

Mechanical Engineering Capstone Projects in Rehabilitation Design

Dr. Carl A. Nelson P.E., University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Carl Nelson is a Professor of Mechanical and Materials Engineering at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

Dr. Judith Marie Burnfield, Madonna Rehabilitation Hospitals' Institute for Rehabilitation Science and Engineering

Judith M. Burnfield, PhD, PT, is Director of the Institute for Rehabilitation Science and Engineering, Director of the Movement and Neurosciences Center and the Clifton Chair in Physical Therapy and Movement Sciences at Madonna Rehabilitation Hospital. Dr. Burnfield earned her PhD in Biokinesiology from the University of Southern California and completed her post doctoral training at the Pathokinesiology Laboratory at Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center. Dr. Burnfield holds adjunct faculty appointments at Creighton University, the University of Nebraska - Lincoln, University of Nebraska Medical Center, and University of South Dakota. Dr. Burnfield's research and development activities focus on improving independence, fitness, health, and well-being of individuals with physical disabilities and chronic conditions.

Dr. Linxia Gu, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Associate Professor Dept. of Mechanical & Materials Engineering

Dr. Adam Wagler, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Adam Wagler, Ph.D., is an assistant professor of advertising and public relations at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. His professional background and research interests revolve around interaction design, owned media, emerging technology, user experience, and cognition. Wagler's research has been published in the Journal of Interactive Advertising, the Journal of Applied Communications, and the Journal of Media Education. Six years of professional work has been supplemented by a number of grant projects at UNL building websites, mobile apps and other digital projects.

Mr. William Edward Dick, Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

William Dick is currently an Adjunct Professor in the Mechanical and Materials Department at the University of Nebraska - Lincoln. He is the former CEO of the Hexagon Composites, Lincoln operation. Mr. Dick has held various engineering and executive positions in the defense and automotive industries throughout his career. He received a BS degree in Mechanical Engineering and a MS degree in Engineering Mechanics both from the University of Nebraska.

Mechanical Engineering Capstone Projects in Rehabilitation Design

Abstract

We report results of a multi-year project aimed at offering socially relevant capstone design projects (with a rehabilitation engineering focus) to mechanical engineering student teams in their final undergraduate semester. Students meet regularly with one or more experts in rehabilitation over the course of the semester to develop and refine design concepts consistent with a clinical needs assessment. On average, two teams of 3-4 students participate in this project each semester. An overview of several recent design projects is provided, highlighting aspects that particularly leveraged the collaboration and expert involvement integrated into the project team. We also present a novel project element piloted recently, in which an engineering capstone team is paired with a capstone team in advertising at the College of Journalism and Mass Communications (CoJMC). Our anecdotal experience suggests that this approach encourages clear identification of functional requirements and connections to market needs early in the design process, and provides a broader, less siloed capstone experience for engineering students.

Introduction

Engineering undergraduate programs typically include a capstone experience in which students conceive and execute a design, allowing them to demonstrate their cumulative mastery of the design process, analysis, and experimentation achieved during the pursuit of their undergraduate degree. This project also can offer students their first opportunity to interact with a client, practice teamwork, and perform engineering work with high social relevance, all of which contribute to satisfaction of student outcomes targeted by the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology. With an aging population, rehabilitation engineering serves as a meaningful focus area for achieving these objectives [1,2,3].

Methods

Students in their senior year participate in a two-semester capstone course series, with the second semester devoted to realizing a design conceived during the first semester. Team size is 3-4 students. By requiring a design pitch (oral proposal) including several design options during the first semester, this allows the majority of the second semester to be focused on refinement and realization of the design.

A subset of the design project topics are centered on rehabilitation engineering, with design problems pitched to students by the faculty. An initial meeting with clinicians at [name of institution removed for review] by the beginning of the second semester provides an orientation to the client requirements. Several additional design reviews are held throughout the semester to keep the design process aligned with the requirements. Sample projects are described as follows.

Project 1: Fall-prevention walker

Walkers are often used to improve stability and promote mobility. However, most walkers have certain usability limitations. Walkers without wheels need to be lifted at each step, and walkers

with wheels require brakes to avoid sliding out from under the user during a fall event. Hand brakes are not very useful because many users lack the hand strength to effectively use them. A first team of students designed a wheeled walker that included a wheel lock triggered by loading the walker vertically, with actuation of the lock being tunable to the weight and preferences of the user. A second team designed a load-triggered outrigger mechanism to widen the footprint of the walker and prevent tipping during a fall event.

Project 2: Sit-to-stand device

A survey of available sit-to-stand rehabilitation devices revealed that the motions generated are not very correct biomechanically, in terms of maintaining the center of mass above the center of pressure (the feet) throughout the motion. A student team designed a motorized rehabilitation device which uses cables attached to a torso harness to more closely mimic standing biomechanics by pulling the trunk forward and then upward in a two-step motion.

Project 3: High-reach device for wheelchair (with COJMC)

Individuals confined to wheelchairs may encounter difficulty trying to reach items on high shelves, on the far edge of a counter, etc. Two student teams independently designed mechanical high-reach devices to serve as wheelchair accessories. Constraints on weight and size, imposed to accommodate the needs of a typical wheelchair user, made this a challenging design problem.

The second of these two engineering teams participated in a pilot effort in which a capstone team from the College of Journalism and Mass Communications was pitched the same project topic. This team's focus was on the informational campaign to communicate the value of the product to potential stakeholders (end users, hospitals, and others), including print and digital media. The two teams met early in the process with the client to clarify design goals and the resulting value proposition.

Results

The formalization of the first meeting with clinicians helps students more quickly and clearly define their design problem and the associated constraints. Furthermore, this problem definition phase was enhanced by the advertising pairing, as the information shared between the engineering and advertising teams helped the engineering students to ask good questions; the advertising students also performed stakeholder research which provided refinement of the design objectives. This cross-pollination helps to satisfy ABET (Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology) accreditation student outcomes criterion (h) related to developing an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global, economic, environmental, and societal context, as well as criterion (d) related to functioning on multidisciplinary teams. The end result was that these teams were able to produce at least a partial prototype of their designs; many other teams only produce computer models to accompany their design reports.

Since students self-select for these projects, it is impossible to obtain meaningful data on student attitudes toward the subject matter of rehabilitation engineering in comparison to their peers. Nevertheless, it is clear that exposure to this type of design problem provides a backdrop for students to work in a more multidisciplinary way and see the direct societal relevance of their work. It also prepares them to some extent for future experiences in graduate programs or in

industry; for a few of the design teams, products of their work have included published articles and/or technology disclosures.

Conclusions

Fifteen teams over four years have performed capstone design projects focused on rehabilitation engineering. These projects have provided a broader perspective for students to appreciate the societal relevance of the engineering profession. Presentations, publications, and technology disclosures resulting from the students' work have served to disseminate this information and bring these positive aspects more into the public view. Collaboration with advertising students provides mutual benefits, particularly improved understanding of stakeholder requirements for the engineering students and the realism of working with a product development team for the advertising students. Achievement of certain student outcomes targeted in engineering accreditation criteria is an added benefit.

Acknowledgements

This material is based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number 1159626. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References Cited

- [1] Don Dekker, Stephen Sundarrao, Rajiv Dubey, 2007, "Capstone Design and the Rehabilitation Engineering Program," ASEE 2007 Annual Conference & Exposition, Honolulu, Hawaii.
- [2] Roth Elliot, Phillip Jacob, Stacy Benjamin, Bruce Ankenman, James Colgate, 2006, "Leveraging Rehabilitation Needs into Freshman Engineering Design Projects," ASEE 2006 Annual Conference & Exposition, Chicago, Illinois.
- [3] Henry G. Ansell, 1998, "Senior EET Design Projects to Aid Individuals with Disabilities," ASEE 1998 Annual Conference, Seattle, Washington.