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Abstract
Maker Camps are the buzzword of the current environment. The concept behind these camps is centered on the engagement of kids through hands-on creation of a wide variety of objects. Learning Environments Department has embarked on a project to incorporate the Maker Camp strategy into a more formal process that includes creating sessions using learning blocks which utilize a Project Based Learning (PBL) model at their core. This type of strategy could support the hands-on components of a Maker Camp combined with the instructional strategies of Active and Project Based Learning in a simplified planning tool. The design could then become a template moving forward. Our research explores what impact using such a strategy had on our Tech-E Camp hosted at The University of Texas at El Paso – Undergraduate Learning Center as well as the impact of the technology challenges as they pertained to the engineering field that made up the basic concept of the camp.

The results of our findings will hopefully provide future Maker Camp planners with a tool to help them design camps which connect expected learning outcomes toward an application to future degree programs. We looked at online components that allowed participants to log and share their progress while participating in camp and we examined how the technology exploration Tech-E camp scored in terms of participation, learning, and perception feedback.

Introduction
Creating engaging and meaningful content for a Maker Camp can be challenging. The task of organizing different hands-on activities while making sure they meet the learning objectives can be a very demanding one. In order to promote STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts and Math) through outreach and engagement within the K-12 community, we developed our first iteration of a Maker Camp called Tech-E. This being our first attempt at such an endeavor, we developed two camps of differing composition in order to obtain information based on a wide range of ages, knowledge levels and overall experiences for both campers and facilitators. This was also done to obtain information and results to be used in the development of future camps.

The first camp was composed of a mixed group (male and female) of 38 children representing K-8. The second camp was composed of 16 female high school students representing the 9-12 grade group. Each camp consisted of four-hour sessions for five days and there was a week in between each camp to allow for the preparation of materials, lesson plans, and venue. Along with research and student development, the main goal was to make a positive impact on student learning in STEAM through fun and engaging hands-on activities and challenges. Through these activities these younger students could perceive STEAM as something inspiring and fun and attainable; as the means to envision a career within the STEAM disciplines.

We identified the activities, challenges, and expected outcomes but we had to design a structure that could adapt and be flexible and scalable for both camps and for future Tech-E summer camps. After reviewing a wide variety of strategies and methodologies

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, we developed a
structural process to set up Maker with focus on STEAM camps using a PBL foundation but with a new approach. This approach consisted of successive, interconnected sessions that were task-oriented and became increasingly more difficult as the students learned and progressed each day within each session and activity. We called this approach Learning Blocks. Each day had a set of timed blocks (activities and challenges) starting with the most basic skills, tools and activities that each student could build upon to gain the necessary skills and knowledge needed to be able to move on to the next learning experience. Within each activity as well as through the connection from one block to the next, students learned successively through each day until the end of camp. Through this progression they were able to master most if not all of the challenges and learning outcomes.

In this paper we will look at some examples of sessions based on these learning blocks and we will examine if the camp met the expectations of the campers based on pre- and post-activities for particular learning blocks and the end of camp surveys. We will also look at their level of engagement during activities as well as how formative assessment was built into the camp through one of the self-reflection pieces that was part of the process.

Materials and Methods
The primary design strategies for our camp were based on the implementation of learning blocks, which were strongly focused on formative assessment strategies, Blooms Taxonomy, alongside Project Based Learning, Team Based Learning, and Deep Learning strategies. The two Tech-E summer camps discussed herein had a mixed group of K-12 grade levels. Camp One was composed of a total of 38 students, both male and female in grades K-8. Camp Two was composed of 16 female high school students.

An additional strategy incorporated in the design was the use of a "Challenge-It" session where campers would get to play with a set of included interactive pieces (little bits, iPad, Legos, computer parts, etc), and learn how to build something, work as a team to achieve a given objective, and ultimately accomplish the challenges identified for that block in order to move on. As students progress through the camp they became better acquainted with their peers and gained the knowledge and skills to be applied in future Challenge-It sessions. Learning Blocks were broken down into sections with specific expectations as shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1: Learning blocks used to guide camp activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENTS OF A LEARNING BLOCK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jobs/Career/Major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learn-It</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do-It</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenge-It</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflect and Think About It</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The learning blocks were divided into different categories, subjects and sections. Learn-It sections were 10-minutes in duration and consisted of brief explanations of the theory, introduction and purpose of the activity, and expectations with facilitators providing fun and engaging presentations using videos and live examples. The emphasis here was to provide a summary of the key terms, topics and strategies without elaborating in regards to specific solutions or challenges. This gave campers a basis for understanding the key concepts and knowledge needed to accomplish the identified tasks.

After the Learn-It session, campers were given different challenges to complete. The duration for these ran on average 40-50 minutes. Each challenge required campers to apply what they had just learned and demonstrate mastery of the concepts at their various skill levels as they progressed through camp. They were required to have challenge answers (which may include designed or constructed pieces) checked and approved by the facilitators. As the campers showed competency in their ability to complete a challenge and with time remaining in the session, they were given an opportunity to "unlock" or proceed to the next challenge. These new challenges were more difficult and required more in depth use of concepts.

This structure required campers to demonstrate mastery of core content areas before moving forward. An example of a learning block was the Electro Flux Session. See Figure 2. Campers
were exposed to a concept of electricity and electrons with a presentation which included video and graphical examples as well as an introduction on how to use their littleBits Kit (littleBits is an open source library of electronic modules that snap together for the purposes of prototyping, learning and entertainment.). Campers needed to understand how an electrical circuit works in order to accomplish the next challenge which would test to make sure they completed a working circuit. The campers were given the first challenge which was to build a robotic character (animatronic) that would move and talk. In order to be considered a “Young Explorer” the camper should complete 2 activities at this level. If the camper finished with these activities he would move to the following challenge to be consider a “Technology Designer”. This process would continue until they finished all the activities and achieved the highest ranking or the time of the session was over.

---

**Teaching Session**

**Outline**
- Intro to electricity and electrons: Electricity is movement of tiny particles; charge - Show power piece
- Video and graphics
- Move into switch and current example and analogy; exercise - Show switch piece
- What can electricity do for you -> circuits to do something; Speaker/LEDs/Motor Input/Output Sensors

**Young Explorer Challenges**

We are going to build a robotic character (animatronic) that moves and talks.

- **Activity 1**
  - Construct your character.

- **Activity 2**
  - Use little bits to animate your character to make the head move.

**Technology Designer Challenges**

- **Activity 1**
  - Have your character react when its detects a sound.

- **Activity 2**
  - Give your character a voice using the app on your iPad.

**Digital Apprentice Challenges**

- **Activity 1**
  - Remotely have your character move using the cloud bit and your iPad to trigger the movement.

- **Activity 2**
  - Remotely have your character move to look at someone else in your group.

---

WordPress was used as a tool to create a multisite repository to allow each camper to have an individual e-journal to post their reflection pieces for each session. The reflection pieces of the blocks allowed campers to assess their own performance and identify areas in which additional assistance or knowledge was needed. In addition to the reflection assessment, a pre- and post-assessment activity was completed by campers in several sections throughout the camp and we show an example in the results section. Campers were asked to use an e-portfollo to write a
reflection piece about their work for each of the learning blocks and and include how they could improve upon it. Moreover, they collaborated with other campers to come up with a collaborative assessment as to how their final product would solve a challenge/program in comparison to one another. Students had the opportunity to reflect, share and assess their understanding of the concepts in writing as well as with a self-recorded video or photo. Once campers finished all their activities for the day and completed their self-reflection pieces (checked and approved by the facilitators), a 3D-printed Merit Badge was presented for mastery of that day’s activities. By the end of the camp a total of five badges were awarded if campers completed all skills.

**Results**
The first set of campers (38 in total) completed a total of 218 reflection pieces, which accounted for 56.0% of all their activities. The second set of campers (16 in total) completed a total of 133 reflection pieces, which accounted for 86.3% of all their activities. This was expected as camper challenges increased in difficulty. It was not expected that the K-8 group would be able to complete all the possible challenges and the corresponding reflection pieces given that both groups were provided the same amount of time per learning block.

At the end of the week-long camps, students were asked their opinion regarding 13 areas of the camp activities. Survey results are shown in Figure 3.

**Student Feedback on Activities**

![Student Feedback on Activities](image-url)
Based on the campers' survey answers, the students were engaged in the camp activities and the overwhelming majority of campers responded positively that they enjoyed their learning experiences during camp. These results reflect exactly what happened in the K-8 Tech-E Camp. They too shared their enthusiasm for the process and learning experiences even though they were only able to complete 56% of the total content designed for the camp.

As an example of the content within a learning block, both camps completed a learning block in which campers learned how to count in binary, do a binary to decimal conversion and a decimal to binary conversion. Before starting with the binary building block students in both camps finished a pre-test to measure how much they knew about binary conversion. After the students completed the binary learning block they completed another activity to measure knowledge gained from the binary learning block and a post-test. Table 1 shows the average results from the graded pre- and post-activities.
Figure 4. Results from the Binary Learning Block test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binary Learning Block</th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th>Post-test</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camp 1 (6yr to 16 yrs)</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>71.16</td>
<td>57.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp 2 (14-17 grade)</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>51.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Showing the average of all test the campers for both camps on the binary learning block.

Discussion
The expansion of Maker Camps seems to be increasing in popularity and with that, there is a growing need to create engaging and meaningful content for the campers. Incorporating the Maker Camp strategy into a formal learning process by connecting the learning outcomes directly to real world disciplines has yielded a very positive experience for the campers. The development of a structured process to setup Maker camps using PBL foundations can impact student learning in a positive way as shown by the results of Tech-E camp. When approaching Project Based Learning in the design of the camp, the importance and involvement of Deep Learning seems to go hand-in-hand with the learning objectives we were designing, where its six competencies: Master core academic content, think critically and solve complex problems, work collaboratively, communicate effectively, learn how to learn and develop academic mindsets are vital to achieve high levels of achievement. Considering Deep Learning the “umbrella term for the skills and knowledge that students must possess to succeed in 21st century jobs and civic life” as a tenet every instructor and parent strives to instill in their children can be an understatement. Through the combined use of these strategies, children are able to learn (with facilitator supervision) amongst themselves in fun and creative ways through projects and interaction. “Initiative, conscientiousness and perseverance, all can be developed with the right teaching” and be used as stepping-stones towards a glimpse of what a future career can be. However, much more research is needed in order to be able to expand this process to the various area of engineering, each with its own complexities.
Conclusion
The elaboration of meaningful and engaging content for Maker Camps can be thought
provoking. The potential engagement and motivation to follow the STEAM fields that these
types of camps can provide is very exciting. However, camps need to have a clear and defined
learning process to map the learning outcomes to real world experiences.

We look forward to continue disseminating the STEAM content for our 2016 maker
The results from our use of Learning Blocks along with Project Based Learning, Team Base
Learning and Deep Learning has shown that students can be kept engaged in camp activities and
for the most part the learning experiences are enjoyable. Therefore, these strategies can be
reliable tools in the elaboration of content for Maker Camps that incorporate much engagement
and reap positive outcomes. The content of Tech-e Camp goes beyond the grade level standards
and helps campers use critical thinking skills to become creative problem solvers. There is an
emphasis from the education system to help children become effective thinkers.

At the same time, one of our successful objectives was to introduce STEAM content in a fun and
engaging way. K-8 campers were given a positive model of Math and Science with a glimpse of
real-world applications, experiences and career opportunities awaiting them as they dream and
become the leaders of tomorrow. As some professors stated in the PBS News Hour presented in
the “Teachers Embrace 'Deep Learning,' Teaching Practical Skills” piece in 2013:

“It’s not just what students know that will shape the course of their adult
lives. What matters as much as who they are, and how they see themselves,
and that starts at a fundamental level with how each child views their own
capacity to learn […] One of the possible pitfalls that people form the outside
might see in this learning is that it’s all hands-on, it’s all fun […] What they
need to know is that, really, fundamentally looking at what do kids need to
know. (Deeper learning) It’s meaningful, and kids can apply to these
situations, that’s…that’s something that’s [sic] fundamental.”

Future Work
In our 2016 camps we look forward to continuing the dissemination of STEAM content along
with continued research that includes the measuring of a successful camp. This second year of
study may unveil different results and trends. The research and continued refinement of camp
content will allow for development of camps tool sets that will provide lifelong learning and
critical thinking skills to new generation of engineers.
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