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Abstract

Civil engineers play a significant role in designing, constructing, and maintaining systems. Concepts of sustainability are critical components to civil engineers’ education. Professors at the University of Pittsburgh (UPitt) and Arizona State University (ASU) have collaborated to integrate sustainability into the Civil Engineering curriculum in two manners; first, via target sustainability units and/or labs that are integrated into traditional courses and second, via the creation of new courses whose foci are on sustainability. We present and discuss the development and value in understanding student perceptions of sustainability through two sustainability labs, Infrared Thermography (IRT) Energy Audit Lab and Sustainable Materials Design Lab, and their implementation into three collaborative courses, Introduction to Nondestructive Evaluation and Structural Health Monitoring (NDE), Design for the Environment (DfE), and Green Buildings: Design and Construction (GB), that have been designed to enhance the learning of sustainability concepts. Pre- and post-assessment surveys were administered to assess changes in student perceptions of sustainability concepts and applications over a four-year period. Survey results present changes in perceptions of sustainability concepts and applications over time as a result of the active and experiential learning units and classes. The concurrent teaching of these sustainability units and classes at UPitt and ASU enhanced student collaboration within and across universities, reinforcing the potential for future cross-course, multi-university active and experiential learning partnerships.

Introduction

As concerns of global climate change and energy independence increases, the next generation of civil engineering students will need to be prepared to solve complex sustainability challenges. Since green technology is emerging as the most important industry of the 21st century, it is critical that students are provided the opportunity to understand the linkage between their decisions, engineering, and sustainability. Engineering education can provide students with the tools to approach the complex problems of the 21st century while considering these aspects, which are key for designing sustainable systems. Many programs around the nation are incorporating sustainability into their curriculum.

The National Research Council (NRC) notes several challenges to effective undergraduate education in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) disciplines. These challenges include providing engaging laboratory, classroom and field experiences; teaching large numbers of students from diverse backgrounds; improving assessment of learning outcomes; and informing science faculty about research on effective teaching. Research suggests that team based projects can also enhance student learning in STEM fields since it promotes active and collaborative learning while simultaneously promotes individual accountability, personal responsibility, and communication skills. In addition several Accreditation Board for Engineering Technology (ABET) criteria require engineering programs to demonstrate that students attain the ability to function collaboratively and communicate effectively.
Many researchers agree that, in order for engineers to be able to approach and solve sustainability-related problems, their education needs to allow for interactions and diversity; aspects that experiential learning approaches involve. Experiential learning involves constructing meaning from direct experience and actively involves the learner in a real (rather than abstract) experience\textsuperscript{6,7}.

Three civil engineering courses, \textit{Introduction to Nondestructive Evaluation and Structural Health Monitoring} (NDE), \textit{Design for the Environment} (DfE), and \textit{Green Buildings: Design and Construction} (GB) at the University of Pittsburgh (UPitt) along with one course at Arizona State University (ASU), \textit{Design for the Environment} (DfE), have collaborated to develop and incorporate active, experiential learning activities that enhance the learning of sustainability concepts. One of the merits of creating cross-course activities in engineering education is that enrolled in a traditional civil engineering course (e.g. from NDE) that would never have been exposed to concepts of sustainability have hands-on, active interaction with these concepts and students in the sustainability track (e.g. from DfE and GB) are afforded the opportunity to learn the technological aspects of operating traditional civil engineering tools.

The goal of this cross-course collaboration experience is to enrich and expand student experience from and exposure to sustainability concepts in the different civil engineering classrooms. This paper discusses the development and value in understanding student perceptions of sustainability from the incorporation of two novel sustainability labs over a four-year period two courses taught at UPitt and one course taught at ASU as gauged by changes in the perceptions of sustainability concepts and the application of sustainability with the use of civil engineering tools via pre- and post-assessment surveys. These surveys examine the value of the active and experiential activities employed in the civil engineering classroom.

Methods

Two sustainability labs that employ experiential learning were developed by the authors and evaluated from 2009-2012. These labs were taught in three civil engineering courses at UPitt: \textit{Introduction to Nondestructive Evaluation and Structural Health Monitoring} (NDE), \textit{Design for the Environment} (DfE), and \textit{Green Buildings: Design and Construction} (GB) and in one course at ASU, \textit{Design for the Environment} (DfE), for approximately 400 students in total. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the approach and timeline of events for the development and implementation of these labs. Below are descriptions of the labs and assessment methods.
Description of the *infrared thermography (IRT) energy audit lab*

The *IRT Energy Audit Lab* was designed to enhance existing activities within the traditional civil engineering course, NDE, and a new civil engineering course, DfE. Dr. Rizzo (NDE instructor) collaborated with Drs. Landis (DfE) and Bilec (DfE), to create and teach the IRT lab in both the...
NDE and DfE classes. NDE traditionally utilizes noninvasive methodology for evaluating the health of existing structures. Inspection techniques for diagnosing energy concerns within structures are traditionally qualitative and rely heavily on surface observations. Teams of students conduct a traditional energy audit within this course by inspecting a structure through the lens of both a regular digital camera and an IRT camera. Energy audit reports generated by the students contain a description of the inspection procedure, the settings of the cameras utilized during inspection and the structural diagnosis in a qualitative format. The IRT Energy Audit Lab enhances existing energy audits, traditionally conducted within NDE, and expands this lab to apply to both the NDE and DfE courses, where the built environment is closely examined.

Learning objectives and associated Bloom’s levels of intellectual behavior\(^9\) for the IRT Energy Audit Lab are listed in Table 1. Teams of students conducted an energy audit of an existing structure by utilizing an IRT camera in addition to a regular digital camera. In the energy audit lab assignment, shown in Figure 3, students utilized temperature information generated by the IRT camera and calculated the potential building’s energy losses for the area(s) depicted in the camera images. Students took this quantitative approach one step further and calculated the total annual costs of these energy losses, suggested an energy efficient solution for this structure, such as improved insulation or window replacement, and calculated the total energy and cost savings for their proposed solution. Students presented the results of their IRT Energy Audit Lab through an industry report format. The IRT camera used for NDE applications further generated a proposed algorithm for assessing IRT images post-application of this technology\(^10\). This lab was adopted and implemented in new civil engineering courses, DfE and GB, as an experiential learning activity for exploring energy usages of the built environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrared Thermography (IRT) Energy Audit Lab Learning Objectives</th>
<th>Bloom’s Levels of Intellectual Behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The aim of this lab is for students to have a hands-on, active interaction with concepts of sustainability and energy via experience conducting an energy audit with an IRT camera</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to become comfortable with the use of an Infrared camera and be able to interpret the images produced</td>
<td>X           X           X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to utilize the Infrared camera to perform a small scale “energy audit” of one group member’s apartment</td>
<td>X           X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to estimate the heat loss through an exterior wall section in the apartment</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to identify an area of imperfection through Infrared examination and estimate its effects on heat loss</td>
<td>X           X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to suggest an improvement to the area of imperfection and calculate the energy savings that could be realized through implementing the improvement</td>
<td>X           X           X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. IRT Energy Audit Lab Learning Objectives and Bloom’s Levels of Intellectual Behavior
Description of the sustainable materials design lab

The Sustainable Materials Design (SMD) Lab was created to introduce design for disassembly and design for the environment concepts through an active and experiential learning activity within the DfE course. This lab was easily adoptable within the NDE and GB courses, as both reference the evaluation of products and processes. DfE focuses on student comprehension of the Triple Bottom Line, society, economics, and the environment, as they relate to decisions in product and process designs. The SMD Lab is dynamic mix of design evolution discussions, research of environmental product declarations (EPDs) and hands-on experiments to actively experience design progression. Learning objectives for this lab are listed in Table 2.

Teams of students were tasked with a timed competition to disassemble and reassemble their designated office chair. Chairs were representative of design evolution and included a 1950’s chair, early 1990’s chair, late 1990’s chair and a 2000’s chair that was advertised as ‘green’ based on its ease of disassembly and materials (Figure 4). Students compiled the data that they collected for both disassembly and reassembly times as well as other metrics representing design for disassembly and design for materials selection such as number of parts, number of tools used, number of materials used in the chairs, and recyclability of parts for each chair. Students were asked to evaluate the sustainability of the different chairs based on the metrics and data collected in lab report format. Students synthesized and evaluated the chair designs within their reports based on design evolution discussions in class, previous research conducted on EPDs and their experience with disassembling and reassembling their chair during the lab.
**Table 2. Sustainable Materials Design Lab Learning Objectives and Bloom’s Levels of Intellectual Behavior**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainable Materials Design Lab</th>
<th>Bloom’s Levels of Intellectual Behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning Objectives</strong></td>
<td>Knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The aim of this lab is for students to have a hands-on, active interaction with concepts of sustainability and apply design for the environment principles to product and process designs</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to explain the basics of design evolution</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to apply design evolution concepts to analyze the office chairs from recent eras in terms of their “green” quality, or design for the environment</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to determine the feasibility of end-of-life recycling of the materials comprising the chair via disassembly, material categorizing and weighing</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to interpret ease of disassembly, part separability, and clarity of the appropriate recycling stream with respect to the chair assigned to our group and the designated “green” chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Sustainable Materials Design Cross-course Lab. Example of chair disassembly by means of common household tools. Top left to right: 1950’s office chair; early 1990’s office chair. Bottom left to right: 2000’s ‘green’ office chair; late 1990’s office chair.
Assessments

Student perceptions of sustainability concepts and applications were measured through pre- and post-assessment surveys. This survey research was approved exempt under IRB protocol # PRO10010207 at The University of Pittsburgh and #120607924 at Arizona State University. These pre- and post-assessment surveys were administered in all three courses, NDE, DfE, and GB, for a period of four years from 2009-2012. Formative, pre-assessment surveys were employed to collect information regarding students’ preconceptions and/or previous knowledge of careers that integrate concepts of sustainability as well as sustainability applications of the IRT camera. Students took this formative assessment prior to being exposed to course material. The question students responded to was: “which of the following careers would integrate concepts of sustainability and design for the environment?” and responses included “engineering consulting”, “construction manager”, “government position”, “researcher”, “teacher”, “health care professional”, “marketing/sales”, “none of the above”. The question format was “select all that apply.”

Summative, post-assessment surveys were utilized to collect data regarding knowledge of careers that integrate concepts of sustainability as well as sustainability applications of the IRT camera, in the same order as they were presented in the formative assessment survey. Students took the summative assessment survey after completing the final class of the semester for each course. The question students responded to was: “I have heard of the following uses of an infrared camera” and responses included “evaluating material strength”, “quantifying temperature differences”, “measuring vehicle (or object) speed”, “searching for abnormalities in body tissue”, “viewing activities through building walls”, “identifying energy losses in buildings”, “evaluating green building products for energy efficiency” and “none of the above.” The question format was “select all that apply.”

Results and Discussion

The pre- and post-assessment survey data is presented in Figures 5-9. Figures 5 and 6 depict pre- and post-survey assessment results regarding which careers students perceive integrate concepts of sustainability. Figures 7 and 8 illustrate pre-and post-survey results respectively, regarding knowledge of sustainability applications for the IRT camera. The IRT camera application for pre- and post-survey assessment results is highlighted in the 2012 DfE courses at ASU and UPitt in Figure 9.
Figure 5. Student Perceptions of Careers That Integrate Sustainability Concepts 2009-2012 depicts student respondents’ answers for careers that integrate concepts of sustainability from pre-assessment surveys.

Which of the following careers would integrate concepts of sustainability and design for the environment?

Figure 5. Student Perceptions of Careers That Integrate Sustainability Concepts 2009-2012 depicts student respondents’ answers for careers that integrate concepts of sustainability from pre-assessment surveys.
After participating in the DfE, NDE, and GB courses utilizing active and experiential learning labs, students showed changes in their perceptions of sustainability concepts and applications. The results of the survey in Figures 5 and 6 are presented over a four-year period for three different classes, where DfE was taught via distance learning at ASU and UPitt in 2012. Response rates for all of the surveys were higher than 80%. The results showed students have the perception that engineers in consulting, researchers and construction managers are the three predominant careers that integrate concepts of sustainability. These are also the career paths the majority of the students taking these courses will choose to follow, thus results may be influenced due to greater interest in these careers than others. Greater than or equal to 50% of respondents over the four-year period believe that government careers integrate concepts of sustainability. This trend follows for teachers, with the exception of DfE Post 2012 at ASU.
Careers in healthcare and marketing/sales fluctuated to the largest degree over the administration of the surveys. In 2011 healthcare sustainability topics were added as a semester project within DfE. The increase in healthcare career awareness may be reflected by a result of this inclusion. The authors acknowledge that the decrease in perceptions of careers that integrate sustainability may be a reflection on the way in which the material was presented to the students. The instructors required students to think critically about the inclusion of sustainability concepts in careers. The decrease may have resulted from students’ perceived notion that even though the careers seem to integrate concepts of sustainability prior to the class, the time in which the pre-survey was taken, the careers in fact integrate sustainability intermixed with green washing. Thus, the presentation of class material may have impacted the way in which students perceived the careers post-class and post-survey their perceptions decreased. The authors recognize that in future analysis, additional survey questions will be added to assess the direct impact on the lab activity.

**Student Perceptions of Sustainability Applications for IRT Camera 2009-2012**

Pre-Survey

- none of the above
- evaluating gr bldg products for energy efficiency
- identifying energy losses in buildings
- viewing activities through building walls
- searching for abnormalities in body tissue
- measuring vehicle (or object) speed
- quantifying temperature differences
- evaluating material strength

I have heard of the following uses of an infrared camera

Figure 7. Student Perceptions of Sustainability Applications for IRT Camera illustrates student respondents’ answers regarding knowledge of sustainability applications for the IRT camera from pre-assessment surveys.
One of the major cross-course activities was the IRT Energy Audit Lab, and the survey shows that students are learning the sustainability applications of IRT camera (Figures 7 and 8). Students tend to increase their perceptions of sustainability-related applications for the IRT camera after taking the courses. The perception students have both pre- and post-survey was that IRT cameras have a wide range of applications both within civil engineering and within other disciplines. Students select quantifying temperature differences, identifying energy losses in buildings and evaluating greater building products for energy efficiency as the top three sustainability applications for an IRT camera. Prior to the implementation of this lab, sustainability was not taught in NDE and students in DfE and GB were not exposed to the IRT camera tool. This is reflected in the “none of the above” awareness prior to exposure to course material, two courses of DfE and two courses of GB.
In 2012 the *IRT Energy Audit Lab* was concurrently co-taught within DfE at UPitt and ASU. The findings reflect the survey results from the addition of this lab to the DfE course offering at ASU (Figure 9). ASU student responses for knowledge of IRT camera revealed that prior to taking DfE, students had heard of all 7 uses listed and after taking this course students responded that they had increased awareness for 4 out of 7 uses listed. Viewing activities through building walls, searching for abnormalities in body tissue, and measuring vehicle (or object) speed were the three uses listed for which students remained stable or decreased in awareness. This may be a result of increased exposure to the built environment applications for the IRT camera in comparison to other uses. No ASU students selected “none of the above” as uses for the IRT camera. UPitt student responses for knowledge of IRT camera uses revealed that prior to taking DfE, students had heard of all 7 uses listed, including greater than 10% of responses having never heard of the listed IRT camera uses. After taking this course students responded that they had increased awareness for 2 out of 7 uses listed, including viewing activities through building walls and evaluating material strength. The origin of this result is unclear, but it may be attributed to coverage of additional uses of the IRT camera when compared to familiar, common uses.
Conclusion

The active and experiential lab activities developed for three Civil Engineering courses, NDE, DfE and GB, at ASU and UPitt, reached approximately 400 students of different levels from 2009 to 2012. One merit of creating cross-course activities is that students experienced first-hand, know how sustainability concepts and tools that can be applied within their field. On the flip side, students in the sustainability track (e.g. DfE & GB) had the opportunity to learn the intricate details of how the tools that they use apply to sustainability. The activities also directly addressed many of the issues facing STEM education. The Infrared Thermography (IRT) Energy Audit Lab and Sustainable Materials Design Lab employed experiential learning, which enhances the quality of student learning. Furthermore, gains in tacit knowledge prepared these future engineering professionals to solve complex and multidisciplinary problems in a sustainable and global context through collaborations amongst university students. Pre- and post-assessment surveys of the students over four years revealed that awareness of sustainability, careers that integrate sustainability concepts, and knowledge of IRT camera applications increased over time as a result of implementing the two active, experiential learning labs.
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