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Abstract
Doctoral degree attainment on pathways to a tenured faculty position proceeds through levels wherein persistence differs by gender and race at every level. Using the ASEE database and other sources, this paper describes transitions along the engineering faculty pathway wherein domestic female and male electrical and computer engineering (ECE) undergraduate degree recipients have been producing the surprising result that domestic women are completing ECE doctorates at a higher rate than are domestic men. This suggests that we may have a limited understanding of the motivations and successes in doctoral study for domestic students and that we need to more broadly assess the impacts of our diversity efforts.

Introduction
Slowing or even retreating, progress in gender diversity at the BS level (ASEE, 2013, Bowman 2011, 2014) shows signs of extending to the level of graduate education through to engineering academia. As gender diversity progress at the Bachelor’s degree (BS) level has slowed or even declined, the role of professional societies is clearly of high importance (Robinson 2013; Holm 2013; Johnson 2013; Goldsmith 2013). In spring 2013 the IEEE Computer Society dedicated their monthly magazine Computer to the issue of gender diversity (Prey & Weaver, 2013). A changed context has been sought that:

“...you can make a decision to be part of bringing about change by talking with your daughters, nieces, neighbors, and their school counselors about the wonders of computing and how the next generations of computing professionals will have an even more exciting opportunity to change the world.” (Prey & Weaver, 2013)

Navigating from the BS level and on to PhD degrees involves a continuously narrowing pathway (Hoag, 2009; Ehrenberg & Kuh, 2009). Although often characterized as a “pipeline,” Bowman (2014) has described it as having characteristics more in line with filtration. Differences in departmental cultures and differences in engineering disciplines are likely amplified by strong disciplinary and sub-disciplinary differences (Fox, 2000; Sonnert et al., 2007). For ECE, separate designations for electrical engineering (EE), computer engineering (CompE) and electrical and computer engineering (ECE) as well as overlap and distinctions from computer science (CS) offer a very challenging context for generalizations as well as data reporting and tracking. Throughout the remainder of this paper the designation ECE will be used for the combined data reported under electrical engineering, computer engineering and electrical and computer engineering headings by ASEE (2013).

Consider the differential outcomes shown in Figure 1 and Table 1 (see also Bowman, 2014). There was approximately one new domestic engineering assistant professor in 2012 for every five thousand graduating high school seniors in 2000, a year in which there were about three million high school graduates. Broken down by gender, this ratio is approximately 1 to 10,000 for females and 1 to 3,000 for males. For ECE, which comprises about twenty-three percent of tenure and tenure-track engineering faculty and may have a slightly lower fraction of US born faculty due to a historically high fraction of foreign doctorates, about one hundred-twenty domestic engineering assistant professors are hired every year with about twenty-five per year...
being female. The electrical engineering devices most similar in scaling to this pathway to an engineering academic career are probably filters and not pipelines.

This paper is focused on the connection between BS and PhD degree production in the context of gender equity and domestic versus international students with emphasis on recent demographic data reported for ECE compared to engineering overall. Data used here does not include computer science due to year-to-year variability in reporting by a number of institutions and variable situations in administrative locations, including in separate science or applied science colleges. ECE was chosen for comparison here based on the large numbers of degrees granted and the relatively low fraction of domestic women completing BS degrees.

Figure 1  Data for US individuals entering each stage of the pathways towards becoming an engineering assistant professor in 2012 with blue and pink indicating the fractions of male and female, respectively. The scale of the figure changes going from left to right with the outer diameter of each ring showing the relative numbers at each level. Engineering BS is the smallest ring on the left side and the largest ring on the right.

Table 1 Gender Fractions for each Stage shown in Figure 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High School Graduate</th>
<th>Enter College</th>
<th>BS Degree</th>
<th>Eng BS Degree</th>
<th>Eng MS Degree</th>
<th>Eng PhD Degree</th>
<th>New Asst Professor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from NCES (2012) and ASEE (2013).
Context
The last four years have shown strong growth in US BS degree and PhD production, as well as enrollment, for engineering disciplines overall as shown in Figure 2 (ASEE, 2013). Throughout the past decade ECE BS degrees have declined in number and even more so in the fraction of overall engineering degrees (see Table 2, Figure 3 and Bowman 2014). In the past decade, most other engineering disciplines have increased in BS output with aerospace engineering nearly doubling in degree output while biomedical engineering more than tripled (ASEE 2013, Bowman 2011). The aggregate of BS degrees for ECE disciplines have decreased by nearly 3000 degrees or 17%. This has meant that ECE disciplines which comprised nearly 29% of engineering BS degrees in 2002 now comprise less than 19% of engineering BS degrees in 2012.

Table 2 Degree Production by Gender for EE, CompE, and ECE ASEE Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EE</th>
<th>CompE</th>
<th>ECE</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>PhD</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td>158%</td>
<td>-46%</td>
<td>483%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>8956</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>4163</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8799</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>3364</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>-19%</td>
<td>204%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>10,604</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>4737</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10,077</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>3675</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>-22%</td>
<td>226%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>-22%</td>
<td>226%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data ASEE (2013).

Information on pathways and persistence to BS degrees for ECE is overall fairly limited, but the best information provided by Lord and coworkers (2009a, 2009b, 2010, 2011, 2013) shows that there are some differences in persistence for race and gender. But, as Lord and coworkers indicate, the most important factor is

*not a problem of persistence; rather, it is the result of fewer women enrolling in engineering compared with other majors. p.839 (Lord et al., 2010)*

Overall engineering PhD degree production has increased by more than 75% since 2002 growing from just under 6000 to about 10,000 PhD degrees in 2012 (Bowman, 2014). ECE PhD production has grown similarly, consistently producing about 24 to 26% of the US engineering PhD degrees throughout the last decade. Data in Table 2 and Figure 3 shows that ratio of BS to PhD degrees granted by ECE programs in 2012 is about seven-to-one, or about the same ratio as for all engineering disciplines. In 2002 this ratio was nearly thirteen-to-one due to much larger BS degree production and lower PhD production. In contrast, the other large engineering discipline, mechanical engineering, has a current BS to PhD ratio of over fifteen (Bowman, 2014).
Diversity in Gender and Nationality at the Bachelor’s and Doctoral Levels

A modest decline in the fraction of women earning BS degrees in engineering was accompanied by a steady increase in the fraction of women earning engineering PhDs across the past decade (Bowman, 2014). For the ECE disciplines the decline in the number and fraction of female BS degrees was substantial. Despite some recent recovery, the number of female BS degrees for the ECE disciplines in 2012 was 30% less than in 2002 while the contemporaneous decline in male BS degrees was 11%. This resulted in a decrease in the female fraction of BS degrees from 14.6 to 11.3% as shown in Table 3. At the same time, doctoral attainment for ECE overall has increased tremendously with very strong growth of PhDs earned by women. For the ECE disciplines the increase in female PhDs more than doubled while male PhDs increased by about 65%. This resulted in an increase in the fraction of female PhD degrees from 12.1 to 16.5%. By 2012, the fraction of female PhD degrees was well ahead of the female BS degree fraction.

Table 3 Changes in Female Fractions for EE, CompE and ECE ASEE Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>EE BS</th>
<th>EE PhD</th>
<th>CompE BS</th>
<th>CompE PhD</th>
<th>ECE BS</th>
<th>ECE PhD</th>
<th>Overall BS</th>
<th>Overall PhD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data ASEE (2013).
Figure 3 (a) Fraction of total engineering BS degrees and PhD degrees earned in ECE. (b) Fraction of PhD degrees to BS degrees for engineering and ECE (data from ASEE, 2013).

Figure 4 Fraction of engineering and ECE BS degrees and PhD degrees earned by women (data from ASEE, 2013).
Figure 4 shows that 2006 was the first year that for engineering overall the fraction of women earning PhD degrees exceeded the fraction of women earning BS degrees. This difference continued to increase in subsequent years with 2011 showing nearly 23% of engineering PhD degrees being earned by women while in the same year only about 18% of BS degrees were earned by women. Figure 4 also demonstrates that ECE had a nearly contemporaneous crossover wherein the fraction of women earning PhD degrees exceeds the female BS degree fraction.

In an assessment of data right at this crossover point, Ferreira (2009) lamented the slow progress in gender diversity at the doctoral level and also suggested that the increases in gender diversity for STEM doctoral attainment had been due to increases in female doctorates earned by foreign nationals. Figure 5 shows that for the past decade the fraction of foreign nationals for ECE has been between 60 and 70% of doctoral degrees, which is slightly ahead of engineering overall. Table 4 and Figure 6 show that the fraction of female PhD degrees for both domestic and foreign students has been increasing steadily in recent years and that the female fraction among domestic students is higher than for foreign students. Since 2006, the final year of data analyzed by Ferreira (2009), the number of female domestic PhDs for ECE disciplines increased from 82 to 163 whereas the number of female foreign PhDs increased from 249 to 260. This demonstrates that recent gains in gender diversity for ECE occurred predominantly through increased in domestic PhDs. For domestic students the female fraction of PhD degrees is more than fifty percent greater than the female fraction for BS degrees.

![Figure 5 Fraction of ENG and ECE PhD degrees earned by foreign national students. (ASEE, 2013).](image)

The fraction of domestic women receiving all engineering doctoral degrees has remained between 20 percent and 40 percent above the fraction of women receiving engineering BS degrees for the last several years. Across the past five years the ratio between domestic...
engineering PhD degrees and BS degrees was about 1 to 15 for women and about 1 to 18 for men (see Bowman, 2014). For the same period the ratio between domestic ECE PhD degrees and BS degrees is about 1 to 12 for women and about 1 to 18 for men.

![Figure 6 Fraction of ECE PhD degrees earned by domestic and foreign national women (ASEE, 2013).](image)

**Implications**

Persisting to completion of a doctorate degree involves a number of complex factors that have received only modest attention (Bowman, 2014). Academic advising, personal and family issues, the availability and attractiveness of employment opportunities at the BS and MS level, financial obligations and the availability of financial support are all factors that have been considered (Gardener, 2009; Barnes, 2012, Meyers et al., 2012).

The impacts of transformative graduate education programs at specific institutions have not apparently resulted in systemic, positive impacts (Kniola et al., 2012, Bowman, 2014), but differences in performance between women in men in undergraduate education may be a factor (see Orr, et al., 2011). They showed that for engineering overall women had a mean GPA of 2.91 whereas men had a GPA of 2.75. One might speculate that this difference in GPA could increase the likelihood that domestic women attend graduate school. Unfortunately, for EE there is no statistically significant difference in GPA and for CompE men perform better than women, suggesting deeper analysis might be necessary.

In analyses of undergraduate research experience (URE) data, it is clear that females appear to have been participating in UREs at twice the rate of male undergraduate engineers (Russell et al., 2006, 2007, Hancock and Russell, 2008, Bowman, 2014). This higher participation rate of women than their representation as undergrads may be having a positive impact on PhD attainment. This suggests that further study of ECE-specific URE programs might be warranted.

Relative to the BS level, PhD programs are strongly impacted by recruiting, candidate screening and financial support controlled by departments and individual faculty (Fox, 2000, Bowman,
ECE programs and individual faculty have independent control over recruiting and mentoring graduate students in their research groups as they do for URE students. The recent growth in female doctoral attainment, particularly for domestic ECE students, is an area ripe for further investigation. And, if we had a better understanding of what is producing this positive outcome, it might provide insight that could be positively applied to the K-12 level.

Conclusions
Advancing PhD attainment of domestic students across all races and ethnicities is essential towards producing eligible employees for many defense and non-defense national laboratory positions, but in also ensuring that some degree of balance is maintained in the proportion of domestic and foreign students receiving advanced degrees. Improving our understanding of how the professional identities of female engineering PhD recipients, and in particular, those in the most male-dominated engineering fields like ECE develop and differ from other women and men could provide powerful insight into persistence at every level.

Table 4  Comparison of ENG and ECE Degree Attainment in 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Domestic BS Female Fraction</th>
<th>Domestic PhD Female Fraction</th>
<th>Ratio of Domestic BS to PhD</th>
<th>Foreign PhD Female Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECE</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGINEERING</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from ASEE (2013)
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