

Exploring Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communications (V2V) in an Electrical Engineering Undergraduate Program

Mr. Anthony Ian Smith, Penn State Harrisburg

Recent undergraduate student, studying Electeical Engineering Technology at Penn a State Harrisburg.

Mr. Fares S. Alromithy, Wayne State University

Fares Alromithy is a teaching assistance of electronics engineering at the University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.He is currently pursuing his master's degree in the department of Electrical and Computer Engineering (ECE) at Wayne State University, MI. Fares received a B.S. degree in Electrical Engineering from Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), Indian (2009). His current research interest includes smart sensors and integrated microsystems, microelectronic and microelectromechanical systems, Nanoelectronics, and Smart Devices.

Dr. Aldo Morales, Pennsylvania State University, Harrisburg

Dr. Aldo Morales was born in Tacna, Peru. Dr. Morales earned his B.S. in Electronic Engineering, with distinction, from Northern University (now University of Tarapaca), Arica, Chile. He has an M.Sc. Ph.D. in electrical and computer engineering from University of Buffalo, The State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY. Currently, he is a professor of electrical Engineering at Penn State Harrisburg. Dr. Morales was the PI for a 3-year Ben Franklin Technology Partners Grant that established the "Center of Excellence in Signal Integrity" at Penn State Harrisburg. He was a co-author for the Best Poster Paper Award at the IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics 2007, Las Vegas, Nevada, for the paper "Transmitter Pre-emphasis and Adaptive Receiver Equalization for Duobinary Signaling in Backplane Channels". In addition, of Best Paper Award at the IEEE Asia Pacific Conference on Circuits and Systems 96, Seoul, Korea, for the paper "Basis Matrix Representation of Morphological Filters with N-Dimensional Structuring Elements".

Nate Archibald

Exploring Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communications (V2V) in an Electrical Engineering Undergraduate Program

Abstract

In this paper, V2V communication is discussed based on a wireless protocol called Dedicated Short Range Communications (DSRC). This protocol allows cars on the road to form a dynamic wireless networks that aid in driving with the overall goal of reducing car accidents and carbon footprint. The onboard car computer manages and controls all the communication systems as well as electrical systems or subsystems in the vehicle which involve many sophisticated sensors. These sensors, and the software, help cars to make automatic, or semi-automatic, decisions and provide warning information. V2V includes control technology that comes into play at the local (sensor-level) and higher layers of the communication architecture for this dynamic wireless network to work properly. Note that V2V is also being heavily promoted by the US government and car manufacturers should have all this technology ready for deployment in the near future. The authors stress that V2V is a very good example of systems engineering where different architectures (mechanical, electrical, computers and communications), including government policy, are integrated into a product. While this type of development is being disseminated through national media, which gives the public a better understanding as to why V2V communication is important, in-depth discussion of this technology is not typically found in an electrical engineering undergraduate curriculum. This paper is based on a report written in the context of an undergraduate Electrical Engineering computer and communications course at Penn State Harrisburg.

I. INTRODUCTION

V2V communication is an emergent technology that appears to be beneficial, growing fast and being recognized by the United States as a necessary to reduce car accidents^{1-4.} Furthermore, many car companies are working together to develop the supporting networking technology that will be implemented in V2V communication ⁵. Strangely, this technology is not required for self-driving cars, like the Google car ⁵. It is also important to recognize that the US government wants to make this type of technology mandatory ¹⁻⁵. Hence, many institutions are researching this area. For example, researchers at University of Pennsylvania (UPenn) are implementing a

crowd-sourced simulation software called GrooveNet⁶. This tool allows multiple roadside vehicles to be efficiently tracked. For instance, when a car is not on the right course by a fault of the driver, a warning will notify all vehicles in the car's vicinity to avoid it or take safety precautions by slowing or speeding up.

In this paper, V2V communications operation is discussed based on a wireless protocol, Dedicated Short Range Communications (DSRC)^{7,8}. In V2V communications, every vehicle is also a router which allows for sending/receiving messages over multi-hop systems to/from other vehicles and/or roadside stations. The routing algorithm is based on the position of the vehicles and is able to handle fast changes of the network topology. Furthermore, control technology comes into play at local and higher layers of the communications architecture where uncertainties, delays, partial measurements, safety and performance objectives, and other aspects must be considered. The system must also be capable of making automatic or semi-automatic decisions, providing warnings information and potentially affecting driving actions. The vehicle architecture itself is another vital part of the system. In general, the onboard car computer system manages and controls all the electrical systems or subsystems in the vehicle which involve many sophisticated sensors. In fact, for V2V communication technology, sensors are the most important part of the system since sensors are used for object detection, lane tracking, intersection detection, and additional road uses.

Since this is rather a new technology, many of the discussions in academia are carried out in graduate courses. However, in-depth discussion of this technology is not typically found in communication and networking courses in an electrical engineering undergraduate curriculum. In this paper, the authors try to demystify the technology and present it at the undergraduate electrical/computer science program students as a good example of systems engineering. In fact a recent publication by the US Department of Transportation ⁹ highlights this fact where different architectures (mechanical, electrical, computers and communications), including government policy, are integrated into designing one product.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II theory and impact of this technology is discussed. V2V Implementation issues are presented in Section III. Conclusions are given in

section IV. The authors stress that this paper is an extension of an Electrical Engineering undergraduate project presented in the context of a Communications and Networking class, and clearly shows the systems engineering approach.

II. THEORY and IMPACT of V2V

As indicated in the previous section, at the heart of V2V communications is the DSRC^{7,8} wireless protocol. The DSRC is designed to create a short range cellular network that is based on a 3G and 4G cellular network. In this scheme, every vehicle is also a router and the complete system acts as a dynamic wireless network. The V2V's routing algorithm is based on the position of the vehicles and should handle fast changes in network topology since many cars are operating on the road. While V2V allows communication between cars, there is also an additional protocol Vehicle to Infrastructure (V2I) that is being tested, which allows communication with traffic centers¹⁰. Control technology comes into play at local and higher layers of the architecture. According to new research ¹¹, uncertainties, delays, partial measurements, safety and performance objectives, and other aspects must be considered, and the system must be capable of making automatic or semiautomatic decisions, providing warning information and potentially affecting actions ¹¹ taken by a car. The vehicle's architecture is another vital part of the system. The onboard controls involve many sophisticated sensors. In general the onboard system manages and controls all the communications, electrical systems or subsystems in the vehicle. According to⁷, sensors, which can be long or short-rage radars as well as video stereo cameras, are the most important part of this technology and are used for sense object detection, lane tracking, intersection detection, and many road uses ⁷. The objects can be determined by their position and velocity which can be sensed by radar/video sensors in the vehicle.

One of the first persons to be recognized for pursuing V2V technology is Mr. Anthony Foxx who is the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Transportation under the Obama administration. Mr. Foxx is trying to make V2V communication mandatory for cars by the year 2018¹². In a recent report Foxx stated, "Keeping drivers safe is the most important advantage of V2V, but it's just one of many"¹. Gabe Nelson journalist from Automotive News stated that, "V2V can also help reduce congestion and save fuel. The potential of this technology is enormous"¹ since it can help

reduce accidents that harm, and possibly kill, innocent citizens. Mr. Foxx believes that V2V technology can reduce 70-80 percent of crashes that involve unimpaired drivers¹. This could be very beneficial and help keep people safe from drunk drivers or people who fall asleep when they drive. Another person who thinks this technology can reduce the amount of car crashes is David Friedman who was an acting administrator for the National Highway Safety Administration. Mr. Friedman believes that V2V technology is very innovative and can be proven to be very beneficial in highway safety¹.

Research is a key factor in making sure this technology becomes successful. The development for V2V technology started in 2002, when research was conducted by U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Innovative Technology Administration (RITA) office¹³. RITA explored issues that major auto companies have to deal with. Some of these issues include configuration design, attack on users, and attack on communications. When it deals with configuration design, some key factors are Public Key Infrastructure (PKI), vehicle hardware, and policy options. The main function of a PKI is designed to ensure users the ability to communicate with other vehicles having certain credentials². An example of PKI is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)².

With this technology, vehicles should be able to exchange information with nearby vehicles to prevent accidents. Other solution to be used, besides PKI, is a change of software that prevents any tampering of communication. An attack on users is a huge issue that plays a role in V2V communications. Some of these issues deal with user privacy, safety, and communications operations. According to² attacks on users have to deal with software manipulation when a user downloads malicious and harmful software that can affect another car's software². It was also mentioned in² that interference of other car's sensors can affect messaging that warns another driver of nearby accidents². These are some of the key security issues with V2V communication because it may allow hackers to harm the driver and/or the persons in a vehicle. The risk factors and their levels are displayed in Table 1, obtained from¹, below.

Methods of Attack		Requires Physical Access	Level of Risk		
			A1	A2	A3
Key Extraction	Physically entering the vehicle and removing keys from the OBU	~	Low	Medium	High
Software	Use APIs ¹⁶ to extract keying material	~	Low	Low	Medium
Manipulation	Install software on device to create messages containing arbitrary information	~	Low	Low	Medium
	Install software on device to alter information (i.e. system clock or sensor inputs)	~	Low	Low	Medium
Sensor Manipulation	Interfere with input to CAN bus that directly report vehicle behavior (e.g. brakes)	~	Low	Low	Medium
	Interfere with output from CAN bus to application processor	~	Low	Medium	Medium
	Interfere with input from sensors that report external circumstances (e.g. GPS, lane marker detectors)		Low	Low	Medium
Denial of Service	Jamming the channel (denial of communication)		Low	Low	Low
	Send false messages that cause true messages to be ignored (denial of computation)		Low	Low	Low

Table 1: List of Risk Levels¹

III. IMPLEMENTATION

Major Companies such as General Motors, Toyota, and Volkswagen are working together to implement V2V communication in their vehicles and conducted research and testing for more than ten years on this technology¹. Testing started in 2002 with automotive manufacturing companies researching on emergency brake light warning, forward collision warning,

intersection movement assist, blind spots, and lane changing. Testing was also conducted with Dedicated Short-Range Communication (DSRC). In¹ Nelson stated: "Over 3,000 vehicles were equipped with DSRC devices in a test conducted August 2012." Even though DSRC has been implemented in many devices more tests have to be conducted. Gloria Bergquist, spokeswoman of the Alliance of Automobile Manufactures of Washington, believes that DSRC is very beneficial in the growth of V2V technology but tests still need to be conducted. Some issues need to be worked on such as security and privacy because it communicates on a cellular network. Nelson mentioned in¹, "We need to address security and privacy, along with consumer acceptance, affordability, achieving the critical mass to enable the network effect and establishment of the necessary legal and regulatory framework." Other big name companies are also a part of this development such as Cisco.

Andreas Mai of Cisco stated that, "Cisco is naming V2V "Internet Cars" and believes that if consumers switch to this type of technology they will be saving over \$1,400"¹⁴. This is based on the reduction of CO2 produced by vehicles, reduced car insurance rates, and traffic guidance produced by other vehicles. Mai mentioned that Cisco believes that the technology price range depends on the market where V2V is being sold¹⁴. Another factor that V2V faces is communication with cars from different manufacturers. For example, cars from BMW should be able to communicate with cars manufactured by Toyota. However, some auto makers are putting their patents on DSRC technology which can be used to create their own types of alarms for their cars¹, which can be a detriment of the wide-spreading of this technology.

Initial implementation of V2V communication software was conducted by utilizing the GrooveNet hybrid simulation tool⁶, developed at UPenn Engineering department. See Figure 2 for a snapshot of its graphical interface. GrooveNet is crowdsourced Linux based software that allows a vehicle to track latitude, longitude, and heading, based on GPS, as well as vehicle transmission states such as the speed of the vehicle. The basic of the system involves path history and path prediction. Path history comprises the vehicle positions as it heads down straight and curved roads¹⁵. The path history would then be recorded and transmitted to nearby vehicles. Based on current GPS technologies, along with its path history, a car can predict its trajectory in both straight and curve roads¹⁵. GrooveNet also allows simulation of virtual against real vehicles

in real time. Currently still under development, GrooveNet is a powerful application that offers engineers a platform to further develop V2V technology.

Simulator Network Window GrooveSim Widget Longitude Latitude 192.168.1.82 Local/Simulated -79.954209 * 40.44416 192.168.1.83 Local/Simulated -79.933906 * 40.44112 192.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.934812 * 40.43883 192.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.948152 * 40.44669 192.168.1.85 Local/Simulated -79.9478039 * 40.44669 192.168.1.86 Local/Simulated -79.947873 * 40.43579	Speed 10 * 25 mph 18 * 25 mph 13 * 25 mph 15 * 25 mph 15 * 25 mph	- 0 × Headir 130.24 71.57 *	GrooveSim Widget
CrooveSim Widget Type Longitude Latitude 192.168.1.82 Local/Simulated -79.953206* 40.4416 192.168.1.83 Local/Simulated -79.953206* 40.4412 192.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.953206* 40.4412 192.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.948152* 40.43883 192.168.1.85 Local/Simulated -79.948059* 40.44669 192.168.1.86 Local/Simulated -79.947973* 40.44669	Speed 0 * 25 mph 8 * 25 mph 3 * 25 mph 5 * 25 mph 0 * 25 mph	- C × Headir [*] 130.24 71.57 *	CrooveSim Widget
Vehicle Type Longitude Latitude 192.168.1.82 Local/Simulated -79.954209 * 40.4416 192.168.1.83 Local/Simulated -79.948152 * 40.4883 192.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.948152 * 40.4883 192.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.940059 * 40.4469 192.168.1.85 Local/Simulated -79.94073 * 40.4369	Speed 0 * 25 mph 8 * 25 mph 3 * 25 mph 5 * 25 mph 0 * 25 mph	Headir* 130.24 71.57*	At a granua Bada
 J92.168.1.82 Local/Simulated -79.954209 * 40.44416 J92.168.1.83 Local/Simulated -79.933996 * 40.44112 J92.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.948152 * 40.43883 J92.168.1.85 Local/Simulated -79.940059 * 40.44669 J92.168.1.86 Local/Simulated -79.927373 * 40.43579 	10 * 25 mph 18 * 25 mph 13 * 25 mph 15 * 25 mph 19 * 25 mph	130.24 71.57 *	Provide Bigg
 192.168.1.83 Local/Simulated -79.933996 • 40.44112 192.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.948152 • 40.4883 192.168.1.85 Local/Simulated -79.940059 • 40.44669 192.168.1.86 Local/Simulated -79.927373 • 40.43579 	13 ° 25 mph 13 ° 25 mph 15 ° 25 mph 19 ° 25 mph	71.57 *	LON MUNICIPALITY AND A LONG AND AND A LONG AND AND A LONG AND A LONG AND AND A LONG AND AND AND AND A LONG AND AND A LONG AND
192.168.1.84 Local/Simulated -79.948152 * 40.43883 192.168.1.85 Local/Simulated -79.940059 * 40.44669 192.168.1.86 Local/Simulated -79.927373 * 40.43679	13 * 25 mph 15 * 25 mph	00.46	Baum Der
 192.168.1.85 Local/Simulated -79.940059 * 40.44669 192.168.1.86 Local/Simulated -79.927373 * 40.43579 	15 * 25 mph	- M M. (8/D)	19 TOTTINE LINE STATE
0 192.168.1.86 Local/Simulated -79.927373 * 40.43579	0 ° 25 moh	168.69	NISLA III E AND SHE SHE THEN
192120012100 E0000000000000000000000000000		0.00.9	MULTING THE AND A MULTING AND A
79 192 168 1.87 Local/Simulated -79 936821 * 40 45288	9 ° 25 mph	-33.69	X LIT I LET I LET TO PHET HUMAN
197 168 1 88 Local/Simulated .79 027684 * 40.44305	7 * 25 mph	174.75	LATER I IT SATE LATTING I MADE
0 102 168 1 80 Local/Sir	0 * 25 mph	122.62	I I I I I I THE LAST I AND I THE A THE
192 168 1 90 Local Simulated Vehicles	4 * 25 mph	95.60*	· I BILL IN AN I CALMANNEL
102.100.1.90 Local/Simulating - 10.020.05.05.1.90 Local/Simulating - 10.020.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05	8 2 35 mph	.83.66	Simulated venicles
102 168 1 02 Local/Simulated .79 053056 * 40.45793	5 * 25 mph	-110.31	NU IPRO PER F
102 168 1.03 Local/Simulated .70.038006 * 40.43722	7 2 35 mph	-82.11	VILE KJ . E V MAR
192.100.1.95 Local/Simulated -79.920090 40.42934	23 mph	68.20.8	
192.100.1.94 Local/Simulated 79.951/11 40.44407 0 102.169.1.05 Local/Simulated 79.052007.5 40.45277	2 23 mph	163.14	K Call Harrist
192.105.1.95 Local/Simulated -79.955997 40.43577 102.168.1.05 Local/Simulated 70.052841.2 40.43002	2 23 mph	125.00	Band Mathematica States and a content
0 102 168 1 07 Local/Simulated 79 93 90 41 40 42 993	15 * 25 mph	135.00	Corbes Ave
102.106.1.97 E0Cal/Simulated -79.954455 40.45957 103.169.1.09 Local/Simulated 70.062693.9 40.453957	23 mph	150.37	Y HT YOURS
792.168.1.90 Local/Simulated -79.965362 40.45369	7 25 mph	50.31	and the second second
102.108.1.99 E0Cal/Simulated 79.900345 40.43990 102.108.1.100 Local/Simulated 70.042054.9 40.45970	17 25 mph	34.10	Marine Br
102.168.1.100 Local/Simulated -79.942064 40.45070	0 25 mph	-146.30	
192.100.1.101 Local/Simulated 79.940700 40.44505	5 * 25 mph	72.20.5	
792.168.1.102 Local/Simulated -79.929316 40.43232 0 100 168 1 102 Local/Simulated -79.929316 40.43232	0.2 25 mph	75.30	J May method to
192.100.1.100 Local/Simulated .79.940720 40.45731	5 25 mph	121.32	Realizer IN MARCINE
2 192.168.1.104 Local/Simulated -79.942184 - 40.45710 102.168.1.1 Network 70.026531.8 40.44740	16 - 25 mpn	105.26	A CAN
192.100.1.1 Network -79.930571 40.44748	16 * 25 mpn	-105.20	
S 192.108.1.2 Network Beat Makieles in visibility (10 - 25 mph	-109.54	RECEIPTED IN THE RECEIPTER
2 192.168.1.3 Network Real Vehicles in vicinity u	18 - 35 mpn	99.48	NX II I I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
192.158.1.4 Network 70.00000 a 40.44854	6 · 25 mph	97.50	State 1
V 192.168.1.5 Network -79.929210 - 40.44556	13 - 25 mpn	111.80 *	
Vehicle/Simulator: 192.168.1.2			Reconnect - Disconnect Reinitialize
192.168.1.1			Denso Client Close
192.168.1.2			TCP Client
192.168.1.3			UDP Client Stop Server
192.168.1.4 Network Connections			Real Network Connectivity
192.168.1.5 with Real Vehicles			

Figure 2: Snapshot of the GrooveNet Interface from UPenn⁶.

The vehicle architecture allows onboard communications with other vehicles includes. This architecture involves an Electronic Control Unit (ECU) which deals with all data coming from a single vehicle (for example all data from Toyota or from BMW). One type of ECU can be classified as safety control seen in air bags, and brake assist systems. Other types are Power ECUs which can control the transmission and the power to the engine. Telematic ECU's are another type which can manage video, audio, and mobile communication systems. In V2V, the ECU processes the data coming from the sensors to maintain efficient driving performance. There are many complex tasks which require many control processes and accurate results may need multiple ECU's^{7,16}.

Radar, mounted on a car, is used to detect and track the movements of road users, such as animals and pedestrians. There are many types of radars which are used for different ranges. They can be classified as long-range radars and short-range radars. Long range radars have 15 to 20 degrees of field view or up to a 250 meter range. This radar is considered cheaper than the previous radar systems and can detect objects and other vehicles in smaller proximity up to 150 meters. There are also short range radars with a wider, and overlapping, field of views of up to a 60 meter range^{17,18}, and video stereo cameras to aid with the car's navigation (see Figure 3).





Sensors of different capabilities cover 360 degrees, with overlapping fields of view.

Figure 3: Different radar ranges and video stereo sensors in $V2V^{18}$.

The use of all of this technology is aimed to improve the driving experience while reducing car accidents and the carbon footprint of cars on the road.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, DSRC has been introduced as one of the protocols of the V2V communication architecture. In this scheme, there are also a variety of onboard sensors that can be utilized to alert cars of problems on the road. One of the major goals of V2V is precisely accident avoidance. In order for V2V to work, major car companies have to come together. They will have to ensure their customers' safety and that their software can communicate with each other's vehicles. Car manufacturers also have to ensure that older car models have a device(s) to communicate with newer car models. Interesting, Google has developed an autonomous selfdriving vehicle that possesses a driver's license, without the V2V technology. It was also discussed that behind this technology there is a big push from the government, through defined policy, in order to reduce car accidents. An additional protocol, Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) also needs to be investigated more deeply. Overall, V2V and V2I communication networks are active lines of research and new developments are coming every day. The authors stress that this paper is an extension of an Electrical Engineering undergraduate project presented in the context of a Communications and Networking class, and clearly shows the systems engineering approach where many areas of engineering (electrical, mechanical, communications, computers) come together as well government policy. The authors are also working in implementing software to check for spectrum usage in V2V communication.

REFERENCES

 G. Nelson. U.S. regulators pave way for vehicle-to-vehicle communications, safety technology. Available: <u>http://www.autonews.com/article/20140203/OEM11/140209988/u.s.-regulators-pave-way-for-vehicle-to-vehicle-communications-safety-</u>, 2014.

- [2] A. Kim,V. Kniss, G., and S. Sloan, An Approach to Communications Security for a Communications Data Delivery System for V2V/V2I Safety: Technical Description and Identification of Policy and Institutional Issues. Avaliable:http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/43000/43500/43513/FHWA-JPO-11-130_FINAL_Comm_Security_Approach_11_07_11.pdf. 2011
- [3] <u>http://spectrum.ieee.org/tech-talk/transportation/infrastructure/vehicle-to-vehicle-tech-will-be-mandatory-say-feds</u>
- [4] <u>http://www.cnet.com/news/us-to-push-for-mandatory-car-to-car-wireless-communications/</u>
- [5] S. Shankland, "US to push for mandatory car-to-car wireless communications," February 3, 2014, <u>http://www.cnet.com/news/us-to-push-for-mandatory-car-to-car-wireless-communications/</u>. Last accessed Jan. 29, 2015.
- [6] <u>http://mlab.seas.upenn.edu/groovenet/</u>
- T. Zhang, Tao Delgrossi, Luca. (2012). Vehicle Safety Communications: Protocols, Security, and Privacy, J. Wiley, 2012.
- [8] http://car.osu.edu/vehicle-vehicle-communication-intelligent-transportation
- [9] W. Fehr, "IntelliDrive Safety Program Certification Overview," US Department of Transportation. <u>http://www.its.dot.gov/presentations/safety_workshop2010/IntelliDrive%20Safety%20Program%20Workshop%20Certification%20Pilot%20--%20W%20Fehr.pdf</u>.
- [10] J. E. Naranjo, E. Talavera, J. J. Anaya, F. Jiménez, José G. Zato, and N. Gómez, Highway Test of V2V Mesh Communications over WSN, *Proceedings of 2012 15th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Anchorage, Alaska, USA, September 16-19, 2012
- [11] L. Glielmo, <u>http://ieeecss.org/sites/ieeecss.org/files/documents/IoCT-Part4-13VehicleToVehicle-HR.pdf</u> in Tariq Samad (Honeywell) and Anuradha Annaswamy (MIT), The Impact of Control Technology, *Overview*, *Success Stories, and Research Challenges,*" http://ieeecss.org/general/impact-control-technology
- [12] <u>http://www.nhtsa.gov/About+NHTSA/Press+Releases/NHTSA-issues-advanced-notice-of-proposed-rulemaking-on-V2V-communications.</u>
- [13] http://www.rita.dot.gov/
- [14] A. Mai.(2013).Internet of Cars: A Catalyst to Unlock Societal Benefits of Transportation.Avaliable:http://www.its.dot.gov/itspac/march2013/pdf/SCV-ITS-Advisory-Cisco-Perspective-F.pdf.
- [15] R. Mangharam, D. S. Weller, R. Rajkumar, Priyantha Mudalige and Fan Bai. (2006). GrooveNet: A Hybrid Simulator for Vehicle-to-Vehicle Networks, Second International Workshop on Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communications (V2VCOM) Available: http://repository.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1001&context=mlab_papers
- [16] Syed Faraz Hasan, Nazmul Siddique, Shyam Chakraborty, Intelligent Transport Systems [online]. Available: <u>http://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-1-4614-3272-2,</u>2013.
- U. Ozguner, T. Acarman, and K. Redmill. Autonomous Ground Vehicles [online], ArtechHouse 2011.
 Available: <u>http://site.ebrary.com/lib/sdl//docDetail.action?docID=10522167</u>, 2011.

[18] J. Dickmann, N. Appenrodt and C. Brenk "How We Gave Sight to the Mercedes Robotic Car Radar is the Key to Mercedes Benz's Autonomous Car," http://spectrum.ieee.org/transportation/self-driving/how-wegave-sight-to-the-mercedes-robotic-car, Posted 24 Jul 2014.