Development of a Remote Operational Amplifier iLab Using Android-based Mobile Platform
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Abstract

iLabs are experimental setups that can be remotely accessed through the Internet using a web browser. They allow students and educators to carry out experiments from remote locations anywhere and at any time. Globally, more than a dozen iLabs have been developed and deployed. However they all collectively suffer from an increasingly important oversight: their interfaces are developed for desktops and laptop computers. This is considered an oversight because there is substantial evidence that mobile devices are emerging as the form factor of choice in the near future.

This paper describes a completely functional Android-based mobile Operational Amplifier iLab that will enable students all over the world perform experiments remotely from a mobile device using the Android platform. There are already other mobile platforms on the market today. However, Android is an environment that combines an open, free development platform based on Linux and gives good access to hardware. Android allows users to explore the mobile Internet afresh with its new features, easy access to the Internet, ease of development, new services and application. This makes it easy for a user/client to interact with the iLabs Service Broker and perform experiments. Android’s openness and flexibility makes it a deciding factor over the closed iPhone framework that provides a similar set of features. This work serves as an improvement to the earlier research and work done in the area of mobile Laboratories under iLab.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Online laboratories are experimental setups that can be accessed and performed over the Internet. With online labs, anyone can perform experiments from anywhere in the world at any time. Online laboratories have several benefits. By making labs sharable online, the number of users of online labs scale up dramatically, particularly with the fact that online labs can be performed round the clock with no need for a physical lab attendant present at the lab for each lab session. Hence with the rising cost of undergraduate laboratory equipment and increasing undergraduate enrolment, online labs are a solution, a solution of particular import in the developing world.
iLabs are online laboratories which make use of the iLab Shared Architecture\textsuperscript{2, 3}. The iLab project started at MIT and its aim was to create a movement to develop and disseminate technology for sustainable and scalable iLabs so that they could be shared worldwide. Globally, more than a dozen iLabs have been developed and deployed. However, all iLabs to date use clients that were developed for desktop PCs. With the recent surge in the use of tablets and mobile phones, and in particular, those running the Android and iOS operating systems, it has become necessary to develop clients that run on these devices.

This paper reports a completely functional mobile client application for iLab that will enable students to perform experiments remotely by consuming the iLab Service Broker web service from a mobile device. The client allows students to perform authentication, submit experiment specifications, and retrieve experiment results. The client will communicate with the Service Broker web service, which will in turn communicate with the Lab Server (consisting of Lab Server web service and experiment engine) and then, the required experiment will be performed.

\textbf{II. PREVIOUS WORK}

The iLab developed in this work is based on the original Op Amp iLab earlier reported by the authors\textsuperscript{4}, which was itself based on the “dozen impedance operational amplifier circuit”\textsuperscript{5}. The original Op Amp iLab allowed students to remotely interact with, and configure simple operational amplifier circuits. The lab had six different experiment configurations namely: non-inverting amplifier, inverting amplifier, unity gain amplifier, summer, integrator and differentiator. The user interface was developed using the C\# programming language (Figure 1). The client allowed the user to select a configuration, connect wires from one node to another, and submit the experiment specification to the Lab Server for execution via the Service Broker. The Lab Server performed the experiment and sent the result back to the client program. The result was then displayed as a waveform. A more recent iteration used realistic interfaces developed on the Adobe Flex platform\textsuperscript{6}, but was also targeted at desktop computers.

While this work appears to be the first report of an Android-based client on mobile devices for the iLab architecture, there are a number of previous works that combine the first two elements, if not the third. Guerra et.al\textsuperscript{7} have reported the development of PortableLab, a mobile remote laboratory for the Android platform. The lab was developed for the measurement of power supply quality. It makes use of a two-tiered architecture (a Lab Server and a Client), whereas this paper reports the development of an Android laboratory using the MIT iLab Shared Architecture, a three-tiered architecture.

\textbf{III. RATIONALE FOR EMPLOYING ANDROID}

There are many mobile platforms, with the most popular being Android, iOS, Windows Mobile (in its various variants), Blackberry OS, and Symbian. Of these, the fastest growing is Android\textsuperscript{12}. The operating system has a number of benefits that make it attractive to developers. These include being open, free development platform based on Linux, having a
very flexible framework, and allowing relatively quick application development with a robust set of development tools.

![First Op Amp iLab user interface](image)

Figure 1: First Op Amp iLab user interface

In addition, Android features the following characteristics that make it an ideal platform for remote experimentation: the Davlik virtual machine, a low-memory virtual machine designed to work well in low power situations; adaptable graphics, connectivity, hardware support, and a very healthy developer ecosystem with mature development environments.

Android is not without its disadvantages. Two of them are fragmentation and security. Due to the fact that mobile device manufacturers are free to modify Android as they see fit, there is a real danger that fragmentation may result, with the attendant inability of apps written for one dialect of Android to run on other dialects. This fear has not materialized, and Google appears to be taking steps to prevent it. Another drawback to the open nature of the platform is the danger that stealthy Trojans, spyware, and other forms of intrusive, disruptive or destructive software may be much easier to develop. An example could be using the GPS feature of the mobile device to track a person’s location without their knowledge.

Apart from being a much better platform for developers, Android also makes a lot of sense considering the demographic the lab targets. Quick checks with more than 100 students representative of those who would take the course on Op Amp revealed that while many of them still used feature phones, around half of those who did have smart phones and tablets used Android, with the Blackberry OS second.

IV. THE MIT iLAB BATCHED ARCHITECTURE

The iLab shared architecture (ISA) was developed by MIT to “facilitate the rapid development and effective management of iLabs”\textsuperscript{11}. To this effect, several toolkits and reusable modules were developed as well as a set of standardized protocols and web services.

The iLab batched architecture is a three-tiered architecture consisting of the Client, Service Broker and the Lab Server\textsuperscript{2,3}. Figure 2 shows the iLabs batched architecture. These three
tiers are connected together using web services. iLabs’ design separates online labs into three distinct modules connected by a web service architecture.

![Diagram of iLabs Architecture Overview](image)

**Figure 2: iLabs Architecture Overview**

**The Client**
The Client is usually an application that runs in a browser, though it can also be a standalone application, which the user interacts with to configure experiment, send experiment specification and retrieve result from the Lab Server via the Service broker. The client is the front end of the system. The client program interacts directly with the Service broker through web services. The design and development of a functional Mobile Client for iLab is the aim of this project and it is an improvement over the originally used clients for desktop computers.

**Lab Server**
The Lab Server is the backend, a server connected to the remotely located lab equipment (or laboratory model in the case of a virtual lab). It executes the experiment based on the experiment specification and notifies the Service Broker when the result is ready for download. In remote labs, the hardware may be an electronics lab built on the National Instruments ELVIS platform. A data acquisition system is used to get the result hardware setup.

**The Service Broker**
The Service Broker is the middleman that links the client with the Lab Server. The Service Broker performs administrative functions; it takes care of authentication and the authorization of users, user session, and experiment data storage, forwarding experiment specification to the Lab Server and retrieving the result. The Service Broker website is where the user registers, logs-in, and stores experiment data (experiment specification and result) to be used by the lab client.
A Service Broker was developed by the iLab team in MIT and this ships with the ISA, but for this project, a mobile Service Broker was designed which is similar in functionality but yet differs (by written code) from the originally developed Service Broker.

V. THE MOBILE LABORATORY ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN

The interface of the Android Op Amp iLab was designed to have a power supply unit, a breadboard and an oscilloscope. The former two were to be used by the user for inputting his experiment specifications. The last was for viewing the result gotten from the experiment. The client was designed to consume the Service Broker web service which involved allowing a user to authenticate, submit experiment specifications, and retrieve experiment results.

Two factors informed the redesign of a new mobile Service Broker for this project instead of using the downloadable Service Broker which comes with the ISA. Firstly, many parts of the iLab ISA’s Service broker were not needed for this project. Secondly, the iLab ISA’s Service Broker was not optimized for consumption by an Android application. Web applications designed for consumption by touchscreen mobile devices ought to be designed with minimal text and optimal sizes of text and textboxes.

For remote labs deployed on computers, the client is often a Java applet or a C# application or a Flash application or a LabVIEW application embedded in a webpage creating what is called a thin client with the client residing on the Service Broker or Lab Server and not on the client’s computer. In the case of mobile applications, the mobile application can be designed to take advantage of the mobile user’s hardware such as touchscreen, accelerometers, gyroscopes and GPS. To do this, the client must reside on the user’s mobile device.

The iLab Mobile Architecture for Android

The architecture of the Android Op Amp iLab is shown in Figure 3. This architecture, though similar to the original iLab architecture is subtly different: instead of the client (the mobile application) residing on the Service Broker website and being deployed from there, it resides permanently on the lab user’s mobile phone. Whenever the user wants to run an experiment he launches the client on his device and logs in to the Service Broker with it, after which, he is able to run the experiment on his device.
The mobile Service Broker, just like the normal Service Broker, is for authentication, experiment submission and result retrieval. The mobile Service Broker is a web service created using the Microsoft .NET framework and was created with four web methods: 
AuthenticateUser, Submit, GetExperimentStatus, and RetrieveExperimentResult.

The AuthenticateUser method is used to verify if the person attempting to run the experiment is a registered user. It takes in two string parameters: Username and Password. The method checks the mobile Service Broker database for the supplied username; if found, it retrieves its corresponding password and compares with the Password string entered by the potential user.

The Submit web method allows the user to submit an already prepared experiment specification to the Lab Server for execution. The method takes in two parameters, the experiment specification string to be submitted and the identifying string for the Lab Server to which the experiment is to be submitted. When the Submit method is invoked with valid arguments, a copy of the experiment specification is saved in the mobile Service Broker database and the mobile Service Broker submits the experiment specification to the Lab Server by invoking a corresponding Submit method on the Lab Server.

GetExperimentStatus is used to monitor the state of the experiment being performed. The experiment takes in two parameters, the experiment identification integer which is a positive integer unique to every experiment and the Lab Server identification string of the Lab Server to which the experiment has been submitted. An integer, from 1 to 7, representing the status of the experiment is assigned to each experiment on the Lab Server so that when this method is invoked it checks the Lab Server database for the experiment using the experiment identification integer and returns the status which is represented by the integer assigned to it. The possible states for an experiment are shown below with the integers representing them.

1- Experiment waiting in queue.
2- Experiment currently running.
3- Experiment finished running and terminated normally.
4- Experiment terminated with errors.
5- Experiment cancelled by user.
6- Experiment not found/ unknown experiment identification.
7- Invalid experiment.

After retrieving the status, it is returned to the mobile client which proceeds execution according to the experiment status returned.

The `RetrieveExperimentResult` web method is used to obtain the results of experiments that have finished execution. When the status of the experiment being performed is either 3 or 4 (execution of experiment has been completed) the `Retrieve Experiment Result` can be invoked in order to download the experiment result from the lab server to the client. This result also takes in two parameters, the unique experiment identifier integer and the identification string of the lab server to which the experiment was submitted. When this method is invoked it checks the lab server database for the experiment and when found it takes the its corresponding experiment result and saves a copy on the mobile Service Broker database before returning it to the client performing the experiment.

**Algorithm and Flow Chart**

Figure 4 is the flowchart for the `AuthenticateUser` method that was implemented. The approach to make the call for the method is as follows:

- Input parameters were set (client side) and parsed into the experiment specification.
- The Experiment specification and Lab Server ID were wrapped into objects by the kSOAP class; PropertyInfo, the objects were then placed in the soap envelope.
- The kSOAP class; HTTPTransportSE was used to open a connection to the web service and set the soap headers and envelope into the soap message after which they were sent.
Figure 4: Flow chart for AuthenticateUser method call

- Another kSOAP class: `SoapPrimitive`, was used to retrieve the response to the SOAP request from the mobile Service broker web service.
- The received response was parsed using `SAXParser` (DOMParser or any other parsing mechanism could be used).

The steps above also constitute the basic method approach that is used for each call to the web service.

**Mobile Op Amp Client Application**

**Layout**
The Op Amp IC is mounted on a soft realistic interface which is similar to the traditional experiments. Figure 5 shows a blank soft breadboard with Op Amp IC being used.
Figure 5: The Bread Board Soft Interface

Figure 6a shows the login activity screen for the mobile client application. On launch the user inputs username and password for authentication purposes, this is as shown in. On successful login, the user is confronted with three menu options: start menu, about menu and exit menu as shown in Figure 6b. The main features were brought into a single application, launched on clicking start, and they were placed under three corresponding flip views:

- The voltage supply - this allows the user to set input voltage parameters;
- The breadboard – for selecting circuit configuration and making connections; and
- The oscilloscope – for displaying the result of the experiment.

The three features are connected as shown in Figure 7.

Figure 6. (a) Login Activity Screen (b) Client Menu Options
Performing Experiments

Four Op Amp configurations were implemented in the application design, they are: Unity-Gain Amplifier, Inverting Amplifier, Non-Inverting Amplifier, and Difference Amplifier. Their corresponding schematics are shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8: Op Amp configurations supported by the mobile Op Amp iLab Client (a) Unity-Gain (b) Non-Inverting Amplifier (c) Inverting Amplifier (d) Difference Amplifier
In order to perform an experiment, the user inputs the appropriate DC voltage on the power supply interface. On the breadboard interface, the user selects one of the four Op Amp configurations he wishes to experiment with and makes the appropriate circuit connection, and then he submits the experiment. If internet connection is available on the android device, the experiment specification is prepared using the input parameters and an attempt to submit is made. The breadboard interface for the unity gain amplifier is shown in Figure 9.

![Breadboard in Unity-Gain Amplifier Configuration](image)

Figure 9: Breadboard in Unity-Gain Amplifier Configuration

Connections are made on the breadboard by making use of the touch screen capability to draw cables from one point on the breadboard to another. It was designed to have
- A drop down menu for selecting one of the 4 op-amp configurations.
- A verify button – To check if the circuit has been connected properly and is in line with the Op-Amp Configuration chosen.
- A delete button – To enable the user delete unwanted connections and resistors
- A submit button – After proper connection, the submit button is clicked and the experiment is sent to the Service Broker.
- Circuit Diagram button- It allows the user view the circuit connection of the Op-amp configuration chosen.
- Resistor button: To enable the user select resistors to use to for connections.
- A Clear Board button – This takes the breadboard interface to the initial/default state.

**Displaying results**
The *Submit* method (for submitting an already prepared experiment specification to the lab server for execution) is called on clicking the *Submit* button on the breadboard interface. After execution, a copy of the result is placed on the Service Broker and sent to the client. The result when returned back to the client is displayed on the oscilloscope interface as a graph. The oscilloscope interface is as shown in Figure 10.
VI. IMPLEMENTATION AND TESTING

The Android Client for the Op Amp iLab was developed and tested in the Eclipse IDE. Some tests have also been carried out on a 10-inch Samsung Galaxy Tab.

Testing the Main Features.
For its Android platform, Google provides a well-put together software development kit (SDK), a plug-in for Eclipse IDE, and a number of tools to aid application development. The tool mostly used in this project was, naturally, the emulator. Some parts such as the oscilloscope were however tested on the HTC Desire – An android mobile device which at the point of writing was running Android version 2.2 (Froyo) - and a Samsung Galaxy 10-inch tablet. A screen shot of the emulator is as shown in Figure 11.

A thorough evaluation of how the application would behave under possible circumstances was performed. The circumstances were divided into two main areas: Performing the experiment and obtaining results.
Performing experiments
If the circuit is properly connected, on clicking the “verify” button, the application is designed to show “Connection Ok” if the circuit connection matches the Op-Amp configuration. Otherwise, if the circuit is wrongly connected, the experiment terminates prematurely. The interface helps the student localise the fault on the breadboard, with the exact location of the error on the breadboard indicated.

This error localization feature can be turned off. Some educators worry that students are often deprived of opportunities to apply critical thinking in solving problems. It can be argued that one of the important benefits of working with real Op Amp on bread boards is the chance to apply critical thinking in debugging problems that arise. Hence, the error localization feature may be seen as a net negative by some instructors. To acknowledge this, the feature can be disabled at the request of an instructor.

Obtaining Results
Error pertaining to this area is seen when the device is offline, one of the assumptions made is that the device is connected to the internet, as it needs to access the Service Broker via the internet. Hence if there is no connection or the data source is unavailable, a time-out occurs.

VII. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT
Other Op Amp configurations such as the summer, integrator and differentiator can be added to existing configurations though the problem of hardware restriction has to be overcome before this can be possible. Another development that could be achieved on solving the hardware limitation issue is designing two or more voltage input channels on both the breadboard and the voltage supply interface.

On the breadboard, pinch and zoom are being implemented so that the application can be used conveniently on mobile phones and not just tablets. The challenge in implementing pinch-to-zoom stems from the fact that a drag gesture is used to connect wires across points on the breadboard and if combined with pinch and zoom which uses drag feature also, it would interfere and cause the application to crash. Hence a new method has to be used for connecting wires across points on the breadboard.

The authors wish to state that this is an on-going work as students’ reactions and analyses still have to be carried out.
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