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Service learning is a powerful educational method for delivering engineering curricula [1, 2] while benefiting student retention, personal development, and community connections [3, 4]. Furthermore, positive societal impact is especially important to underrepresented students in career selection [5, 6] and classes or projects with clear engineering-service components commonly attract a higher percentage of students from underrepresented groups [7, 8]. In this work-in-progress, we examine our initial efforts in implementing toy adaptation to enhance engineering education and to serve our broader community.

What is an adapted toy?
An adapted toy has modified activation, function, or other components to increase accessibility and enhance the user’s experience. Here, we use “adapted toy” exclusively for a battery-operated toy that has been modified with the addition of a jack. This jack allows for connection to a wide variety of alternative activation switches so that users can activate the toy using their unique abilities. For example, as shown in Figure 1, the dog toy is traditionally activated by squeezing its torso. However, as an adapted toy, a variety of switches can be plugged into that jack so that the toy can be activated in alternative ways. Toys are essential for development because play introduces novel concepts and experiences such as understanding cause and effect, developing communication and motor skills, and providing opportunities for independent play and decision-making [9, 10]. Despite the developmental importance of toys, people with disabilities are frequently unable to access off-the-shelf toys, making the developmental benefits unattainable.

Toy adaptation in engineering
Adapted toys are generally not available in stores, but can be purchased through specialized websites for 3-5 times the cost of the non-adapted toy. To provide access to affordable toys, non-profits such as RePlay For Kids (replayforkids.org; Medina, Ohio), Santa’s Little Hackers (santaslittlehackers.com; Westminster, CO), and East Tennessee Technology Access Center (ettac.org; Knoxville Tennessee) adapt and donate toys to local families and a national network of lending libraries. A program initiated at the University of Delaware (http://sites.udel.edu/gobabygo/) adapts ride-on cars for children with disabilities and is focused on the developmental impact of mobility and the technical elements of the modification [13-15].

To our knowledge, toy adaptation was first used as an educational and research tool by The Ohio State University Toy Adaptation Program (https://u.osu.edu/osutap/) [16-18]. This program seeks to inspire engineering students through community service while teaching concepts such as circuitry and reverse engineering. At the University of Washington (UW), we aim to learn from
and complement these programs by addressing several aspects of accessible design and play technologies through education, outreach, and research that includes students, clinicians, and community members.

**Toy adaptation is an enjoyable and appealing approach to teach engineering skills**

Toy adaptation introduces many fundamental engineering skills and concepts including reverse engineering, problem solving, basic circuitry, soldering, and teamwork. The process typically takes two hours and supplies cost $1 per toy. As shown in Figure 2, this includes opening the electronic toy and finding the circuit that controls its activation (Figure 2A and B). A piece of wire is then used to determine how the circuit is completed to activate the toy, thereby initiating sounds, lights, and/or movement (Figure 2C, enlarged in D). A female jack is soldered to a wire and the other end of that wire is soldered to the identified points on the circuit (Figure 2E and F). Finally, the toy is closed (Figure 2G) and repackaged. Toy adaptation is a low-risk introduction to design, circuitry, soldering, and use of basic hand tools. In addition, the clear societal impact of toy adaptation may make it an attractive engineering application to underrepresented students, whose educational and career choices are especially motivated by opportunities to make a difference in their communities and help others [5-8].

![Figure 2: Toy Adaptation Process: Toy is opened (A-B), its circuit is analyzed (C-D), and a jack is soldered into the circuit (E-G.)(Image)](image)

**Initial efforts to engage students with toy adaptation at University of Washington**

To gain an initial understanding of participant experiences with toy adaptation, we held three education and outreach-oriented events: (1) educational outreach events in which middle and high school students visited UW Bioengineering to explore bioengineering applications including toy adaptation, (2) a clinical outreach event in which undergraduate senior bioengineering students trained local clinicians in toy adaptation, and (3) a “Holiday Toy Hack” in which 60 university and community participants adapted toys to fulfill holiday gift requests.

**Assessment of participant experiences and perceptions**

Survey data on participants’ experience of the event, perceptions of disability, and perceived impact of toy adaptation as an engineering application were collected at the clinician training and Holiday Toy Hack. Using methodology approved by the UW Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects Research, anonymous survey data were received from 63 participants. A Likert scale questionnaire was used to rank participant responses on a 5-point scale, from *strongly disagree* (1) to *strongly agree* (5). Sample questions and responses are shown in Figure 3.
In agreement with a previous report [16], participants overwhelmingly enjoyed the toy adaptation experience (mean=4.79, standard deviation (SD)=0.41), with a majority of participants responding “strongly agree” and all other participants responding “agree” to the statement “I enjoyed this experience.” Responses about whether toy adaptation is challenging varied. While the mean was 3.20 (SD=1.02), students seemed to think the experience was less challenging (mean=2.92, SD=1.12) than non-students (mean=3.44, SD=0.93). However, this difference was not statistically significant when analyzed via Mann-Whitney U test. Participants agreed with the statements “This experience helped me see how engineering can have a direct impact on people” (mean=4.59, SD=0.60) and “This experience helped me feel more connected to the field of engineering” (mean=4.38, SD=0.75). In addition to questions about engineering, a number of questions assessed perceptions of disability. Interestingly, participants who had more frequent interactions with people with disabilities were, on average, less likely to feel sorry for them. In response to the statement “I feel sorry for people with disabilities,” the mean score for participants that interacted more than once per week with individuals with disabilities was 2.65 (SD=1.07) versus 3.37 (SD=0.88) for participants with interaction less than once per week. When tested with a Mann-Whitney U test, this difference was statistically significant (p = 0.26.)

![Responses From All Participants](image)

It is also promising that while the Holiday Toy Hack was advertised indiscriminately across the UW College of Engineering, which is 27% female [19], 64% of student participants were female. Although a small sample (n=25), increased voluntary involvement from students in underrepresented groups to service-related engineering projects aligns with other studies [7, 8].

In the free response section of the survey, students at the Holiday Toy Hack highlighted the importance of connections across the broader UW community. One student commented, “Everyone is in a program that does different things, but we can all be related to the toy hack. I liked getting to meet students from other programs.” Another student commented that “seeing the kids use the toys” was one of the most positive aspects of the event.

**Future Directions**

Our preliminary data indicate that participants in our toy adaptation events enjoyed the experience, observed the direct impact of engineering through toy adaptation, and felt more connected to the engineering field as a result. We seek to continue toy adaptation efforts within a multidisciplinary team that will expand on this work-in-progress and encompass teaching, research, and outreach.
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