

Impact of a Step Based Tutoring System on Student Learning at The University of Texas at El Paso

Ana Chavez (University of Texas at El Paso)

Ana Chavez is a Mexican PhD student in Electrical and Computer engineering in the University of Texas at El Paso. From 2013 to 2014 she had the opportunity to contribute on research focused on signal processing in two of the most recognized research institutions in Mexico. Her most remarkable experience in industry was the creation of a programmed tool to optimize the equipment reconciliation time between two medical industries. Because of her experience in research and desire to contribute with knowledge, she started in this project on Fall 2019 to evaluate the Circuit Tutor platform to help students in Circuits analysis.

Hector Erives (Associate Professor of Practice) (University of Texas at El Paso)

Dr. Hector Erives is an Associate Professor of Practice in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department at the University of Texas at El Paso since 2018. Prior to joining UTEP he worked in the industry for over ten years where he held various positions. He holds a Ph.D. in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the New Mexico State University. His research interests are in engineering education, remote sensing, and intelligent control systems.

Miguel Velez-reyes (Professor and Chair) (University of Texas at El Paso)

Dr. Miguel Velez-Reyes is the George W. Edwards Distinguished Professor in Engineering and Chair of the ECE Department at University of Texas at El Paso. He is a first generation in college student who received his BSEE degree from the University of Puerto Rico at Mayaguez (UPRM) in 1985, and his SMEE, and PhD from MIT in 1988 and 1992 respectively. He was a faculty member of the UPRM ECE Department from 1992 to 2012. He is the UTEP Campus Coordinator for the NOAA Center for Earth Systems Science and Remote Sensing Technology. He was the Founding Director of the UPRM Institute for Research in Integrative Systems and Engineering, and Associate Director of the NSF CenSSIS ERC. His research interests are in integrating physical models with data driven approaches for information extraction using remote sensing. He has over 160 publications. He is Fellow of SPIE and the Academy of Arts and Sciences of Puerto Rico. Received the Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers award from the US President in 1997. He chairs the SPIE Conference on Algorithms, Technologies and Applications for Multispectral, and Hyperspectral Imaging. He is board member of the Inclusive Engineering Consortium (IEC).

Brian J Skromme (Professor) (Arizona State University)

Brian Skromme is a Professor in the School of Electrical, Computer, and Energy Engineering at Arizona State University, and served as Assistant Dean of the Fulton Schools of Engineering for seven years.

Impact of a Step-Based Tutoring System on Student Learning at The University of Texas at El Paso

Abstract

In this paper we describe the historical background of the introductory course in Electric Circuits I, how it has been taught, and the different modifications this course has undergone for the past few years. We describe preliminary results of a new step-based method on student learning which has been applied at the University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP) to improve students' understanding of the topics covered in this course, and describe the step-based tutoring System, dubbed Circuit Tutor, developed by researchers at the UTEP. The results indicate Circuit Tutor platform can be used as a self-learning tool according to survey answers from students and the increasing passing rate in the Circuits I course.

1. Introduction

One of the most widely taught courses to undergraduate students in electrical engineering, and students to other engineering disciplines (also called "electrical circuits for non-believers" by some instructors) is the first course of electrical circuit analysis (or second course on electric circuits if the students has taken an introductory course on electrical engineering). This course has been taught traditionally in two sections of approximately 35 students every semester, and usually by two different instructors. This course is also offered during the summer in one section with similar student enrollment as the regular semester. The statistics presented here are only from the regular semester, as the summer enrollment usually has more variability due to both; the number of students and students who have taken the course before during previous regular semesters, therefore, in general these students have previous knowledge of circuits analysis techniques.

Different tools have been used to improve the passing rate in the Circuits course; for example, Mastering Engineering (ME). ME is a web-based tool which is a companion tool that accompanies a popular book on circuit analysis [1] and it provides problems on topics strictly related to this textbook. This tool is used to promote and enhance student learning in an any-time, any-where setting allowing the student to work and even collaborate with other students inside and outside the classroom. They also help reduce faculty lead time in important tasks that matter to students like immediate feedback on homework assignments.

In ME, most problems are multiple choice-type problems, and the student must choose the right answer after some number of trials (this the default setting) before losing all the points on a specific problem. The advantage of using this web-based tool is that the homework is graded automatically and gives immediate feedback to the student, as opposed to taking one or two weeks for the homework to be graded and handed back to the students. A step-based interactive learning and automated grading system, coined Circuit Tutor, was developed to promote effective learning on

main topics of electric circuit analysis [2] and has been in use since Fall 2012. This tool started being used at the University of Texas at El Paso in Fall 2018.

The system is based on a program that generates electric circuit problems with random topologies, and detailed solutions. A GUI has been implemented to allow the student to easily input array elements in the online system of equations and the user is allowed to place the reference (ground) wherever desired. A difference between both tools is the color coding and labeling of branches and node voltages (in the Node-Voltage games) to allow an easy identification of all the branches connects to essential nodes. Unlike Circuit Tutor, ME color-codes the unknown parameters the student is required to solve for, but it does not use any other color scheme to highlight, for example nodes, meshes, or equations. Fig. 1 presents an example on how the node colors change depending on the KCL equation in Circuit Tutor.




Fig. 1. Random example solved of "DC Node Equations", first KCL equation colored corresponding to the V_1 Node. Once all the equations are presented, each component of it will be colored as the example.

Another feature is that Circuit Tutor offers examples and games for various levels of difficulty (Easy, Medium, Hard and Mastery) of each tutorial. This is also an advantage because using these levels, the user can practice and improve in circuit analysis. Figure 1 is an example of an “Easy” example of a DC Node Equation tutorial. One of the most remarkable features in Circuit Tutor is the YouTube channel. If the user has questions related to a topic, the “Video Help Channel” button would open a new YouTube window, where the available material addresses an explanation on the topic and how to use the Circuit Tutor tool to solve an example problem. Fig. 2 presents the YouTube help videos once the “Video Help Channel” button has been clicked.




Fig. 2. Video Help Channel of Circuit Tutor. DC Node Solutions tutorial

In comparison to ME, Circuit Tutor is free to students, and it is available to instructors to use in their courses. Access is granted by contacting Dr. Brian Skromme at his Arizona State University e-mail. To UTEP standards, the enrollment in the course is slightly larger than other courses offered by the department, so to be able to give the students fast feedback in their progress and their scores as soon as possible on the assignments, there is a need for the use of automated grading tools like Circuit Tutor.

2. Contents of the Course

Traditionally, the course is taught by different instructors every semester, except on a few occasions in the last six years both sections have been taught by the same instructor. The topics covered include DC and AC circuit analysis (but not Bode, transfer functions, nor Laplace transformations topics, which are covered in the Electric Circuits II course) from the textbooks most often used in the past five years, the one by J. W. Nilsson and S. A. Riedel [1]. Another textbook used less often is the one by Ulaby and Maharbiz [2]. The later book includes examples on the use of modern SPICE packages like Multisim, which is a GUI tool that makes both circuit design and analysis

more intuitive for the student. In general, the topics covered by the different instructors include a) Overview of Circuit Analysis and Terminology b) Simple Resistive Circuits c) Kirchoff’s Voltage Law (KVL) and Kirchoff’s Current Law (KCL) d) Thévenin/Norton Equivalents e) Operational Amplifier Circuits f) Inductor and Capacitors Circuits g) Sinusoidal Analysis and Impedance Concepts h) Phasor Analysis and Power of AC circuits. Most instructors divide the topics into two main groups; DC circuit analysis and AC circuit analysis, as the analysis methods covered in DC analysis are typically the same methods covered in AC analysis. Also, the tools available to the students at the Laboratories, or available for the students to download and install into their own computers, are Multisim and MATLAB, and on some occasion projects (“Mini Labs”) are assigned where the students need to build circuits and take measurements using the Analog Discovery instrument form Diligent, to measure the natural response of RC circuits, for example.

The electric circuits course has been deemed one of the courses that cause a high attrition rate at many universities and therefore it is one of the courses that receives the most attention for improving student passing rate [3-5]. At UTEP the passing rate for this course is low compared to other courses offered by the department. The passing rates per semester are shown in Fig. 3. There is a need for a methodology to improve passing rate and student understanding of the topics, and therefore improvement of passing rates. The statistics department has implemented measures to improve the passing rates which include increasing the availability of the instructor and teaching assistants.




Fig. 3. Average percentage of passing rates of the two sections offered during regular semesters over the most recent seven years. The 2nd order trendline shows how the passing rate fluctuates ($R^2=0.609$).

We have mentioned that the Circuits Tutor tool has been used extensively since 2018. The chart presents the semesters of Fall 2018 to Spring 2021, where the passing rate exceeded the 75% of average pass, which represents a good result in comparison with past years. The course was taught online from March 2020 (2nd part of Spring Semester) to Spring 2021 because of the pandemic. On the semester of Spring of 2021, this rate decreased to 74%. A theory for this decrease could be because the semester was completely online because of the COVID19, the students were not used to online classes for courses like Circuits I, where most of the students on that semester were Sophomore and Junior levels. In comparison to Fall 2020, most of the students (60% of the class) were Junior and Senior levels, which we can conclude they were used to working in online environments.

3. Web-based Assignments

Traditionally, instructors for this course have used the Mastering Engineering tool by Pearson to assign homework when the book by Nilsson and Riedel, which is a popular tool for assigning online homework [6,7]. Some instructors have also used the textbook by zyBooks, Circuits. A new web-based tool which we started using in 2018 is the Circuit Tutor [8]. This is a CAD tutoring system being developed with the objective to complement (and replace) the conventional assignment system. The software provides immediate feedback to the student at every step in the process of solving a problem. It can generate new problems, should the student decide to give up (which is one of the options available to the student) on the current problem. This gives the student the opportunity of reviewing similar problems on the same topic by a mere click of a button. The software accepts different correct solutions from the user, and it is not constrained to a fixed format [9,10]. A major feature that differentiates this software from other ones is that the student is allowed to “compose” the solution equation directly on the computer screen and receive immediate feedback about the correctness of the student’s solution. The methodology followed to generate is based on a three-step algorithm described in [11].

The detailed outline of the topics covered in the course (since Fall 2018) are described in the first column of Table 1. The topics covered by Circuit Tutor are described in the second column (as of Spring 2020). Most of the topics included in this course are included in Circuit Tutor, and therefore it is well suited for assigning homework on most of the topics (other topics are assigned on Mastering Engineering, which is the companion software to the textbook).

A couple of key features of the Circuit Tutor on new releases (since Spring 2019) is that there is available numerous (more than 70 videos) available on YouTube, which students are recommended to review, to get acquainted with the tool before starting to solve homework [12]. Another important feature of a recent version of the software is that the package saves the history of correct and incorrect steps the student takes to solve the problem and is available to the student for reviewing.

Table 1. General topics covered in Electric Circuits I in Chronological Order (left column), and List of Circuit Tutor Topics as They Appear on The Web Interface (right column)

Topics	Circuit Tutor
<p><u>DC Circuit Analysis</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Independent/Dependent Sources 2. Kirchoff's Current and Voltage Laws 3. Resistors in Series and Parallel 4. Voltage and Current Division 5. Delta-to-Wye Equivalent Circuits 6. Node-Voltage and Mesh-Current Methods 7. Source Transformations 8. Thévenin and Norton Equivalents 9. Maximum Power Transfer 10. Superposition 11. Operational Amplifier Circuits 12. Inductance and Capacitance <p><u>AC Circuit Analysis</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 13. Impedance and Reactance 14. Kirchoff's Laws 15. Series, Parallel, Delta-to-Wye Simplifications 16. Source Transformations and Thévenin-Norton 17. Node-Voltage and Mesh-Current Methods 18. The Transformers 19. Instantaneous Power 20. Power Factor 21. The RMS Value and Power Calculations 22. Complex, Average, and Reactive Power 23. Maximum Power Transfer 	<p><u>DC Circuit Analysis</u></p> <p>Series/Parallel DC Single Node-Pair/Single Loop Series/Parallel with Terminals Resistor Simplification DC Node Equations DC Node Solutions DC Mesh Equations DC Mesh Solutions DC Superposition DC Source Transformations DC Thévenin/Norton Equivalents Circuits L/C Simplifications</p> <p><u>AC Circuit Analysis</u></p> <p>Impedance Simplification AC Node Equations AC Node Solutions AC Mesh Equations AC Mesh Solutions AC Analysis</p> <p>Bode Plots* Laplace Transforms* Inverse Laplace Transforms*</p>

* Topics to be covered in Electric Circuits II.

4. Student Usage and Evaluations

Prior to Spring 2019 the different instructors for this course used exclusively the Mastering Engineering tool to assign homework. Circuit tutor has been extensively used in UTEP since 2018. To assess student satisfaction with this software, brief surveys are administered by an independent evaluator at the end of every semester. Notice that this survey is not a requirement for the students, so each year from 2019, the number of participating population changes. Table II describes comments from the student satisfaction surveys on two different semesters (and therefore two different versions of Circuit Tutor).

Changes in the software produce positive comments from the students for the two consecutive semesters (Fall 2020 and Spring 2021). For example, increasing the number of YouTube videos features allows students to get a better understanding of how to use the software. Also, students like a new feature which allows them to backtrack the solutions and provide alternative solutions as well.

Table 2. Sample of Verbatim Students Comments on the Software

Semester	Comments
Fall 2019	Process Support Learning <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>It helped me perfect my skills in circuit simplifications</i> • <i>(The) practice problems seriously helped my understanding of concepts</i> • <i>I like that it helped (me) understand the concepts that are described in the book</i> • <i>This system was extremely helpful (and helped me) understand the basics of circuits and helped me improve throughout the class</i>
	Videos <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>What I liked best was that it had video explanations for every problem and there you could give up and the way it should be done</i> • <i>I like the help videos because they are easy to follow and understand</i> • <i>The helpful videos linked to the problem</i>
Spring 2020	Process Support Learning <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>I liked the fact that you must work on each part of the problem, so it is good for us to learn. I also really like the solved problems because they serve as a useful guide</i> • <i>I liked that CT (Circuit Tutor) explained all the necessary steps involved to arise to the solution and that it explained step-by-step, in detail.</i> • <i>I liked the feedback given as to how I might have done the problem incorrectly or tips to remember provided in the reading material</i> • <i>I enjoyed how the software takes you step by step! It is extremely useful when trying to learn the topics</i>

In Fig. 4, a satisfaction survey is presented. On this study, 13 over 38 students participated on Fall 2019 (33%), 16 over 30 students on Spring 2020 (53%) and 14 of 28 students on Fall 2020 (50%). In semester Spring 2021, this survey was also implemented, where the entire population (31 over 33 students) participated, representing 94% of participation.

The chart presents the results where the students responded agree to the corresponding affirmation. Notice on Spring 2020, more than 50% of the students that participated in the survey agreed on each of the affirmations. One of the main purposes of this tool is to help students to develop skills on circuits analysis improving the current methods as we had mentioned before. Fig. 5 describes the general opinion of the students from Spring 2021 about the methods used in CT in contrast to the conventional approaches.




Fig. 4. Responses to survey on student satisfaction for Fall 2019 to Spring 2021. Percentage values correspond to students who responded “agree” or “strongly agree” on the survey.





Figure 5. Innovative approach vs. conventional approach. Close to 80% of this population considers that this tool is better than the conventional methods, while the remaining population concluded that it is the same as the conventional approach.

It can be observed that almost 80% of the surveyed think this innovative approach is better than the conventional. To achieve the goal of this tool’s improvement, considering the user’s opinion, which means implementing different surveys to understand what the student thinks in comparison to other methods is an important detail to consider. Showing worked examples before start playing, coloring nodes and mesh currents to distinguish each part of the equation and have various levels of difficulty are some features the platform have, which have been discussed before on this paper. Fig. 6 presents the students satisfaction survey from the Spring 2021 semester.

(a)



(b)




Fig. 6. Students' satisfaction survey: features of Circuit Tutor. Percentage values correspond to students who responded "agree" or "strongly agree" on the survey.

According to 31 of 33 students, one of the most helpful features is to show worked examples before starting to solve problems, while the sound effects in problems resolution do not represent a useful feature of the platform. As a conclusion of the student responses, most of the features in Circuit Tutor are useful to learn or to motivate to learn the material from Circuits course.

5. Conclusions

A step-based tutorial software has been incorporated into the Electric Circuits I course at the UTEP with positive passing rate results. The Circuit Tutor software includes all the topics required for students to practice problems in different difficulties from most of the topics covered in our intro course on Electric Circuits. Preliminary survey responses from two consecutive years show that the software helps students to better understand the methods used for analysis of DC and AC circuits. Because their responses have been favorable as seen before, this shows that Circuit Tutor could be used as supporting tool for teaching and a student self-learning circuit analysis platform. The passing rate trend indicates this could continue improving over the next semesters. However, consider developing teaching strategies for events such as the COVID19 pandemic is needed to keep obtaining acceptable passing rates. Some topics covered in the course are still not part of Circuit Tutor and some, like Bode and Laplace are in place, but these are part of the Electric Circuits II course.

6. Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through the Transforming Undergraduate Education in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics Program under Grant Nos. 1821628, 1044497, and 1323773.

References

- [1] J. W. Nilsson and S. A. Riedel, *Electric Circuits*, Pearson Education Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 2005.
- [2] F. T. Ulaby, M. M. Maharbiz, *Circuits*, 2nd Edition, National Technology & Science Press (NTS Press), 2nd ed., 2015.
- [3] P. Wong, M. Holtzman, B. Pejcinovic, M. Chrzanowska-Jeske, "Redesign of Freshman Electrical Engineering Courses for Improved Motivation and Early Introduction of Design," *2011 Annual Conference & Exposition*, Vancouver, BC, June 2011.
- [4] W. Chang, R. S. Shieh, "A Study of the Conceptual Comprehension of Electric Circuits that Engineer Freshmen Display," *European Journal of Physics*, Vol 34, May 2018.
- [5] M. H. Bhuyan, S. S. A. Khan, "Motivating Students in Electrical Circuit Course," *International Journal of Learning and Teaching*, Vol. 10, Issue 2, 2018, pg. 137-147.
- [6] Z. Mouffak, "Using the Mastering Engineering Homework Online Tool in the Circuits Course: Advantages and Shortcomings," *2015 ASEE Pacific Southwest Conference*, American Society for Engineering Education, San Diego, CA, April 2015.
- [7] H. G. Cooke, and Md. A. A. Faruque, "Impact of Mastering Engineering on Student Learning and Perceptions in a Strength of Materials Course," *2017 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition at Approaches to Virtual Learning*, American Society for Engineering Education, Columbus, OH, June 2017.
- [8] B. J. Skromme, P. Rayes, B. McNamara, X. Wang, Y.-F. Huang, D. H. Robinson, X. Gao, T. Thompson, "Recent Progress in Step-Based Tutoring for Linear Circuit Analysis Courses," *2015 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition (NSF Grantees Poster Session)*, American Society for Engineering Education, Seattle, WA, June 2015.

- [9] B. J. Skromme, V. Seetharam, X. Gao, B. Korrapati, B. E. McNamara, Y.-F. Huang, D. H. Robinson, "Impact of Step-Based Tutoring on Student Learning in Linear Circuit Courses," 2016 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), Erie, PA, October 2016.
- [10] B. J. Skromme, X. Gao, B. Korrapati, V. Seetharam, Y.-F. Huang, D. H. Robinson, "Interactive Tutorial System for Linear Circuit Analysis: Impact on Learning and Novel Tutorials," *2016 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition (NSF Grantees Poster Session I)*, American Society for Engineering Education, New Orleans, LA, June 2016.
- [11] C. D. Whitlatch, Q. Wang, and B. J. Skromme, "Automated problem and solution generation software for computer-aided instruction in elementary linear circuit analysis," *2012 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition*, Washington, D.C., 2012.
- [12] B. J. Skromme, C. Redshaw, A. Gupta, S. Gupta, P. Andrei, H. Erives, D. Bailey, W. L. Thompson, S. K. Bonsal, W. M. Bernard, "Interactive Editing of Circuits in a Step-Based Tutoring System," *2020 American Society for Engineering Education*, Montréal, Québec, Canada, June 2020.