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Abstract

Teaching green design in academia is challenging. Due to its very nature, green design is interdisciplinary. On the other hand, in a typical case in academia, there tends to be a separation between disciplines. This paper reports on the experience of a group of undergraduate students; who, while participating in the national sustainable design competition (P3 competition), were asked to perform an interdisciplinary design task. The subject of this interdisciplinary design competition was to design an adaptive sustainable manufactured home, which is energy-efficient, adaptive, portable, affordable, aesthetically-pleasing, and can be manufactured locally. The paper thoroughly explains the design challenge, the performance-based objectives, the quantitative design-assisting tools used by the students, four examples of the students’ work, quantitative findings, and conclusions of the design competition.

1. Introduction: P3 Competition

The P3 Competition is a national student sustainable design competition sponsored by the EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). It is a competition to the benefit of People, Prosperity, and the Planet (P3). One of the competition’s primary goals is to disseminate the concept of sustainable design in higher education, which subsequently makes it an appropriate vehicle for introducing interdisciplinary design to university students.

The authors of this paper agree with the understanding of sustainability as a “design approach”\footnote{1}, which is certainly a holistic (i.e., interdisciplinary) approach that takes into account all related externalities in order to solve a specific design problem. The authors were awarded $10,000 from the EPA, which they used to integrate the P3 competition as an educational tool in an elective course they co-taught on sustainable design. The design project, explained below, was the required final assignment in the course, in which students were expected to apply the knowledge and skills they acquired during the semester on the topic of “Sustainable Design in Architecture”.

2. Design Competition Entry

The subject, chosen by the faculty, for this competition entry was “The Chameleon House, an Adaptive Sustainable Manufactured Home”. In this design challenge, participating student teams were asked to generate concept designs for a manufactured home that uses minimal amount of purchased energy to provide heating and cooling for its occupants. The objective was to design a portable house that can adapt to the possible range of climatic conditions within the geographic borders of the State of Oklahoma.

3. Interdisciplinary Design & the Integrated Design Method

Working on the P3 competition, eight student teams enjoyed the challenge and understood the crucial role of inter-disciplinary design in creating a sustainable building. The design challenge required students to perform inter-disciplinary tasks, in which each team had to simultaneously
develop an architectural design for a residential unit and estimate its environmental performance. Students coupled their architectural and engineering skills. Students experienced first hand how to guide the design process toward producing a sustainable product (building). The cornerstone to the success of this experience was the use of quantitative design-assisting tools to provide instant evaluation of the environmental performance of the dwelling unit during the design process. This parallel instant quantitative analysis helped students make the right design decisions at the right time, i.e., early enough during the design process. It is worth mentioning that this design development process that is guided by a simultaneous analytical feedback is often referred to as the “Integrated Design Method” [Figure 1].

Design-assisting tools used during this integrated design process helped students to perform the required tasks, which were: pre-design climatic analysis, solar control studies, heating load calculations, cooling load calculations, and PV sizing calculations. These quantitative design-assisting tools proved to be very stimulating to the students. In a typical architectural design process, quantitative evaluations take place only at the end of the design process, which usually results in losing any opportunity to develop energy-efficient or sustainable buildings; a fact that made the Chameleon House project an unusual beneficial experience to the students.

Figure 1: The Integrated Design Method

4. The Design Process

The project started similar to any typical architectural design project, however because of the nature of the Integrated Design Method, the design process started with a clear focus on the building’s context (climate) and environmental performance. A detailed description of the design process as explained to and experienced by the students is below.

4.1 Project Description

This project challenged students as responsible architects, engineers, and citizens of the world to design an Adaptive Sustainable Manufactured Home. At minimum, this home is expected to be energy efficient, adaptive, portable, and affordable. Students were expected to come up with innovative yet realistic solutions. For every design solution, students were asked to rigorously address the following specific issues:
Energy Efficiency:
1- The home should utilize a passive solar heating system that can provide 100% required heating to meet the worst case scenario, i.e., January 21st or December 21st.
2- The home should utilize a passive cooling system that can help minimize the need for mechanical cooling.
3- The home should enjoy the thermal flywheel effect of thermal mass, by means of using refillable water bags to create thermal mass within the building’s envelope.
[Note] Super insulation of the envelope outside of the thermal mass is one of the most successful energy conserving measures for houses in Oklahoma climate.
[Note] In order to control passive solar heating systems, indirect heat gain and isolated heat gain systems are much more desirable than direct heat gain systems.

Adaptability:
4- The home should be adaptable to the range of climatic conditions that is possible within the borders of the State of Oklahoma.
5- The home should be adaptable to different possible site orientations.
[Note] Adaptability to a wide range of climatic conditions may be achieved through the utilization of adjustable shading devices. Adaptability to different site orientations may be achieved through the utilization of inter-changeable building parts and flexible design.

Mobility:
6- The home should be portable, i.e., lightweight at the time of shipping. This can be achieved by the use of refillable water tanks/bags as thermal mass.
7- The home can be shipped in smaller pieces that do not exceed the maximum allowable dimensions of: 14’x 60’x 13’ (WxLxH)³.
[Note] In the US, 19.7% of existing manufactured homes moved at least once from the site of their first installation to another site.

Affordability:
8- The design solution should be realistic, i.e., suggests reasonable solutions and technologies, and can be manufactured in Oklahoma.
9- The design solution shall be a PV-ready. Because PV systems are currently not cost-effective, it is unlikely that the manufactured home may incorporate one. However, these circumstances may change in the foreseen future.
[Note] According to the U.S. Census Bureau, median household income of all occupied manufactured homes is $27,885 as opposed to $41,775 for all occupied housing units in the country. That is 33% below the national median.
[Note] FYI: Prices of OCI-built manufactured homes, as delivered and completed on site, range from $55 to $60 per square foot. OCI-built units are considered to be the baseline for this design project (OCI is the state-owned Oklahoma Correctional Industries).

Aesthetics:
10- The design solution shall enhance the public’s awareness of sustainability and generate a model for visually-pleasing manufactured homes. Although sustainable buildings may not look any different than normal buildings, appropriate expression of sustainable features may make a difference.
4.2 Students’ Work Expectations

While solving this design problem as described above, students were expected to implement the integrated design method, in which quantitative evaluation of initial solutions should inform and direct subsequent design development(s). Quantitative evaluation of the environmental performance of the design schemes was based on the results of rigorous (and simplified) engineering methods. Calculations of both the passive heating and passive cooling systems were required. Figure 2 shows the calculation procedure to design the passive solar heating systems. Figure 3 shows the calculation procedure to design the natural ventilation systems.

4.3 Design Development Loop

In this phase, each group developed its own conceptual design in the light of a simultaneous evaluation of its environmental performance. This happened through a series of tasks the students were required to do. These tasks are listed below:

1. Define a baseline design (chosen to be the typical Oklahoma Correctional Industries design for manufactured homes of the popular size). An example is shown in Figure 4.
2. Design the passive solar heating system. An example calculation worksheet is shown in Figure 2. Detailed explanation of the passive heating calculations is in section 4.4.
3. Design the passive cooling system (natural ventilation). An example calculation worksheet is shown in Figure 3. Detailed explanation of the passive heating calculations is in section 4.4.
4. Design the BIPV system, to produce the maximum possible amount of electricity. For sizing PV systems, students used the calculator available on the NREL website (National Renewable Energy Laboratory).

4.4 Passive Heating and Cooling Calculations

In the passive solar design (example in Figure 2), students were able to eliminate the need for mechanical heating during the winter, a case that happens when heat gain in one day equates heat loss during the same day. To minimize heat loss, students added more insulation; and to increase heat gain, students increased the size of south-facing glass. In the end, the thermal balance between heat gain and heat loss determined the appropriate size of south-facing glass needed for the critical case scenario. The critical case scenario is typically assumed to happen either on December 21st (the weakest sun in the year) or January 21st (the coldest month in the year). The Excel spreadsheet, students were required to use, is user-friendly and instantaneously calculates UA (overall heat transfer coefficient) and the Balance Point temperature of the dwelling unit. The calculations were comprehensive and took into account all relevant design data, i.e., outdoor temperature, thermostat temperature, hourly SHGF, design parameters, occupancy data, and the performance data of insulation, glass type, and the heat recovery unit.

For the passive cooling (example in Figure 3), students sized the windows for effective natural ventilation that is able to flush the heat built up inside the dwelling unit to the outside. This system is only effective when outside temperature is 80°F or lower. Calculations were comprehensive and took into account all relevant design data, i.e., intensity of heat gain due to solar and internal heat gain, wind speed and direction, and window type.
## Passive Heating Calculations, Whole Building

**Short Side - Wichita, KS - Allen/Stewart**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>Design:</th>
<th>Occupancy:</th>
<th>Materials:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wichita, KS</td>
<td>Total interior area (sqft): 943.0</td>
<td>Number of people: 4.0</td>
<td>Unren. 0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours of occupancy/day: 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Light load (Watt/hr/ft²): 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment load (Watt/hr/ft²): 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U wall: 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R roof insulation: 20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R wall insulation: 26.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1. Building Overall Heat Transfer Coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of Day</th>
<th>UA Building (base)</th>
<th>UA Net Solid Walls</th>
<th>UA Glazed Windows</th>
<th>UA Glazed Exterior</th>
<th>UA Insulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sun up</td>
<td>1178.0 sq.ft</td>
<td>1065.0 sq.ft</td>
<td>210.5 sq.ft</td>
<td>210.5 sq.ft</td>
<td>943.0 sq.ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun down</td>
<td>1178.0 sq.ft</td>
<td>1065.0 sq.ft</td>
<td>210.5 sq.ft</td>
<td>210.5 sq.ft</td>
<td>943.0 sq.ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Average Hourly Internal Heat Gain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time of Day</th>
<th>Hourly Average</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>0.05 W/kga</th>
<th>943.0 sq.ft</th>
<th>3.51</th>
<th>96.34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat gain (KWH)</td>
<td>Sun up</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>943.0 sq.ft</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>96.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat gain (KWH)</td>
<td>Sun down</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>943.0 sq.ft</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>96.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Average Hourly Solar Heat Gain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time of Day</th>
<th>Hourly Average</th>
<th>Solar Heat Gain</th>
<th>Direct Solar (Sunh)</th>
<th>110.5 sq.ft</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>725.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar Heat Gain</td>
<td>Sun up</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>943.0 sq.ft</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>96.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Heat Gain</td>
<td>Sun down</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>943.0 sq.ft</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>96.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Balance Point Temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance Point (F)</th>
<th>27.5°F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Solar Harvesting

- Calculate the Solar Heat Gain Factor (SHGF), according to the method of physical models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>% Exposure</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Diffuse</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>SHGF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 21</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 AM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 AM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 AM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 AM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 PM</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2: Passive Heating Calculations Worksheet**
Figure 3: Passive Cooling Calculations Worksheet

**Type of space:** Residential

**STEP 1: Input Data**

- **Location:**
  - Solar Heat Gain Factor (SHGF):
  - Wind Speed (airport):
  - Wind effectiveness factor (setting and height):
  - Effective wind speed:

- **Design:**
  - Area of space to be ventilated:
  - Area of all glazed windows:
  - Shading Coefficient of glass (SC):
  - Area of the total facing exposed to air:

- **Occupancy:**
  - Number of people:
  - Metabolism:
  - Equipment load:
  - Lighting load:

**STEP 2: Calculation of Peak Heat Gain (during the cooling season) (assumed on July 21st)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Heat Gain (Btu/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>440.00</td>
<td>948.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Walls &amp; Roof</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>544.00 (sq ft)</td>
<td>3.50 Wind/night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>543.00 (sq ft)</td>
<td>0.50 Wind/night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Peak Heat Gain</strong></td>
<td><strong>715.15</strong></td>
<td><strong>Btu/hr</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STEP 3: Calculation of Size of Operable Windows - CROSS VENTILATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Required Area</th>
<th>Effective Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>440.00</td>
<td>2.3% of Total</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Walls &amp; Roof</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>13.5% of Total</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>544.00 (sq ft)</td>
<td>1.3% of Total</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>543.00 (sq ft)</td>
<td>1.3% of Total</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>715.15</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.5%</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.5%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STEP 4: Calculation of Size of Operable Windows - STACK EFFECT VENTILATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Area (sq ft)</th>
<th>Required Area</th>
<th>Effective Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>440.00</td>
<td>7.5% of Total</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Walls &amp; Roof</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>9.7% of Total</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>544.00 (sq ft)</td>
<td>1.3% of Total</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>543.00 (sq ft)</td>
<td>1.3% of Total</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>715.15</strong></td>
<td><strong>54.8%</strong></td>
<td><strong>54.8%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Gross area of operable windows cannot exceed total area of the exterior wall.
4.5 Final Evaluation

Evaluation of the final submission was according to the following criteria:
- Pleasant architectural design of the manufactured home.
- Low-energy performance of the home, i.e., minimum use of purchased energy.
- Adaptability of the design for different climates and site placements.

![OCI-manufactured home, as currently designed.](image)

Figure 4: OCI-manufactured home, as currently designed.

5. Students’ Work

Students were enthusiastic, positive, and eager to learn. They met (and some exceeded) the expectations and followed the process detailed above. They produced impressive results. This section of the paper presents the results of students’ work and their learning experience during the pre-design analysis phase.

5.1 Pre-Design Climatic Analysis

As a result of students’ investigation prior to the actual design started, they were able to accurately frame the problem and define a set of specific performance-based targets for the design process. Students got familiar with a user-friendly bioclimatic design-assisting tool, which is the Climate Consultant computer program. Students used the program to generate initial bioclimatic recommendations for the design of the Chameleon House. Figure 5 shows the recommendations for Wichita, Kansas (the northern edge of the targeted region), and Figure 6 shows the same for Wichita Falls, Texas (the southern edge of the targeted region). The climate of Oklahoma calls for both heating and cooling with temperatures as low as 7°F in winter (Wichita, KS), and as high as 101°F in summer (Wichita Falls, TX). Students also generated the solar data necessary to design the passive heating system for the Chameleon House (Wichita, KS data) and generated the wind speed and direction data necessary to design the passive cooling system (Wichita Falls, TX data).
Investigation of local examples of energy-efficient single family homes lead students to the Millennium House in Tulsa, OK. The two successful sustainable measures utilized in the Millennium House were: building the walls using the insulated concrete forms (heavy mass + super insulation), and the use of the ground source heat pump.

Data gathering of energy efficiency-oriented design recommendations (for single family homes) produced a long list of design recommendations that is applicable to the Chameleon House. Students searched recommendations published by the Department of Energy, Energy Star program (EPA), US Green Building Council (LEED-H), NAHB (builder’s guide for mixed climate), and the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC-2006).

5.2 Pre-Design Research

Besides the pre-design climatic analysis, students also searched for similar type of green projects. The focus of this study was the houses built to meet the requirements for the Energy Star program, which is administered by the EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency).

Students looked at a number of case study buildings, including local and national projects. However because of the nature of this project, students focused on local projects. Students were encouraged to study the site-built Energy-Star homes built by Ideal Homes, which is the largest homebuilder in the State of Oklahoma that was also named as America’s Best Builder in 2007. The result of this study was to recognize a list of energy-saving measures that are achievable and worked locally, which included: blown-in insulation for walls and ceiling; perimeter insulation in foundation; radiant heat barrier roof sheathing; air seal polycel caulking around windows, doors, joints and sill plates; insulated and mastic sealed ducts; technologically advanced fresh indoor air ventilation system with motorized damper and fan recycler; passive attic vent with soffit chutes; high performance Low-E windows; tank-less water heaters; and Energy-Star appliances.

Students also visited a local off-grid residence near Oklahoma City. This house relies on a hybrid wind-PV system to generate its own electricity, and implements passive solar heating to meet the heating demand during winter.
5.3 Students’ Projects

By the end of the project and based on students’ designs, it can be stated that: a manufactured house can be ultra energy-efficient using over-the-shelf technology and common construction materials. “Good Design Matters!” The benefits of the inter-disciplinary/integrated design method were highlighted to the students, who experienced its vital role to guide the design process towards energy efficiency. With the use of user-friendly simplified engineering tools, students were able to evaluate the performance of their designs and were able to produce a variety of design solutions that met the success criteria for the Chameleon House. Students were innovative and produced non-traditional schemes that are both aesthetically pleasing and highly energy efficient. Four design schemes are presented below.

**Scheme 1: The Rotating Solar Cap [Fig. 7]**

This concept design is simple and versatile at the same time. For any site placement of the house itself, a rotating solar cap can be installed to face due south. The house itself comes in three pieces; the living room and two flanking wings. The solar cap is placed on the top of the living room. The solar cap is shipped separately and comes in two designs (A or B in Figure 7) depending on the south direction.

Total area of the house is 1,200 sq.ft. Excluding the electricity that is generated by a BIPV system, the house saves up to 44.21% of the annual energy consumption compared to an all-electric similar-size super-insulated house in Oklahoma.

**Scheme 2: A Room-by-Room Assembly [Fig. 8]**

This concept design is expandable over time. Each room in the house can be manufactured and ordered separately, then (on site) all pieces are assembled together in a linear manner. This 1,100 sq.ft. house is two-bedroom (as shown in Figure 8), and can expand to 1,320 sq.ft. with the purchase of one more room-module. Passive heating is provided by the glazed French windows along the two long sides. However, in case the short side of the house is facing south, an
additional end-piece (shaded areas on the plan in Figure 8) that includes an indirect passive heating system can be attached onto that short side. Low-cost cooling is possible with the operation of a whole house fan that is integrated into the tall end piece. Excluding PV electricity, this house saves up to 40.63% of the annual energy consumption.

![Figure 9: Anchored to the Sun](image9.png)

![Figure 10: The Footless Print](image10.png)

**Scheme 3: Anchored to the Sun [Fig. 9]**

In this concept design, the house is anchored to the sun (south direction) by its living room. The living room enjoys three different exterior exposures (the arrows in Figure 9), which allows it to face the sun regardless of the site placement. An adequately-sized indirect passive heating system is attached to the living room on its south-facing wall. Only if south is on the master bedroom side, the indirect passive heating system can be attached to the master bedroom’s solid wall. This 1,024 sq.ft. house can be shipped in three pieces on one semi-truck as shown in Figure 9. Excluding PV electricity, this house saves up to 38.44% of the annual energy consumption.

**Scheme 4: The Footless Print [Fig. 10]**

This concept design does not only conserve energy but also the land. To minimize its impact on the planet, this Chameleon House is elevated on expandable pedestals. This 943 sq.ft. house is narrow and long, so it can be shipped on a single semi-truck. To provide passive heating, multiple windows face the four directions. Adjustable external shading devices protect windows in summer and allow the sun into the inside in winter. PV panels, mounted on the adjustable shading devices, produce electricity year round. The space underneath the house can be used as a carport or a shaded outdoor living area. The roof is accessible and can be used during temperate climatic conditions. Including the electricity generated by the PV, this house can save up to 48.7% of the annual energy consumption.
5.4 Summary of Findings

These concept designs were successful. They also proved the following:

- The interdisciplinary approach applied through the integrated design process contributed the most to the success of this student design project. Feedback from the thermal load calculations helped the students to make the right decisions in the right time (early enough during the design process).
- Using over-the-shelf technology can result in significant energy savings. In this project, students used commercially available glass and insulation, and other common construction materials.
- In Oklahoma (with the help of night insulation) 100% passive heating is possible even during extremely cold and long winter nights.
- The design of an add-on indirect (or isolated) heat gain system may have a potential demand in the market. This add-on system, if designed to be independent from site placement, can be used in a wide-variety of single-family homes. The Rotating Solar Cap scheme is an evidence of that.
- In Oklahoma, natural ventilation cannot provide cooling during all summer months. However, the whole house fan can provide an effective low-cost cooling that can save up to 45% of cooling energy.
- Super insulation, coupled with thermal mass, can enhance the performance of manufactured homes in summer and winter, and are essential to making 100% passive solar heating possible.

6. Faculty Observations

The use of the P3 student sustainable design competition was a successful tool to introduce multi-disciplinary (or inter-disciplinary) design processes to students. Our observation was that the students although challenged with the complexity of the task, were also very enthusiastic and eager to solve the problem and reach a successful solution. Our understanding is that: because the objective of the design problem was quantifiable students were able to prove and verify that their designs achieved the predetermined goals of the design process.

Along the process, students also got familiar with the engineering principles of thermal load calculations, and the design of passive environmental building systems. In this course, students learned about the following:

- The nature and definition of sustainable architecture, as a new generation of buildings that perform efficiently and are environment-friendly.
- Principles of bioclimatic design as they apply to the design of buildings and building systems.
- Design of passive solar heating systems.
- Design of passive cooling systems (natural ventilation).
- Internal heat gain in buildings and its impact on the performance of buildings.
- Properties of common construction materials and the impact of these materials on human comfort and the environmental performance of buildings.
- Design of the building envelope.
- Optimum design and sizing of PV systems.
7. Conclusions

This experimental educational experience supports the following conception:

- The use of sustainable design problems is a successful vehicle to introduce the principles of interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary design to students.
- Implementation of the integrated design method is crucial to explain the relationships between the different disciplines involved.
- Students understand the direct and indirect relationships between different disciplines much more effectively (and painlessly) when they are asked to work on a long-enough design problem/project. Simple homework assignments that do not provide the opportunity for discussion or re-design cannot provide the same experience.
- It is of a paramount importance to establish a clear quantifiable goal for an interdisciplinary design process. If the design challenge has to satisfy multiple goals, one or few of these goals should be highlighted as the basis for evaluation.
- In the field of green design, although LCA (Life Cycle Analysis) is the best tool to evaluate design decisions, it is still inconvenient to implement the LCA in the classroom. This is mainly because the currently available LCA tools are either inaccurate or not user-friendly.
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