

Linking Middle Schools and High Schools with Engineering Programs

Donald C. Orlich¹, William J. Thomson², Richard L. Zollars²

¹Science, Mathematics, Engineering Education Center

²School of Chemical Engineering and Bioengineering
Washington State University

A problem facing the United States is the declining numbers of students expressing an interest, or majoring, in engineering. Recently the American College Testing organization reported that between 1992 and 2003 the percentage of high school students expressing an interest in majoring in engineering dropped from 9% to 6%¹. In addition to the lack of numbers there is also the recurring problem of the lack of preparedness among US students in math and science². While many programs have been started to address these problems there is a growing movement towards teaming college faculty with K-12 teachers as a means of addressing these issues. Among these programs is the recent “Research Experiences for Teachers (RET)” program initiated at the National Science Foundation. This paper will describe activities at Washington State University aimed at creating closer ties between the engineering faculty and K-12 teachers in an effort to address both student interest and teacher preparedness issues.

A program focusing on addressing these issues was undertaken in the Chemical Engineering Department at Washington State University in 1993 with a National Science Foundation grant from the Division of Elementary, Secondary, and Informal Education. The genesis of this concept was a conversation amongst chemical engineering faculty members on what influenced them to major in engineering. Almost uniformly the conclusion was that it was an influential teacher (usually in math or science) that got them started. While the influence of this teacher led to an interest in science how this ultimately resulted in majoring in engineering was never as clear cut. To eliminate this uncertainty we submitted a proposal to bring math or science teachers to the WSU campus for a summer to work along side engineers in their research laboratories to get a clear idea of what engineers do. The teachers, in addition to strengthening their math and science backgrounds, then would serve as spokespersons for engineering in their respective classrooms. During the five years that this program was in operation a total of 67 teachers from throughout the United States participated. Of the approximately 100 engineering faculty at WSU 19 served as mentors (some multiple times) during the teacher’s stay.

Our experiences with this prior grant led to the submission of an RET proposal in 2003, which was subsequently granted in 2004. The experiences gained in the prior NSF grant helped

*Proceedings of the 2005 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition
Copyright ©2005, American Society for Engineering Education*

guide the development of the current RET activity. There are three primary goals for the program: 1) enhance the math/science skills of the teachers in the K-12 system, 2) increase the number of students interested in engineering as a major, and 3) provide a means by which faculty at all levels who are concerned about this problem can communicate. While the first item had clearly been addressed in our prior activity we did not feel that the latter two issues had been adequately resolved. The steps we took to improve on this situation will be outlined below.

One of the most important aspects of a successful activity is the recruitment of the teachers who will participate. We started our teacher recruitment activity in October, 2004 at the Washington Science Teacher's Association (WSTA) by hosting a booth at their annual meeting. This was followed up by an ad placed in the WSTA newsletter and letters sent to prior participants. Prospective participants are asked to complete an application form along with a reference form to be completed by their principal or supervisor.

Unlike our previous activity this program also sought to include pre-service teachers. These were recruited by contacting science education programs at the University of Idaho, Washington State University and a number of other four-year institutions in the area. We also solicited applications from Heritage College, an institution in Toppenish, WA serving a mainly Hispanic population.

Applications from both pre-service and in-service teachers were due by February 1. The three authors met shortly after this deadline to select the teachers to participate for the summer of 2004. While not selecting for either a math or science specialization we did aim to have eight in-service and four pre-service teachers as participants. Teachers selected for participation were notified by March 1 and had to reconfirm their intention to participate no later than April 15. This latter action was found necessary in order to insure that we had our full compliment of 12 teachers during the summer.

At the same time that the teachers were being recruited so too were the engineering faculty who would serve as the mentors for the teachers. Unlike our prior program for which no focus was planned, this program seeks to use biologically related engineering topics as its focus. This topic is both current as well as being of interest to the K-12 students. A number of faculty who had worked with the teachers in our prior program have research interests in this area and so were contacted to serve as mentors again. In addition, some of our newer faculty, with appropriate research interests, we also asked to join. In all six faculty were recruited with each faculty member being expected to mentor two teachers.

The first activity in the program is a one-day meeting that was held on May 21 involving eleven of the twelve teacher participants and all of the faculty mentors. The purpose of this meeting is to start forming relationships among all of the participants, firm up housing arrangements for the summer, distribute information on the research projects that would be available for the summer, and tour the campus and laboratories. All of the teachers, whether they were able to visit the campus or not, then were asked to return a listing of the top three projects on which they would like to work. By the end of May all teachers had been assigned projects, with

two teachers assigned to each project and each pre-service teacher paired with an in-service teacher. This allowed about two weeks for the teachers to communicate with each other, and with their mentor, prior to the start of the on-campus portion of the program. We found this two week period to be extremely important for the teacher's preparation as it allows them to start their preparation prior to arriving on campus. Furthermore, details such as housing arrangements, meals, parking, and continuing education or academic credits can be cleared up before the start of the program. This allows the full duration of the program to be focused on the research activity.

The on-campus portion of the program started on June 21 so as not to conflict with the calendar for the K-12 schools. The duration of the on-campus activity was six weeks, ending on July 30. The duration was largely set by the desire to have the research activity last as long as possible, so that the teachers could make a meaningful contribution, but not so long as to conflict with the school year for either the K-12 system or the university. During the six weeks there was a daily, one-hour lecture covering basic concepts of engineering. These concepts were introduced by examining current issues such as the hydrogen economy and genetic engineering as well as taking a historical perspective of the development of the field of engineering. Social events (picnics, whitewater rafting, biking), to build esprit-de-corps, were also held. In addition, the teachers were required to develop a teaching module, based upon their research experience that could be brought back to their classrooms. To aid in developing this module Don Orlich, from the Science, Mathematics, Engineering Education Center (SMEEC), conducted many of the classroom sessions during the latter portion of the program. During the final week of the program 16 local middle school and high school students, selected by the participating teachers, came to campus to test the modules that had been developed.

The feedback from the teachers and the students was overwhelmingly positive. Suggestions were made on the conduct of the program that will be incorporated in 2005 but no suggestions were received about altering the goals of the program.

There were three goals for this program that were mentioned earlier; improved skills for the teachers, increased interest in engineering by their students, and improved communications between the teachers and the faculty mentors. One of the major tools in achieving these goals is the teaching modules that were developed during the summer. Fifty-two teaching modules, available for use by any teacher, were developed during our prior program and are still available on line at www.che.wsu.edu/home/modules/index.html. The modules developed during the summer of 2004 will be added to this site once the teachers have had a chance to use them in their classrooms and make any last alterations to the modules.

A shortcoming of our prior program was our inability to maintain communication with many of the teachers who had been participants in our program (our third goal). In part this was due to the fact that the prior program had recruited teachers from throughout the US. Since the current program focuses on the Northwest the distances involved in maintaining contact will be greatly reduced, but not eliminated. To overcome this impediment we are working with Dr. Jerry Maring and a number of people in our IT unit to develop an interactive meeting capacity using high-end, Internet based technology. Dr. Maring used this technology in a project (co-TEACH)

where graduate students and faculty in the Department of Teaching and Learning were able to mentor teachers and students at a number of schools scattered around the Northwest. We are currently developing the equipment to do this and more. While Dr. Maring's equipment was largely stationary (everyone had to go to a fixed location to make use of the technology) our equipment will be designed to be mobile. A typical application will consist of two units, one at WSU and one that can be brought into the K-12 classroom. By linking the two via the Internet we can provide real-time audio and visual between the two sites. Thus a teacher and their class could have a virtual face-to-face interaction with the faculty member at WSU, similar to what was done in co-TEACH. A more exciting application of this technology will be to bring the K-12 students into the faculty member's research laboratory to let them see activities and equipment that would not be accessible to them at their school.

We also wish to obtain better follow-up on student attitudes concerning engineering after their teachers have been participants in our program. We are currently working with a faculty member in the Department of Educational Leadership and Counseling Psychology, whose specialty is assessment, on developing an instrument to measure the student's attitudes towards engineering. This instrument will be used in the classrooms of the participating teachers before and after they have used the modules they developed in their classroom. This, coupled with mandatory visits by the WSU faculty member to the teacher's classroom will provide a stronger link between the teacher's and the engineering program at WSU, hopefully leading to the attainment of goals 2 and 3.

As a result of this past summer's activities we have reached certain conclusions concerning activities, such as ours, where laboratory experiences are used to convey the essence of engineering to K-12 teachers. We found that six weeks was necessary for the conduct of the on-campus portion of the program. Although many teachers initially felt that this was too long, most felt that they were just starting to contribute to their projects by the time that the six weeks was ending. Shorter periods of time would not allow the teachers to become contributing members of their research groups. In addition, without a substantial involvement in the project the teachers would feel less confident in presenting this material to their class, thus reducing the impact of the program at the K-12 level. A longer period of time (8 weeks) was viewed as too long by both the university mentors and the teachers in addition to presenting significant scheduling barriers.

A preliminary meeting was essential in maximizing the usage of the six-week, on-campus period. This first meeting gave us the opportunity to take care of many important items prior to the teacher's arrival in the summer, including project/mentor selection, preliminary research, housing, academic or continuing education credit, and laboratory safety.

Having the teachers work in pairs on their research projects is a powerful tool. They have a compatriot with whom they can share experiences, and they develop a close relationship with another teacher with whom they could interact in the future. This helps in implementing the module they have developed into their classroom as they will have a person with intimate knowledge of the module with whom they could talk.

Follow-up between teachers and between teachers and mentors is probably the hardest issue to address. This is particularly true for our situation because of the distances involved between WSU and the various schools where the participating teachers work. The two-way, Internet conferencing capability that we are developing will be monitored to see if this can provide a useful tool in addressing this issue.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ¹ Noeth, R. J., Cruce, T., and Harmston, M. T., "Maintaining a Strong Engineering Workforce", ACT Inc., Iowa City, IA, 2003.
- ² "Report in Brief, NAEP 1996 Trends in Academic Progress", National Center for Educational Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), NCES 98-530, Revised 1998.

DONALD C. ORLICH

Don Orlich graduated from the University of Montana in 1953 with a B.A. in Education. He received a Masters of Science Education in 1959 from the University of Utah and an Ed.D. in 1963 from the University of Montana. He taught five years as an elementary and junior high science teacher in Butte, MT before taking a faculty position at Idaho State University. From 1967 to 1994 he was a faculty member in the Department of Education at Washington State University. He currently works at the Science, Mathematics, Engineering Education Center at Washington State University.

WILLIAM J. THOMSON

Bill Thomson graduated from the Pratt Institute in 1960 with a Bachelor's degree in Chemical Engineering. After receiving an M.S. in Chemical Engineering from Stanford University in 1962 he received his Ph.D. from the University of Idaho in 1969. He was on the Chemical Engineering faculty at the University of Idaho from 1969 to 1981 rising to the rank of full professor. In 1981 he became the Department Chair in Chemical Engineering at Washington State University, a position he held until 1993. In addition he has worked with Esso Research, AVCO Research, the Union Oil Research Company and the Stanford Research Institute. He currently is a full professor at Washington State University.

RICHARD L. ZOLLARS

Dick Zollars graduated from the University of Minnesota in 1968 with a Bachelor's degree in Chemical Engineering. He attended the University of Colorado where he received an M.S. in Chemical Engineering in 1972 and a Ph.D. in 1974. After working for three years with the Union

*Proceedings of the 2005 American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition
Copyright ©2005, American Society for Engineering Education*

Carbide Corporation he returned to the University of Colorado in 1977 as a faculty member in Chemical Engineering. Since 1978 he has been on the Chemical Engineering faculty at Washington State University. He has also worked at the Laramie Energy Research Center (DOE) for a summer and at the National Science Foundation for a year as a Program Director.