

Paper ID #37324

An Analysis of LGBTQ+ Courses: Recommendations for Developing Content for LGBTQ+ Individuals and Allies in Academia

Secil Akinci-ceylan

Secil Akinci-Ceylan is a PhD student in the School of Education at Iowa State University.

Rocio Chavela Guerra

Dr. Rocío Chavela Guerra is a Visiting Research Professor in the department of Experiential Engineering Education at Rowan University. She is passionate about bringing together professional communities and promoting student and faculty development. In her prior role, she served as Director of Education and Career Development at the American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE), and as headquarters liaison to ASEE's Commission on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. Rocío holds a Ph.D. in Engineering Education from Purdue University, and B.S. and M.S. in Chemical Engineering from Universidad de las Americas, Puebla (UDLAP) in Mexico. Prior to joining ASEE, Rocío served as a faculty member at UDLAP's chemical and food engineering department, and as a graduate fellow at the U.S. National Academy of Engineering's Center for the Advancement of Scholarship on Engineering Education (CASEE).

Stephanie Farrell (Professor and Department Head)

Stephanie Farrell is Professor and Founding Head of the Department of Experiential Engineering Education (ExEEd) in the Henry M. Rowan College of Engineering at Rowan University. Stephanie is past president of the American Society for Engineering Education and serves as 2021-2022 IFEES President-Elect.

An Analysis of LGBTQ+ Courses: Recommendations for Developing Content for LGBTQ+ Individuals and Allies in Academia

Abstract

This work-in-progress paper examines four free online courses addressing LGBTQ+ topics and issues and provides recommendations for creating new content and resources for allies in higher education. This exploratory work is guided by the following questions: What free LGBTQ+ courses are available for learners and educators? What content do these courses cover? What are the overlaps among these courses and what new strategies could be adopted when developing new LGBTQ+ resources for people in academia? The scope of this paper explores the content and instructional strategies of courses offered on Coursera, a massive open online course (MOOC) platform. Our preliminary findings indicate that the courses offer many insights and strategies for becoming an ally, fostering inclusive environments, and showing up for LGBTQ+ students; however, they put a smaller emphasis on LGBTQ+ academics and their experiences. Based on these findings, recommendations for educators and course developers are suggested.

Background

According to a 2019 survey on exploring the workplace for LGBT+ physical scientists conducted by the Institute of Physics, Royal Astronomical Society and Royal Society of Chemistry, 28% of LGBT+ respondents reported that they had considered leaving their job due to the discrimination they faced in their workplace [1]. The same report also revealed that nearly half of the respondents highlighted a lack of awareness of LGBT+ issues in the workplace. Unfortunately, LGBTQ+ marginalization is also found in academia. Research shows that LGBTQ+ students in engineering are more disadvantaged and face greater marginalization than their non-LGBTQ+ peers [2-4]. Cech and Waidzunas [2] found that LGB students in engineering navigate a chilly climate where homosexuality is not valued and face heteronormative actions, as a result of which they employ coping strategies such as not revealing their identity. Likewise, Hughes [4] found that undergraduate engineering students were concerned about finding a job after graduating due to being openly gay and the hegemonic masculinity in engineering programs influenced students negatively. Similar to LGBTQ+ students' experiences, LGBTQ+ engineering faculty report discomfort in the workplace and overt hostility toward them [5]. Notwithstanding, research indicates that LGBQ faculty and staff play an important role in the retention of LGBQ and STEM students through their support and mentoring [6-7].

Given the oppressive climate and discrimination that LGBTQ+ students, faculty and staff still experience within academic settings, there have been increasing actions to address these issues and to promote LGBTQ+ equality. Some of these initiatives consist of Safe Zone ally workshops offered at various universities and by non-profit associations [e.g., 8]. Other efforts include courses, online learning modules and webinar series to raise awareness about LGBTQ+ issues and help people understand how to become an ally and advocate—many of them offered at no cost to participants. However, comparative analyses or summaries of available LGBTQ+ resources seem to remain unavailable, and more work is needed to understand what areas existing LGBTQ+ resources (including courses, workshops, and webinars) cover, the overlaps across these resources, and what content needs to be further developed.

Thus, this work-in-progress paper examines free online LGBTQ+ courses, specifically those offered on Coursera. Coursera was chosen to begin the analysis because (a) as a MOOC provider, it provides learners (82 million registered users) with an opportunity to access free courses from anywhere; and (b) with an employee group called, *Queersera*, it aims to support LGBTQ+ people by offering courses and hosting events [9].

Methods

We examined the list of courses on Coursera using key terms such as "LGBTQ", "LGBTQ in the workplace", "gender", and "sexuality". We found six courses offered in English with a focus on LGBTQ+ issues (the analysis was conducted in June 2021). In this paper we focus on four courses (see Table 1), as the other two courses were heavily related to health rather than to academia. Two of the courses are offered by the University of Colorado, one by University of Pittsburgh, and one by University of Minnesota. The courses cover topics such as the history of LBGTQ+ identities and activism, recommendations for educators in teaching LGBTQ+ inclusion, LGBTQ+ issues in the workplace, and identifying as transgender.

Table 1. Overview of selected LGBTQ+ courses

Course title	Estimate time for course completion	Offered by	# of people enrolled	Course link
Queering Identities: LGBTQ+ Sexuality and Gender Identity	20 hours	The University of Colorado	18,084	https://www.coursera.or g/learn/queeringidentiti es
Queering the Schoolhouse: LGBTQ+ Inclusion for Educators	12 hours	The University of Colorado	3,492	https://www.coursera.or g/learn/queeringthescho olhouse
Gender and Sexuality: Diversity and Inclusion in the Workplace	16 hours	University of Pittsburgh	32,992	https://www.coursera.or g/learn/gender-sexuality
What does it mean to identify as Transgender or Gender Non- Conforming (TGNC)	26 hours	University of Minnesota	7,021	https://www.coursera.or g/learn/tgnc-gender- identity-social-change

To examine the content and instructional strategies of the selected courses, we closely studied each course's syllabus, topics covered in videos and readings, as well as course activities and assessments. After examining each course, we made a comparison between the courses as discussed in the findings section.

Findings

After examining the course content, we found many overlaps among the courses. All of the courses begin with an introduction to LGBTQ+ terminology that includes key terms such as *gender* and *sex*, as shown in Table 2. These topics are covered mainly through lecture videos and in readings. While the topic of *becoming an ally* is covered in three of the courses, two of them focus on the issues LGBTQ+ individuals' experience in the workplace. The courses discuss next steps for promoting LGBTQ+ equality, strategies for what to do in certain scenarios and how to become an actionable ally. The course "*Queering the Schoolhouse*" provides different ways that educators can employ in their classes to create a more welcoming environment. Overall, the course content ranges from LGBTQ+ terminology, being an ally, history and culture, LGBTQ+ experiences in school, at home, and in the workplace to medical transition.

Despite the wide-ranging topics in the courses, the main focus is predominantly on LGBTQ+ students. Interviews with LGBTQ+ students and their experiences in both academic and non-academic settings and recommendations for faculty regarding transforming their curriculum are useful in better understanding LGBTQ+ student experiences and creating more inclusive environments. However, we could not find any videos, readings, or other resources that depict experiences of LGBTQ+ faculty and staff. The course "What does it mean to identify as TGNC" consists of videos on experiences of homeless and military LGBTQ+ people, however, overall, none of the courses touches upon the challenges that LGBTQ+ faculty experience other than highlighting what faculty could do to support LGBTQ+ students. The course "Queering the Schoolhouse: LGBTQ+ Inclusion for Educators" provides many strategies for educators to promote LGBTQ+ inclusion such as assigning readings written by LGBTQ+ people, asking students' pronouns, and supporting clubs or extracurricular activities that support LGBTQ+ students. However, experiences of LGBTQ+ faculty and staff remain uncovered.

Table 2. Topics covered in the selected LGBTQ+ courses

	Queering Identities	Queering the Schoolhouse	Gender and Sexuality	What does it mean to identify as TGNC
•	Queering Identities	 Terminology and Issues 	• LGBTQIA	• Gender
•	Terminology	 Allyship, Accomplices, and 	• Sex	 Identifying as TGNC
•	Sex and Gender	Advocates	 Gender 	Pronouns and Language
•	Strategies for When You	 What Queer Means 	 Sexuality 	Theory of Gender
	Don't Know What to Do	 Allyship 	Gender in Theory	Development
•	Intersectionality	Privilege	Intersectionality	Dysphoria and Mental
•	Gender as a Social	A History of LGBTQ+	Gender and Sex	Health
	Construct	People in Schools	Discrimination	Digital Stories
•	Learning and Expressing	The Current Climate for	 LGBTQIA Issues in 	Parenting and Family
	Gender	LGB+, Transgender and	the Workplace	• Dating and Relationships
•	Gender Resistance	Nonbinary Students	Sexuality in Theory	Gender Affirmation
•	What is Gender Transition?	How Communities	 LGBTQIA 	Coming out
•	Intersex: Genitals,	Reinforce Gender Norms	Identities and	Historical Role Models and
	Chromosomes, and	 Bullying As a Systemic 	Rights	Events
	Hormones	Issue	 LGB at Work 	• Artistry
•	Sex and Gender in Sports	 Showing up for LGBTQ+ 	 Challenges Faced 	Media and Culture
•	Moving Beyond 'Born That	Students: Five Strategies	by LGB Individuals	Transgender Rights
	Way'	 Building an LGBTQ+ 	in the Workplace	Legal Issues and
•	Sexuality	Inclusive Classroom	Strategies for	Discrimination in America
•	The Invention of	• What LGBTQ+ Folks Have	Making Workplaces	Intersectional Identities
	Homosexuality	to Offer	More LGB	Homelessness
•	A Brief Queer History	 Transforming Your 	Inclusive	Anti-Transgender Violence
•	Queer Theory: Challenging	Curriculum for LGBTQ+	 Theories of 	Incarceration
	'Common Sense'	Inclusion	Transgender	Healthcare Disparities
	Assumptions	• Social Action for LGBTQ+	Identity	 Military Personnel
•	Queer Theory: When	 Designing Your Path 	 Global Transgender 	How To Become an
	'Queer' Became a Verb	Toward LGBTQ+	Identities	Actionable Ally
•	The Bathroom Issue	Inclusion	 Genderism 	 Gender Diversity in the
•	Racism in Queer	 Curriculum Revision 	 Transgender Issues 	Workplace
	Communities	 Using your Research to 	in the Workplace	 Creating Gender Affirming
•	Queer(Ing) Religion	Guide your Teaching	 Being a Meaningful 	Environments
•	Queer Parenting	 What's Next for LGBTQ+ 	Ally to Transgender	Where Do We Go From
•	Queer(Ing) Sex Education	Inclusion	Individuals at Work	Here?

In addition to examining the content, we explored the instructional strategies used in the courses. We found that content is mostly delivered through lecture videos recorded by faculty and graduate assistants, supported by readings for each topic. In two of the courses, additional resources for students such as links to books and videos are provided. In all of the courses, quizzes, mostly multiple choice, are used as an assessment method. We observed that a discussion platform is used

as a venue for students to ask questions about the course and exchange ideas with other students. In one of the courses, for example, one student asked for clarification in the discussion board regarding how to use pronouns "I don't understand how they/them are appropriate pronouns for a singular person to use. They/them are plural. Using them for an individual person seems like it would add a bit of confusion to conversations, and make it seem like that person has multiple personality disorder. Seriously please help me understand." Six students responded to this post and helped this student understand how to use "they/them." Table 3 shows the instructional strategies used in the courses.

Table 3. *Instructional strategies used in the courses*

Queering Identities	Queering the Schoolhouse	Gender and Sexuality	What does it mean
			to identify as TGNC
Lecture videos	Lecture videos	Lecture videos	Lecture videos
Readings	Readings	Readings	Readings
Quizzes	Quizzes	Quizzes	Quizzes
Discussion forum	Discussion forum	Discussion forum	Discussion forum
Case studies	Case studies	Discussion prompts (lecture	Discussion prompts
Embedded quizzes in	Resource guide	reflection)	Surveys (pre- & post-assessment)
lectures		Surveys & case studies	Games
		Student resources	Student resources
		Peer-graded assignments	Peer-graded assignments
		YouTube videos	YouTube videos

Note: Student resources include documents such as gender inclusive language guidelines, book recommendations, and approaches to LGBTQ+ inclusion

Conclusions and Recommendations

We examined four LGBTQ+ courses on Coursera and found similarities and differences among the courses in terms of the topics discussed and instructional strategies. Given the emphasized importance of LGBTQ+ faculty's mentorship in the retention of LGBTQ+ students [6-7] and the challenges they experience [5], we recommend that more content on LGBTQ+ faculty, and their experiences be developed. Including interviews with LGBTQ+ faculty, particularly from disciplines facing higher degrees of hostility (such as engineering), in such courses might be useful to gain in-depth insights into their experiences and better understand what challenges they face in the workplace. Another recommendation is that in addition to LGBTQ+ faculty, experiences of LGBTQ+ staff be included in LGTBQ+ resources given how closely students, faculty, and staff work on a weekly or even daily basis in academic settings. With regard to instructional strategies, it appears that all the courses employ similar ways to engage learners, deliver content, and assess understanding. We recommend creating more opportunities for learners such as discussion forums where they can interact with each other, ask questions, express opinions freely, and support others by replying to posts.

The findings of our study indicate a need for additional content, encompassing experiences of a wider cross-section of the LGBTQ+ academic community, including faculty and staff. Our findings also indicate a need for a variety of resources to address the needs of a different audience, including department chairs and deans to assist them in support of their LGBTQ+ faculty. We hope that this effort provides a useful way to understand what topics are addressed in the courses discussed and what needs to be done to create more informative and affirmative content for LGBTQ+ individuals. The scope of this work was limited to academia and Coursera. Moving forward, our goal is to include more LGBTQ+ courses in the analysis and broaden our study to other platforms beyond Coursera, as well as examining webinars and workshops on related topics.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon Non-Academic Research Internship supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1712195/2013144 and work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. EEC-1748499. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References

- [1] Dyer, J., Townsend, A., Kanani, S., Matthews, P., Palermo, A., Farley, S., & Thorley, C. (2019). Exploring the workplace for LGBT+ Physical Scientists.
- [2] Cech, E. A., & Waidzunas, T. J. (2011). Navigating the heteronormativity of engineering: The experiences of lesbian, gay, and bisexual students. *Engineering Studies*, *3*(1), 1–24.
- [3] Cech, E. A., & Rothwell, W. R. (2018). LGBTQ inequality in engineering education. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 107(4), 583–610.
- [4] Hughes, B. E. (2017). "Managing by not managing": How gay engineering students manage sexual orientation identity. *Journal of College Student Development*, 58(3), 385–401.
- [5] Bilimoria, D., & Stewart, A. J. (2009). "Don't ask, don't tell": The academic climate for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender faculty in science and engineering. *NWSA Journal*, 85–103.
- [6] Garvey, J. C., & Kurotsuchi Inkelas, K. (2012). Exploring relationships between sexual orientation and satisfaction with faculty and staff interactions. *Journal of homosexuality*, *59*(8), 1167–1190.
- [7] O'Keefe, C. A. (2007). *Mentoring sexual orientation and gender identity minorities in a university setting*. Pepperdine University.
- [8] Farrell, S., Cech, E., Guerra, R., Minerick, A., & Waidzunas, T. (2016). ASEE safe zone workshops and virtual community of practice to promote LGBTQ equality in engineering.
- [9] Shiells, K. (2019). New LGBTQ+ Courses: Free, High-Quality Resources for Allyship and Awareness. Coursera Blog. Retrieved from https://blog.coursera.org/new-lgbtq-courses-free-high-quality-resources-for-allyship-and-awareness/.