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# PROGRAMMING A SCARA ROBOT FOR A MANUFACTURING CELL TO ASSEMBLE AND PRODUCE <br> MEDICAL DEVICES 


#### Abstract

:

This research paper focuses on a single cell manufacturing machine setup that can be programmed according to requirements to perform certain processing functions. Manufacturing cell operation depends on parts to be assembled. The Primary target of this manufacturing cell is to glue three parts together to produce a small medical device with limited human intervention. There are three different trajectory actions required to completely assemble the part. This research paper talks about how to program a low-cost Scara robot for the manufacturing cell which performs multiple sequential operations to produce the device.


First operation is to glue part " $A$ " and part " $B$ " together to produce a part " $A B$ ". The second operation is the glue drying time of part "AB". The third operation is to glue part "C" to part " AB ". The forth operation is to dry part " ABC ". Since there is a minimum robot trajectory activity during the glue drying process, a buffer of part "AB" is created to utilize that time. By utilizing the glue drying time the buffered part "AB" gets ready for the third operation. This means that as soon as the buffer part "AB" has been dried, the robot performs its third operation that is joining the part "C" with the dried buffer part "AB". Then the robot performs again its $1^{\text {st }}$ and 3 rd operation sequentially. Although, a minimum robot trajectory activity is required during the fourth operation of glue drying of part " ABC , nevertheless it is a required step for the complete part assembly. In this way the process is maximizing its throughput and minimizing the production cycle time.

For multiple part-type operation in this single-machine cell, we provide an efficient algorithm that simultaneously optimizes the robot movement and part sequencing operation. The result of this research paper is promising for creating small and compact manufacturing cells.

## INTRODUCTION

Scara are generally are the first choice of manufacturers because of their speed, ruggedness, price and durability. Scara's are ideal for a variety of general-purpose applications requiring fast, repeatable and articulate point to point movements such as palletizing, depalletizing, machine loading, unloading and assembly. Due to their 'elbow' motions, scara robots are also used for applications requiring constant acceleration through circular motions like dispensing. ${ }^{[1]}$

This paper considers the scheduling of operations in a single manufacturing cell that repetitively produces a family of similar parts. We provided a sequential scheme for performing certain jobs through programming. The single manufacturing cell can perform several operations and can be interfaced with windows based programming software tools by which we can easily teach the robot. In this paper we explained how a single cell manufacturing machine can be programmed according to job requirements to perform certain processing stages that depend upon the parts being manufactured. Without being involved with the complicated robot programming language this software tool allows for quick and easy teaching whatever our application may be. Figure 1 represents a typical Tracheostomy device to be assembled.


Figure 1: Tracheostomy Device ${ }^{[2]}$

## DESCRIPTION OF PARTS AND THEIR ASSEMBLY PROCESS

At the beginning of the process Two Parts "A" \& "B" will come under the robot through two conveyor belts. The arm will first put measured amount glue on part "A" from liquid dispenser valve. At the same time " B " will come through the second conveyer belt and robot will pick up "B" to join together with "A" which is the first operation. Figure 2 shows three different parts to
be assembled. Figure 3 shows scara robot, conveyor, and parts arrangement inside the manufacturing cell.


Figure 2: Three Different Parts to be Assembled


Figure 3: Arrangement inside the Manufacturing Cell
As mentioned earlier second operation is the glue drying time. That's why, at first the robot will do $1^{\text {st }}$ operation 5 times and keep the joined part, "AB", together on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ conveyor for a while as it takes times to dry up part "AB". If it takes 10 second to join part "A" with part " $B$ ", then it takes 50 seconds to complete the 5 joined products "AB". Which is more than the glue drying
time for a single joined product (assume glue drying time is 20 seconds). Figure 4 shows the $1^{\text {st }}$ assembly operation.


Figure 4: $1^{\text {st }}$ Operation
Now we have 5 joined products "AB" ready for next operation. We consider this as a buffer product and from now on, robot will try to maintain 5 joined product " $A B$ " on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ conveyor. When the $5^{\text {th }}$ joined product " AB " is ready (product " AB " is ready means completely dry) the part "C" will come on the 3 rd conveyer belt. Figure 5 shows movement of parts on the three conveyor belts.


Figure 5: Movement of Parts on the Conveyors

After the $2^{\text {nd }}$ operation the robot will glue the part " AB " with part " C " and produce part " ABC ", Joining part "AB" with part "C" to produce part " $A B C$ " is the $3^{\text {rd }}$ operation. Figure 6 shows 3 rd operation process.


Figure 6: $3^{\text {rd }}$ operation
Now robot will perform $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ operation sequentially and eliminate the glue drying time, then send the whole product to production line. The Process will continue like this. Figure 7 shows different products in the operation.


Figure 7: Different Parts ${ }^{[3]}$

## HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE REQUIREMNT FOR ROBOT PROGRAMMING

## Hardware

Personal computer: PC should be equipped with the CPU and software workable with the operating system of Microsoft windows.

COM Port: A vacant COM port to connect with the robot.
Scara Robot: Model TMB100 4-axis model

Table I

| Operating range | $\mathrm{J} 1 \mathrm{arm} / \pm 90^{\circ} \mathrm{J} 2 \mathrm{arm} / \pm 150^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}$ axis $/ 100 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{R} \mathrm{axis} / \pm 360^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arm length | J1 arm 260mm J2 arm 180mm J1 + J2 440mm |
| Load | Tool 5 kgf |
| R axis inertia | 90 kg . cm 2 |
| Maximum Speed J1 + J2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1500 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}(1 \mathrm{kgf} \text { load }) \\ & 1400 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}(3 \mathrm{kgf} \text { load }) \\ & 1300 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}(5 \mathrm{kgf} \text { load }) \end{aligned}$ |
| Maximum speed Z \& R axis | $\mathrm{Z}=320 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}, \mathrm{R}=1000^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Repeatability | $\mathrm{XY} \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$ per axis $\mathrm{Z} \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{R} \mathrm{axis}< \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ |
| Data memory capacity | 100 programs 6000 points |
| Drive system | 5-phase stepping motor |
| Operation system | Point to point and continuous path |
| Interpolation | XYZ \& R simultaneous 3D linear interpolation |
| Teaching method | Direct, remote \& manual data Input (MDI) teaching |
| CPU | 32 bit (MC68EC020, MC68882) |
| PLC | 50 programs, 100 steps for each program |
| I/O signals | 25 input \& 24 output signals |
| External interface | RS232C - one channel for PC, one channel for the teach pendant and one channel for external equipment (optional) |
| Wiring \& piping to tool | 15 wires for signals, 4 air pipes (4mm) |
| Interface - interlocking | 4 input signals from interlock equipment |
| Power supply 220V | AC 180-250V consumption 200VA |
| Working temperature | 0-40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Relative humidity | 20-95\% no condensation |
| Weight | 41 kg |

Table I: Scara TMB100 4-axis model specification ${ }^{[4]}$

Figure 8 shows a Scara robot Model TMB100 4-axis.


Figure 8: Scara Robot Model TMB100 4-axis

## Software

Software tool "JR Points" is used to program the robot. Each program contains many location point data coupled with specified speed.

## SCARA ROBOT PROGRAMMING

The way we have programmed the robot is given below by an example. A program is a set of commands in which a series of actions and movement performed by the robot and positions where dispensing is carried out are registered in order. The scara robot can store up to 100 programs from program number 1 to 100 . A program consists of two parts. One is the program data which controls the program itself and the other part is point data (or a series of point data where there is more than one point) which contains information such as coordinates of the
robots. The coordinate is a point where the robot may perform a job. The program data consists of following seven items: ${ }^{[5]}$

- Program name
- Work home position
- Dispense condition
- Cycle mode
- PTP condition
- Tool data
- Move area limit


## Teaching:

We tried to make the teaching process as simple as possible. There is no need to learn the complicated programming language. Axes of the scara robot (TMB100) can be taught individually to perform certain task. This innovative teaching method allows the user to register work points by simply grabbing the robot arm and moving it to the desired location. This greatly simplifies the teaching process, making it fast for all users of all levels. The systems can also be taught by traditional teaching methods. The JOG teaching method allows the user to drive the robot arm to the desired location by pressing buttons on a teach-box.

## A Typical Programming Example:

Figure 9 shows a typical scara robot arm movement trajectory for operation.


Figure 9: A Typical Scara Robot Arm Movement Trajectory

## Desired Point Configuration

| Point 1 | Point 2 | Point 3 | Point 4 | Point 5 | Point 6 | Point 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CP Start <br> Point | CP Stop <br> Point | CP Stop <br> Point | CP Arc <br> Point | CP Passing <br> Point | CP Arc <br> Point | CP End <br> Point |

In JR Points under program menu, add new program. which is shown in figure 10.


Figure 10: Add a Program in JR Points
Add program No. 1, which is showen in Figure 11.


Figure 11: Add Program
Now select the point number 1 with mouse pointer. Which is shown in Figure 12.


Figure 12: Select Point Number

Under Robot menu select JOG, which is showen in Figure 13.


Figure 13: Select Jog Menu
Now we need to define cordinate values or we could change robot arm by clicking on these buttons shown in red in Figure 13. After moving on desired point, click on the Register button. In this screen line speed need to be selected. We selected line speed as 20 . which is shown in Figure 14.


Figure 14: Define Cordinate Value
\& line Speed

Now click this icon (Shown in red in Figure 15) to add additional new points. After this we need to define cordinate values in these data points. This is showen in Figure 15.


Figure 15: Add Additional Points

Now we need to send these values to the robot, which is shown in Figure 16.


Figure 16: Sending Data Points to the Robot
Now use the drop down menu and perform as follows: Robot > Test Running to run the whole program. This is shown in Figure 17.


Figure 17: Running a Program
All the coordinates data are shown in Table II.
Table II

|  | point 1 | point 2 | point 3 | point 4 | point 5 | point 6 | point 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| point type | CP Start <br> Point | CP Stop <br> Point | CP Stop <br> Point | CP Arc <br> Point | CP <br> Passing <br> Point | CP Arc <br> Point | CP End <br> Point |
| arm shape | Righty | Righty | Righty | Righty | Righty | Righty | Righty |
| coordinates <br> $\mathbf{X}$ | -101.158 | -101.153 | -111 | -118.722 | -126.366 | -118.692 | -111 |
| coordinates <br> $\mathbf{Y}$ | 323.172 | 337.013 | 337 | 329.258 | 336.753 | 344.745 | 337 |
| coordinates <br> $\mathbf{Z}$ | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96.136 | 96.136 | 96.136 | 96 |


| coordinates <br> R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line Speed | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | - |

Table II: All Data Point in JR Points

## DISCUSION OF THE RESULT

## Symbologies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A }=\text { time for moving part } \mathrm{A} \text { (in second) } \\
& \text { B }=\text { time for moving part } \mathrm{B} \text { and gluing (in second) } \\
& \mathbf{C}=\text { time for moving part } \mathrm{C} \text { and gluing (in second) } \\
& \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}=\text { time for moving joined part "AB" and gluing (in second) } \\
& \mathbf{G}=\text { time glue dry (in second) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comparison between Traditional \& Enhanced Operational Sequences

## Traditional Operational Sequence

If we move one part at a time and joined together, we need to wait for the glue to dry. We can calculate the time for this operation.


Total time required to produce 1 product $=5+5+20+5+5+20$

$$
=60 \text { second }
$$

## Enhanced Operational Sequence

We divided enhanced operational sequence into two steps. Time required for STEP 1 is shown below:

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Time (in sec) } & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5\end{array}$
Total time required for STEP $1=5+5+5+5+5+5+5+5+5+5$

$$
=50 \text { second }
$$



Time (in sec) 50505
Total time required for STEP $2=5+5+5+5$

$$
=20 \text { second }
$$

In first 50 seconds robot will follow STEP 1. After that robot will continuously follow sequence in STEP 2.

Total time required to produce the $1^{\text {st }}$ products $=50+20$
$=70$ seconds
Data Generated from Traditional and Enhanced Sequences

|  | time(second) | time(second) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Produced unit | traditional | enhanced |
| 1 | 60 | 70 |
| 2 | 120 | 90 |
| 3 | 180 | 110 |
| 4 | 240 | 130 |
| 5 | 300 | 150 |
| 6 | 360 | 170 |
| 7 | 420 | 190 |
| 8 | 480 | 210 |
| 9 | 540 | 230 |
| 10 | 600 | 250 |
| 11 | 660 | 270 |
| 12 | 720 | 290 |
| 13 | 780 | 310 |
| 14 | 840 | 330 |
| 15 | 900 | 350 |
| 16 | 960 | 370 |
| 17 | 1020 | 390 |
| 18 | 1080 | 410 |
| 19 | 1140 | 430 |
| 20 | 1200 | 450 |
| 21 | 1260 | 470 |
| 22 | 1320 | 490 |
| 23 | 1380 | 510 |
| 24 | 1440 | 530 |
| 25 | 1500 | 550 |


|  | time(second) | time(second) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Produced <br> unit | traditional | enhanced |
| 26 | 1560 | 570 |
| 27 | 1620 | 590 |
| 28 | 1680 | 610 |
| 29 | 1740 | 630 |
| 30 | 1800 | 650 |
| 31 | 1860 | 670 |
| 32 | 1920 | 690 |
| 33 | 1980 | 710 |
| 34 | 2040 | 730 |
| 35 | 2100 | 750 |
| 36 | 2160 | 770 |
| 37 | 2220 | 790 |
| 38 | 2280 | 810 |
| 39 | 2340 | 830 |
| 40 | 2400 | 850 |
| 41 | 2460 | 870 |
| 42 | 2520 | 890 |
| 43 | 2580 | 910 |
| 44 | 2640 | 930 |
| 45 | 2700 | 950 |
| 46 | 2760 | 970 |
| 47 | 2820 | 990 |
| 48 | 2880 | 1010 |
| 49 | 2940 | 1030 |
| 50 | 3000 | 1050 |
|  |  |  |


|  | time(second) | time(second) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Produced <br> unit | traditional | enhanced |
| 51 | 3060 | 1070 |
| 52 | 3120 | 1090 |


|  | time(second) | time(second) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Produced <br> unit | traditional | enhanced |
| 76 | 4560 | 1570 |
| 77 | 4620 | 1590 |


| 53 | 3180 | 1110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | 3240 | 1130 |
| 55 | 3300 | 1150 |
| 56 | 3360 | 1170 |
| 57 | 3420 | 1190 |
| 58 | 3480 | 1210 |
| 59 | 3540 | 1230 |
| 60 | 3600 | 1250 |
| 61 | 3660 | 1270 |
| 62 | 3720 | 1290 |
| 63 | 3780 | 1310 |
| 64 | 3840 | 1330 |
| 65 | 3900 | 1350 |
| 66 | 3960 | 1370 |
| 67 | 4020 | 1390 |
| 68 | 4080 | 1410 |
| 69 | 4140 | 1430 |
| 70 | 4200 | 1450 |
| 71 | 4260 | 1470 |
| 72 | 4320 | 1490 |
| 73 | 4380 | 1510 |
| 74 | 4440 | 1530 |
| 75 | 4500 | 1550 |


| 78 | 4680 | 1610 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 4740 | 1630 |
| 80 | 4800 | 1650 |
| 81 | 4860 | 1670 |
| 82 | 4920 | 1690 |
| 83 | 4980 | 1710 |
| 84 | 5040 | 1730 |
| 85 | 5100 | 1750 |
| 86 | 5160 | 1770 |
| 87 | 5220 | 1790 |
| 88 | 5280 | 1810 |
| 89 | 5340 | 1830 |
| 90 | 5400 | 1850 |
| 91 | 5460 | 1870 |
| 92 | 5520 | 1890 |
| 93 | 5580 | 1910 |
| 94 | 5640 | 1930 |
| 95 | 5700 | 1950 |
| 96 | 5760 | 1970 |
| 97 | 5820 | 1990 |
| 98 | 5880 | 2010 |
| 99 | 5940 | 2030 |
| 100 | 6000 | 2050 |

## Analysis of the Collected Data

In this experiment, glue drying time $=20$ seconds and for parts movement \& gluing time $=5$ seconds.

Figure 18 shows number of product versus time required to produce the product by using traditional and enhanced sequences.


Figure 18: Product Produced Versus Time Required for Traditional and Enhanced Sequence
Product per minute $($ traditional sequence $)=(100 / 6000) * 60$

$$
=1
$$

Product per minute $($ enhanced sequence $)=(100 / 2040) * 60$

$$
=2.926
$$

Thus we could conclude enhanced sequence is almost 3 times faster than traditional sequence.

| Gue drying time <br> (second) | sequence improvement compare <br> to traditional sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1.471 |
| 10 | 1.961 |
| 20 | 2.941 |
| 30 | 3.922 |
| 40 | 4.902 |
| 50 | 5.882 |
| 60 | 6.862 |
| 70 | 7.843 |
| 80 | 8.824 |
| 90 | 9.804 |
| 100 | 10.784 |

Figure 19 shows the improvement made using enhanced sequence compare to traditional sequence. Using the data (curve) we could benchmark our enhanced sequence with traditional sequence.


Figure 19: Factor of Improvement Comparing to Methods

## CONCLUSION

To assemble and produce a medical device using scara robot is one of the cheapest solutions in the evaluation of the robot. Because of advances in hardware and software design, it is more compact, more efficient, easier to use and less expensive than its predecessors.
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