# AC 2010-1618: GO GREEN - USING SUSTAINABILITY ENGINEERING IN A MIDDLE SCHOOL SUMMER PROGRAM

Roy McGrann, State University of New York, Binghamton Wayne Jones, State University of New York, Binghamton Susannah Gal, State University of New York, Binghamton Andy Cavagnetto, State University of New York, Binghamton Dan Brennan, Broome Community College - SUNY Thomas O'Brien, State University of New York, Binghamton

# Go Green – Using Sustainability Engineering in a Middle School Summer Program

### Abstract

The Go Green Institute is an interdisciplinary collaboration of engineers, scientists, and educators serving fourteen middle-school school districts in southern New York. The institute's aim is to increase students' understanding of science concepts and skills related to environmental sustainability and broaden students' perceptions of engineering, science, and math careers through a range of exciting activities that students do not experience in school. The resulting 10-day learning experience integrates (1) Biology/Life Science, (2) Chemistry/Physical Science, and (3) Math/Engineering by framing instruction and activities around climate change and sustainability issues. Students participated in advanced coursework in the form of interactive content sessions, fieldtrips and guest speakers, and experiments/team projects.

As part of the evaluation plan for the Go Green Institute, pre-experience and postexperience assessments were administered to the participating students to determine whether or not measurable change in knowledge and skills could be detected as a result of the institute. Items were selected from the state-wide assessments by using an item map which correlated each test question with a specific science standard and key idea (or ideas). A total of 38 postassessments were returned and analyzed.

The surveys also suggest that the institute influenced student perceptions of possible careers. Greater than 50% of participants indicated that they were likely or very likely to pursue an academic major in a science related field (53%) or a math/engineering related field (63%). Further, 65% identified new career possibilities since participating in the Go Green Institute.

Analysis of the pre and post assessment data indicates that a statistically significant difference in performance was detected. Specifically, the mean raw score increased over the institute timeframe and the standard deviation around the mean decreased. These data present the possibility that the students, in aggregate, demonstrated a slightly high level of mastery when measured against the same standards pre and post, and that the group as a whole came closer to sharing a common core of understanding of the content as illustrated in the fact that there were fewer low scores on the post than on the pre-assessment and that responses, in general depicted a tighter pattern around the mean score.

We also surveyed students about their future career considerations and perceptions of the institute. Surveys results revealed that the institute offered students a broad view of science and science careers. The institute did introduce concepts in active and exciting ways which students found engaging. Based on all of the data, we contend that the Go Green Institute achieved its initial goals of (1) increasing student understanding of science and math skills and concepts related to environmental sustainability issues, and (2) Increase the scope of science career opportunities that the participants were considering.

# Introduction

Today's knowledge-based economy calls for constant technological innovation<sup>1</sup> to address the challenges of energy, physical infrastructure, information and communication infrastructure, environment, health, and other as yet unidentified problems.<sup>2</sup> Promising solutions will likely evolve from cutting edge science and engineering in areas such as nano-materials, photovoltaics, low-weight/high-capacity batteries, materials recycling, and flexible electronics. However, such next generation technologies will emerge *only if* society inspires a broader, diverse group of students to become scientists and engineers to research and develop improved products and processes. In addition, we need to prepare a technologically proficient workforce and educate a scientifically literate citizenry who make intelligent personal and public policy decisions (STEM Education Coalition<sup>3</sup>). The U.S. educational system is not keeping up with demand for this broad base of intellectual capital in the face of international advances in science education and competition in a global marketplace. National reports cite the continuing challenge of recruiting and retaining students who are prepared to pursue STEM degrees in higher education. For example, a recent study showed that 85% of students aged 8-17 were not interested in engineering careers.<sup>4</sup>

The 2007 TIMSS results reveal several disturbing middle school level trends: (1) U.S. fourth graders score statistically lower than only four countries, while our eighth graders rank statistically below nine countries, (2) U.S. gender differences are not significant at the fourth grade level, but males outperform females at the eighth grade, and (3) scores at both grade levels track ethnicity.<sup>5</sup> An additional challenge that emerges between these grade levels is a shift in students' perceptions of and attitudes about "science (as fun) for all" to a sense of "science for the few" who are "talented and gifted" in a narrowly and prematurely defined way. Clearly, a hole or pinch-point in the STEM pipeline exists at the middle school level.

The Go Green Institute is intended to engage students at this middle school level pinchpoint. The institute was offered in the summers of 2008 and 2009. It will be offered again during the summer of 2010. In this paper, the organization of the institute is described. Samples of student projects are presented. In conclusion, the results of evaluations of the program are presented.

# **Go Green Institute Organization**

Up to sixty students per year are involved in exciting activities related to environmental sustainability that students do not typically experience in the school setting. Students are identified for the program by the local school districts based on student performance in math and science examinations. The institute staff consists of university faculty, two-year college instructors, middle and secondary school math and science teachers, and graduate students in education and in science and engineering. The staff has averaged eighteen members over the two years it has been offered.

The 10-day program is designed to give high performing students (who have just completed the 7<sup>th</sup> grade) a challenging experience that will increase their interest and ability in three core content areas: (1) Biology/Life Science, (2) Chemistry/Physical Science, and (3) Math/Engineering. Interactive content sessions, fieldtrips, and presentations by guest speakers

are included during the institute. The core content areas and the presentations focus on environmental sustainability. The capstone experience of the institute is a team research project on a topic in sustainability. Students conduct a research project, collaborating in small groups on experiments/team projects are included in the program. They create a poster presentation that is given on the final day of the institute and organized as a professional conference presentation. Table 1 illustrates the general schedule for the 10-day institute.

Day 1	Days 2-9	Day 10		
Welcome/overview	Session I	Project Work		
Session I	Session II	Evaluation		
Lunch	Lunch	Luncheon & Keynote Address		
Session II	Field Trips/Speakers	Poster Session		
Field Trips/ Speakers	Project Work	Awards Reception		

Table 1. Schedule for the Two-week Go Green Institute

Experts in each of the three core content areas facilitate the two morning sessions (I and II in Table 1) and deliver instruction as described below for each track. To maintain small groupings of students (in an effort to maximize student opportunity and learning) each content area is delivered for three days to a sub-group of 15-20 students and then rotated to the next sub-group of 15-20 students. Each sub-group of students experiences all three core content areas. Table 2 summarizes the modules for the content areas.

Module	Biology	Chemistry	Math/Engr.	
1	Pesticides	Micrograph length scales	Scales and measurements	
2	Plant pigments	Scanning electron microscope	Mathematical models	
3	Effect of salt on organisms	Energy	Statistics	
4	How genetic modifications made	Energy storage	Measuring material properties	
5	Enzymes	Quantitative analysis	Electricity and electronics	
6	Temperature effects on organisms	Polymers	Alternative energy sources	

Table 2. Summary of Core Content Area Modules

One daily afternoon session is used for fieldtrips and guest speakers related to the content area instruction. Examples of fieldtrips from the pilot program include: a solar installation company, Broome County landfill, BU Nature Preserve and Recycling Centers, BAE Systems, and a New York State Energy Research and Development Authority "Energy Bike."

Sessions on days 2-9 and on the morning of day 10 are devoted to student team project work. The team projects serve as the capstone research experience of the Go Green Institute. Working in groups of four, students explore student-selected projects focused around the issue of environmental sustainability. Each group has a Go Green Institute staff mentor.

Projects selected by students during the summer 2008 pilot program included: How much of your favorite technology item (cell phone, computer, iPod, etc.) can be made from recycled products, or can be recycled itself? What is the most energy-efficient way to light your bedroom? "Paper vs. plastic," does it really make a difference? What is the environmental impact of your family's consumption for a week? Students will be "steered" to projects that integrate core theories from the state standards in interdisciplinary, real-world problem solving contexts that relate to STEM careers.

On the final day of the Go Green Institute, students display and present their work in the form of posters. This public presentation illustrates the findings of their team projects and gives the students a chance to further develop their communication skills. Community members and leaders, parents, school administrators, local teachers and the press are invited to this event.

#### **Student Projects**

The research projects are a new and exciting experience that Go Green provides students. Even though certain general questions such as those mentioned above are used to begin the projects, students are challenged to develop their own scientific hypothesis and describe an experimental approach to justify their position.

Students work on these group projects during the Project Work sessions of each day. A Go Green mentor (a faculty member, teacher, or graduate student) works with each group as a resource during this time. In addition, students conduct research each day after they leave the institute. An on-line file transfer and communication system is set-up for each project team. This is done using Blackboard<sup>TM</sup> course management software.

For their projects, every team conducts literature searches on-line. Some teams devise experiments, build an apparatus, and collect data. Other teams conduct surveys – for example, one group sent home a survey with e very student Go Green to determine household usage of recyclables.

Each group then creates a poster that is printed for them the night before the final poster session on the last day. A sample poster is shown in Figure 1. At the poster session, students explain their research to the invited guests.

#### BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

## **Energy Transfer in Home Heating Materials**

Go Green & Big Ideas in Science Institutes 2008

								2008
Introduction			Data			R	esults	
Introduction In recent years, there has been a strong drive towards	Temperature change of H <sub>2</sub> O in different pipes			$2C_{6}H_{14(l)}$ + $19O_{2(g)} \rightarrow 12CO_{2(g)}$ + $14H_{2}O_{(g)}$		<sub>2(0)</sub> +		
development of clean	Pvc	pipe	- p.p.s	-		q <sub>rxn</sub> = -7727	7 4k.l	
renewable energy sources for	Tim		Tompo	ratura	in Celcius	Arxn 1121		
household consumption. There	0-2		Tempe	77	in celclus			
are many ways to heat a home	1-2			73		C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH + 3O <sub>2</sub>	$\rightarrow 200. + 3$	H-O
but not all of them are	2-2			71				120
environmentally friendly. On	3-2			70		q <sub>rxn</sub> = -1240	.33kJ	Conclusions
one hand, home heating	4.2			69				
through electric power is costly,	5-2			67				Hexanes produced more heat
but it does not pollute the air.	6-3			66		Heat Transfer	Efficiency	than ethanol per amount of
Also, the kind of insulation you	7 2			65		EtOH (1) 2.7%	(2) 5 3%	fuel. The simulated furnace
use in your home could make a	7-3	101		05		EIOH (1) 2.7%	(2) 5.5%	setup demonstrates poor heat
big difference in your heating						C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>14</sub> (1) 3.2%	5 (2) 5.9%	transfer efficiency. The pipes
bill. The main ideas considered						0 14 ( )		showed almost no difference
are aimed at fuel sources,								in heat transfer to
piping, and insulation.								surroundings, but are different
11 3			-	H	VC and copper			prices for their respective use.
					ipes used in			prices for their respective use.
					xperiment 2			
				1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Procedure								
Flocedule	Copper p Time		erature in (	`elcius	Discu	ussion		Acknowledgements
For our first experiment, we	0-3:29	remp	71	seletus				-
decided to test the properties of	1-3:30 2-3:31		68 66		In the pipe e			The Green Monsters would
different fuel sources. 174mL of	3-3:32		64			some mistakes		like to thank the BU Go
H <sub>2</sub> O were added to a 12oz	4-3:33 5-3:34		62 60		in the desigr			Green program, Dr. Jones,
aluminum can. 1.98g and 4.04g	6-3:35		59			was put in the		the parents and schools,
of EtOH were allowed to burn	7-3:36		58			PVC, we were liter in. in the		and Tony for help with this
with heat transfer through the						were only able		project.
can to water and temperature						) ml. Another		
monitored. Likewise, 4.11g and 3.5g of hexanes were also used					thing to disc			
in a similar manner to					renewable fu			
determine the heat transfer			er to H <sub>2</sub> 0		such as sola			
efficiency from each source.			d C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>14</sub>		geothermal.	These fuels are	e 62 3 2	References
		combi	istion		very environ		Constanting of the local division of the loc	
,					friendly but			(1) http://www.choice.com.an/
For our second experiment,						are expensive.	Ethanol and	
For our second experiment, 242mL of $H_2O$ at 71°C in ½" Cu			т <sub>і</sub> (°С)	т <sub>f</sub> (°С)	We did not g	get to cover	Hexanes	viewArticleAsOnePage.asp
For our second experiment, 242mL of H <sub>2</sub> O at 71°C in ½" Cu pipe was allowed to cool with	Ethanol	Trial 1	21	23	We did not g			viewArticleAsOnePage.asp x/id=100340 16 Jul 2008
For our second experiment, 242mL of H <sub>2</sub> O at 71°C in ½" Cu pipe was allowed to cool with temperature monitored with	Ethanol	Trial 1 Trial 2	21 23.5	23 31.5	We did not g	get to cover	Hexanes	viewArticleAsOnePage.asp x/id=100340 16 Jul 2008 (2) http://www.stltoday.com/
For our second experiment, 242mL of $H_2O$ at 71°C in $1/2$ " Cu pipe was allowed to cool with temperature monitored with time. Similarly, 1L of $H_2O$ at		Trial 1 Trial 2 Trial 1	21 23.5 30	23 31.5 38	We did not g	get to cover	Hexanes	viewArticleAsOnePage.asp x/id=100340 16 Jul 2008
For our second experiment, 242mL of $H_2O$ at 71°C in ½" Cu pipe was allowed to cool with temperature monitored with time. Similarly, 1L of $H_2O$ at 77°C was allowed to cool with	Ethanol	Trial 1 Trial 2	21 23.5	23 31.5	We did not g	get to cover	Hexanes	viewArticleAsOnePare asp xid=100340 16 Jul 2008 (2) http://www.sitioday.com/ atloday.realestate/ columnistsnsf/handyho 9 Jul 2008
For our second experiment, 242mL of H <sub>2</sub> O at 71°C in ½" Cu pipe was allowed to cool with temperature monitored with time. Similarly, 1L of H <sub>2</sub> O at 77°C was allowed to cool with temperature monitored with	Ethanol	Trial 1 Trial 2 Trial 1	21 23.5 30	23 31.5 38	We did not g	get to cover	Hexanes	viewArticleAsOnePage asp x/id=100340 16 Jul 20008 (2) http://www.stitoday.com/ atl.ioday/realestate/ columnistsnsf/handyho 9 Jul 2008 (3) http://hubpages.com/hub/
For our second experiment, 242mL of $H_2O$ at 71°C in ½" Cu pipe was allowed to cool with temperature monitored with time. Similarly, 1L of $H_2O$ at 77°C was allowed to cool with	Ethanol	Trial 1 Trial 2 Trial 1	21 23.5 30	23 31.5 38	We did not g	get to cover	Hexanes	viewArticleAsOnePage asp x/id=100340 16 Jul 2008 (2) http://www.stltoday.com/ attlioday/realestate/ columnistsnsf/handyho 9 Jul 2008 (3) http://hubpages.com/hub/ Best_windows_and_doors 9
For our second experiment, 242mL of H <sub>2</sub> O at 71°C in ½" Cu pipe was allowed to cool with temperature monitored with time. Similarly, 1L of H <sub>2</sub> O at 77°C was allowed to cool with temperature monitored with	Ethanol	Trial 1 Trial 2 Trial 1	21 23.5 30	23 31.5 38	We did not g	get to cover	Hexanes	viewArticleAsOnePage asp x/id=100340 16 Jul 20008 (2) http://www.stitoday.com/ atl.ioday/realestate/ columnistsnsf/handyho 9 Jul 2008 (3) http://hubpages.com/hub/

Figure 1. Student Work Presented at Go Green Poster Session

# **Evaluations of the Program**

Student achievement is show by the posters student groups generated and presented during the institute. These posters show:

*Connections*: The knowledge and skills of mathematics, science, and technology are used together to make informed decisions and solve problems, especially those relating to issues of science/technology/society, consumer decision making, design, and inquiry into phenomena.

*Strategies*: Solving interdisciplinary problems involves a variety of skills and strategies, including effective work habits; gathering and processing information; generating and analyzing ideas; realizing ideas; making connections among the common themes of mathematics, science, and technology; and presenting results.

Students were able to work together effectively to generate claims based on evidence regarding current real-world problems.

We also surveyed students about their future career considerations and perceptions of the institute. Surveys results revealed that the institute offered students a broad view of science and science careers. According to one participant, "Throughout this entire Go Green Institute, the main thing I enjoyed the most were the classes of Life Science, Physical Science, and Math and Engineering. This is because, these classes taught me a wide variety of new things and concepts and I feel like I was taught in preparation for high school and college". Similarly, a second student explained, "I liked the course activities because you learned things that I don't learn in school". The institute did introduce concepts in active and exciting ways which students found engaging. One student contended, "I liked that I was pushed to learn and understand the curriculum, rather than memorizing facts to take tests". Another student summarized the previous ideas when stating, "The thing I liked best about the Go Green Institute is all of the hands on learning and experiments, because it gives you a different way of learning than you get at school, and I have learned so much just in this 2 weeks I've been here".

The surveys also suggest that the institute influenced student perceptions of possible careers. Greater than 50% of participants indicated that they were likely or very likely to pursue an academic major in a science related field (53%) or a math/engineering related field (63%). Further, 65% identified new career possibilities since participating in the Go Green Institute. Additionally, students indicated that the institute refined their initial ideas. As one student explained, "even though I have already set my main careers as I become an adult, there were a couple careers that I was not very positive about. However, because of this 'Go Green Institute', I have become sure of my career possibilities."

Based on all of the data, we contend that the Go Green Institute achieved its initial goals of (1) increasing student understanding of science and math skills and concepts related to environmental sustainability issues, and (2) Increase the scope of science career opportunities that the participants were considering.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the sponsors and partners of the Go Green Institute: Broome-Tioga BOCES, S<sup>3</sup>IP, Binghamton University, CSS Workforce New York

#### **Bibliography**

<sup>2</sup> The National Academy of Engineering, *The Engineer of 2020* (The National Academies Press, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The National Academies, *Rising Above the Gathering Storm* (The National Academies Press, 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> http://www.stemedcoalition.org/content/objectives/Default.aspx, accessed 20 February 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> American Society of Quality, reported by Industry Week, January 29, 2009, in ASEE First Bell. On-line http://www.industryweek.com/articles/engineering\_low\_on\_students\_radar\_18352.aspx, accessed 12 February 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Martin, M.O., Mullis, I.V.S., and Foy, P. (2008). *TIMSS 2007 International Science Report: Findings from IEA's Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study at the Fourth and Eighth Grades*. Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College.