

EEGRC Poster: Characterizing Trade-off Decisions in Student Designers

Ms. Molly H. Goldstein, Purdue University, West Lafayette

Molly Goldstein is a Ph.D. candidate in the School of Engineering Education at Purdue University, West Lafayette with a research focus on characterizing behaviors in student designers. She previously worked as an environmental engineer specializing in air quality influencing her focus in engineering design with environmental concerns. She earned her B.S. in General Engineering (Systems Engineering & Design) and M.S. in Systems and Entrepreneurial Engineering from the University of Illinois in Urbana-Champaign.

PURDUE ENGINEERING

ENGINEERING EDUCATION

BACKGROUND

Although design and decision-making are intertwined for practicing engineers, students from elementary school through college are not taught to think through uncertain situations in which information is limited or outcomes are not guaranteed. Trade-offs are a complex element of decisions, as the decision-maker weighs possible outcomes against their respective costs. Understanding how students characterize their design tradeoffs would allow educators a better glimpse into students' systems design thinking. Without such knowledge at the K-16 level, we cannot create suitable design activities for students to improve on their decision-making skills, inhibiting their effectiveness as future engineers.

OBJECTIVES

The purpose of this poster presentation is to provide a brief overview of my dissertation work to date on an NSFfunded research project, Collaborative Research: Large-Scale Research on Engineering Design Based on Big Learner Data Logged by a CAD tool. In particular, I will briefly summarize my pilot work that guided my research questions and discuss my ongoing work and next steps.

PARTICIPANTS					
# Students	Grade				
463	7 th Grade				
152	8 th Grade				
140	Mixed High School				
23	Mixed High School				

PILOT STUDY

Profiles of student designers

High school students (n=107)

4 design features: construction, analysis, simulation & reflection



- Cumulative count of all actions across design project
- Hierarchical agglomerative clustering resulted in 5 groups

FUNDING

This work presented on this poster is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant DUE #1348547 and DUE #1348530. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed on this poster, however, are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.



GOALS

RQ1: What is the relationship between design artifact trade-off values and profiles of design behaviors that differentiate students?

RQ2: What do student reflections tell us about how students characterize their design decisions?

RQ3: What is the relationship between student changing conceptions of the importance of making trade-offs and profiles of design behaviors that differentiate students?

- I collected d they designed Energy3D.
- Fine-grained results, elec collected thr design.
- Per student used to reco
- A mixed me student trad value, and s



CHARACTERIZING TRADE-OFF DECISIONS IN STUDENT DESIGNERS

Molly H. Goldstein SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING EDUCATION **PURDUE UNIVERSITY**



data from over 700 middle and high school students as led energy-efficient buildings in a CAD platform,	;
d data information of student actions, experimentation ctronic notes (i.e. reflections), and design artifacts are rough automatic, unobtrusive logging as students	
t, this log data sums 4,000 to 6,000 actions that are onstruct her design process.	
ethods approach will be used to investigate designer de-off behavior using process data, artifact trade-off student reflections.	

Energy-Plus Home Design Design a house that generates more renewable energy than it consumes over the course of a year

METHODS 3,000





Student clusters via log-data

FRAMEWORK



- subjective design criteria
- Surrogate for how experts assess solutions

Goldstein, MH., Meji, CV., Adams, RS, Purzer, S. (2016). Developing a measure of quality for engineering design artifacts. Proceedings of the ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference, October 2016, Erie, PA.

REFLECTIVITY & UNDERSTANDING

Student reflectivity & their understanding of informed design (pilot)

- Measured Predicted
 - Developed a protocol to assess students' level of reflectivity
 - Conceptions of Design Test to assess students' changing

	Low Reflection Cate	egory	Moderate Reflectio	n Category	High Reflection Category	
(+1) Design Activities	No significant changes		*Significant Increases		No significant changes	
(-1) Design Activities	No significant chan	ges	No significant changes		No significant changes	
Individual Design Activities & Direction of Significant Change	Communication	↓				
	Making Trade Offs	Ť	Communication	↓		
	Planning	↓	Evaluating	↑	Analyzing Data	↑
	Prototyping	↓	Planning	↓		
	Reflecting	♠				

Goldstein, MH., Purzer, S., & Adams, RS., Chao, J., Xie, C. (In Review). The Relationship between design reflexivity and conceptions of informed design among high school students.

CONCEPTIONS OF DESIGN

(adapted from Adams & Fralick, 2010)

Of the design activities below, which 5 would you consider as the **MOST** important in terms of producing a high quality design? For one of the selected terms, explain why.

Analyzing data	Gathering Information	Planning
Brainstorming	Identifying constraints	Prototyping
Building	Iterating	Reflecting
Communicating	Making decisions	Setting goals
Conducting Tests	Making trade-offs	Sketching
Evaluating	Modeling	Using Creativity

CONTACT INFORMATION

Molly H. Goldstein goldstm@purdue.edu Purdue University School of Engineering Education Neil Armstrong Hall of Engineering 701 West Stadium Avenue West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Website: http://web.ics.purdue.edu/~goldstm/





(CDT)

Student Reflections



conceptions of design, and of "making trade-offs" in particular