.technologyreview.com/2023/04/06/1071059/chatgpt-change-not-destroy-education-openai/
- [7] J. Kim, M. Klopfer, J. Grohs *et al.*, "Examining faculty and student perceptions of generative ai in university courses," *Innov High Educ*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 75–92, 2025.
- [8] L. Kloub, "Chatgpt in computer science education: Exploring benefits, challenges, and ethical considerations," ASEE North East Section, 04 2024.
- [9] O. o. E. T. U.S. Department of Education, "Artificial intelligence and future of teaching and learning: Insights and recommendations,," https://tech.ed.gov, 2023.
- [10] Z. Xu, "Ai in education: Enhancing learning experiences and student outcomes," *Applied and Computational Engineering*, vol. 51, pp. 104– 111, 03 2024.