

Funding Incentive Seed Grant Program at the University of Utah



ASEE ERC Meeting – March 7, 2017



Program Overview

- Campus-wide program administered through Vice President for Research Office
- Intent is to support only **new** areas of research for a principal investigator.
 - Applicant must demonstrate proposed project represents a *new research direction in an area likely to generate extramural funding.*
- Funds cannot be used for
 - Research that overlaps with existing research or is already supported by other grants.
 - Gap funding to bridge support between grants.



Program Overview

- Two rounds of Seed Grant awards per year (applications due Feb. 15 and Aug. 20)
 - Awards are up to \$35,000 for one year only
 - 50-100 applications are received each round
 - Open to all disciplines across campus
 - Typically 18-20 awards are made per round
 - Often budget requests are reduced slightly to accommodate more awards



Eligibility

- Tenure track faculty with an appointment that is at least 0.75 FTE.
- Auxiliary faculty
 - Research faculty of at least 0.75 FTE with a minimum of a two-year residency at the University of Utah.
 - Clinical faculty with a combined appointment at the University of Utah and/or the VA Hospital of at least 0.75 FTE.
- Upon receipt of an award, PI is not eligible to apply again for three years.



Application Review

- Seed Grant Award Committee
 - 16 senior faculty - representation from across campus
 - Also (ex-officio) VP for Research and one Assoc. VP for Research
 - Committee Co-chairs – one from School of Medicine, one from Engineering/Science (Assoc. Dean for Research)
 - Evaluates all proposal scores (from reviewers) and determines award recipients
 - Provides some of the proposal reviews



Review Process

- Reviewers
 - Each proposal is reviewed by 4 faculty
 - Applicant submits 4 names as possible reviewers
 - No more than 2 from PI home department
 - 2 selected from other departments on campus
 - Reviewers provide scores based on published criteria
- Seed Grant Committee co-chairs vet/select reviewers
- Primary challenge is identifying sufficient qualified (willing) reviewers
 - Use Seed Grant Award Committee members to fill in gaps



Some Statistics

College	Yr 1 - Spring		Yr 1 - Fall		Yr 2 - Spring		Yr 2 - Fall		Yr 3 - Spring		Yr 3 - Fall		Yr 4 - Spring		Yr 4 - Fall	
	Award	Submit	Award	Submit	Award	Submit	Award	Submit	Award	Submit	Award	Submit	Award	Submit	Award	Submit
Architecture													0	2		
Business															1	1
Engineering	6	13	5	8	4	8	1	9	3	17	4	15	3	15	4	14
Health			0	2	0	2	1	1	2	2	0	3	1	3	1	4
Humanities	0	1							0	2	0	1	0	1	0	2
Medicine	7	31	12	29	8	27	7	27	9	31	11	31	8	42	9	34
Mines Earth Sci	2	2	0	2	0	1	2	3	0	1	1	3	1	4	2	5
Nursing	1	1									0	1	0	1		
Pharmacy	2	8	1	3	1	4	2	5	1	3	0	4	0	2	0	6
Science	5	9	2	6	5	10	4	7	4	6	2	8	3	16	2	10
Soc Behavior Sci	2	5	0	1	1	3	2	2	1	2			1	2	0	1
Soc Work													0	1	0	1
Education	0	4	0	1							0	1	1	1		
Fine Arts / Law	0	4									0	2				
Museum	0	2														
Totals	25	80	20	52	19	55	19	54	20	64	18	69	18	90	19	78
Funding %	31%		38%		35%		35%		31%		26%		20%		24%	
Avg Funding Amt	\$23K		\$28K		\$32K		\$31K		\$28K		\$28K		\$31K		\$29K	
<u>Faculty Rank of Awardees</u>																
Research Track	1		0		1		3		1		0		1		2	
Assist. Prof.	12		8		5		3		9		7		8		7	
Assoc. Prof.	3		3		7		6		5		6		2		5	
Prof.	9		9		6		7		5		5		7		5	



Summary Comments

- **Intramural Seed Grant Program**
 - Helps young faculty to launch new research areas
 - Helps mid-career & more senior faculty to re-start their research in new direction
- **Focus on concepts that can lead to new extramural funding**
 - Provides impetus for investment of university funds
- **Major challenges**
 - Review process – relying on the good will of a large number of university faculty
 - (Potentially) Identifying a source for seed grant funds



Additional Material



Specific Evaluation Criteria

- Proposals will be evaluated using the following criteria:
 - If the proposed research is completed, does the proposal have a high chance at leveraging extramural support?
 - Are the significance and merit of the research clearly documented?
 - Does the PI provide information necessary to determine if this is a new direction and that the research does not overlap with existing funded projects?
 - Have potential barriers or technical difficulties been identified?
 - Does the PI (and collaborators) have the experience necessary to carry out the project?
 - Are the goals, objectives and expected results stated clearly and are they reasonable, given the funds and time provided?
 - Are the methods outlined and do they reflect the goals and objectives stated in the proposal?
 - Have extramural opportunities been identified and are they reasonable?