# **GIFTS: Engaging First-Year Mechanical Engineering Students in Spreadsheets and Programming**

#### Dr. Allison L. Kinney, University of Dayton

Allison L. Kinney is an Associate Professor in the Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department at the University of Dayton. She received her BS in Biomedical Engineering from Tulane University in 2005 and her MS and PhD in Mechanical Engineering from The University of Texas at Austin in 2007 and 2010, respectively. Her interests include biomechanics of human movement, musculoskeletal modeling and simulation, and engineering education.

#### Dr. Vinayak Vijayan, University of Dayton

Vinayak Vijayan is a Lecturer in the Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department at the University of Dayton. He received his bachelor's in Mechanical Engineering from University of Dayton in 2015, his MS in Mechanical Engineering from University of Michigan in 2017, and his PhD in Mechanical Engineering from University of Dayton in 2022. His interests include biomechanics and engineering education.

#### Yucheng Li, University of Dayton

Yucheng Li is a Ph.D. candidate in the Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department at the University of Dayton. He received his B.S. in Material Forming and Control Engineering from Shenyang Aerospace University, Shenyang, China, in 2014 and M.S. in Mechanical Engineering from University of Dayton, Dayton, OH, USA, in 2017. His current research interests include modeling of continuum robots and shape-changing mechanisms.

#### Shanpu Fang, University of Dayton

Shanpu Fang is a PhD Candidate from the Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department at the University of Dayton. He received his BS in Mechanical Engineering and Automation from the Wuhan University of Science and Technology, in China, in 2016, and his MS in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Dayton in 2018. His reasearch interests include biomechanical analysis of human movement and musculoskeletal simulation.

## GIFTS: Engaging First-Year Mechanical Engineering Students in Spreadsheets and Programming

## Introduction

In a course focused on introducing first-year mechanical engineering students to spreadsheets and programming, there are many challenges to engaging students in the material. By nature, introductory programming courses are considered difficult by students [1] and student learning can depend on the strategies employed to support the learning process [2]. This paper focuses on the MEE 114L Introduction to Programming course at the University of Dayton and changes to the course structure designed to improve student engagement and learning.

## **Changes to Course Structure**

The Introduction to Programming course is a 1 credit hour course for mechanical engineers focused on building foundational skills in use of spreadsheets, plotting, data manipulation, and basic programming through two software tools: Microsoft Excel and MATLAB. The course is taught in a flipped classroom format with students learning new concepts outside of the classroom through an interactive online textbook [3] and class sessions devoted to time for students to work on problems in the online textbook and software-based projects with applications to mechanical engineering with assistance from peers and instructors.

In the initial implementation of this course, students spent most weeks in the semester working solely in the online textbook and completed software-based projects during 4 dedicated project weeks distributed throughout the semester. Both the instructors and students observed challenges with this structure that were related to limiting direct exposure to the software tools to 4 weeks during the semester. Students were not able to make connections between the textbook problems and the software and therefore, student engagement and understanding of the software was low.

In the Spring 2022 semester, changes were made to address these challenges by reorganizing the course structure to engage the students with the software tools each week of the semester through weekly software-based project activities and implementing weekly quizzes to assess learning. Through these changes, students were able to engage in the software weekly and improvements in student engagement with the software were observed by the instructors. Assessment of student performance on the quizzes was strong with an average of  $90.8 \pm 11.1$ . Future course offerings will continue to implement changes aimed at improved student engagement and learning.

## References

- [1] A. Ali and D. T Smith, "Teaching an introductory programming language in a general education course," *Journal of Information Technology Education: Innovations in Practice*, vol. 13, pp. 057–067, 2014.
- [2] O. Solarte Pabón and L. E. Machuca Villegas, "Fostering Motivation and Improving Student Performance in an introductory programming course: An Integrated Teaching Approach," *Revista EIA*, vol. 16, no. 31, pp. 65–76, 2019.
- [3] C. Gordon, R. Lysecky, and F. Vahid, "The shift from static college textbooks to customizable content: A case study at zyBooks," in 2021 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE), 2021, pp. 1–7.