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Building on prior discussions

- CoNECD 2018: “Refuse, Refute, Resist: Alt-Right Attacks on Engineering and STEM Education Diversity Scholarship”

- ASEE 2018 Diversity Committee Panel Session: “Targeted harassment in EER equity research”

- Forthcoming article in *Feminist Formations* by one of our panelists (Riley, 2019)


What is “targeted harassment?”
How would you define it?
What is “targeted harassment?”

Often virtual (phone, email, social media)
Personal
Threatening violence
Expressing misogyny, homophobia, or racism
Coordinated and repeated
What does it look like in academia?

- All the same features, but focused on people’s scholarship as unworthy
- Often related to scholars’ efforts to raise questions about long-held privileges that threaten the status quo
- Threatening academic freedom
- AAUP reports incidences from both sides of political spectrum, and overwhelmingly from the political right.*

* AAUP Facebook Live with Henry Reichman and Joan Wallach Scott, accessed Jan 25, 2019
https://www.facebook.com/AAUPNational/videos/1819187414781402/
Why now?*

- Increased role of social media, climate of anti-intellectualism, increased by Trump election
- Increased power of private corporations over university resources
- Increased university fear that their brand may be “tarred” - protecting brand is not the same as protecting academic freedom
- Increased strategic attacks by right wing organizations to undermine credibility of academia*†

* AAUP Facebook Live with Henry Reichman and Joan Wallach Scott, accessed Jan 25, 2019
https://www.facebook.com/AAUPNational/videos/1819187414781402/

†“Signal Boost: How Conservative Media Outlets Turn Faculty Viewpoints Into National News” by Chris Quintana and Brock, June 27, 2017, Chronicle of Higher Education.
Who has studied targeted harassment?

- Sexual harassment in academia, particularly academic field work researchers - SAFE study by Clancy et al, 2014
- Academic “bullying” (drawn from workplace bullying studies) - internal to a university - Keashley & Neuman 2010
  - faculty bullying other faculty (particularly people of colour) - Finley, Gray and Martin 2018
  - faculty bullying graduate students, contingent faculty, staff
- Academic “incivility” and “mobbing” - Twale & DeLuca 2008
- Publications about the experience of being harassed - Gutiérrez 2017
- Recent Journal of Academic Freedom issue focused on topic, and AAUP efforts to collect data on specific cases
- Journalism documenting harassment of faculty, particularly at non-US institutions (Chronicle of Higher Education, Inside Higher Education, Academe, etc.)

We’re happy to share other resources, references, and URLs.
What fields have faced targeting?

- Particularly Women’s, ethnic, & critical studies scholars
- "Controversial" science – climate scientists in particular
- Disproportionately women and people of color
What does targeted harassment look like in STEM ed research?

• STEM education researchers, particularly those focused on diversity, equity, and inclusion
• After decades of normalcy
• >50 incidents that we know of in STEM education research
So what?

Snowflakes?
So what?

Threats to academic freedom, to the credibility of academia, and to the notion of a public good*

* AAUP Facebook Live with Henry Reichman and Joan Wallach Scott, accessed Jan 25, 2019
https://www.facebook.com/AAUPNational/videos/1819187414781402/
“...continual and fearless sifting and winnowing...”
How are academic freedom and freedom of speech different?

**academic freedom** - a protection of faculty rights based on disciplinary competence

**freedom of speech** - the right to express one’s ideas, however true or false they may be.

“These academic freedom defends the pursuit of knowledge, wherever it leads. The pursuit of knowledge is not an elitist activity but a practice vital for the exercise of democracy and the promotion of the common good. Those values—knowledge, democracy, and the common good—must be reasserted in defense of the university and against the anti-intellectualism of the Trump administration.” (Scott, 2017)*
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Stories from the audience
Our collective response
AAUP’s attention and efforts

“WE ARE ALL A SINGLE OUTRAGE CAMPAIGN AWAY FROM HAVING NO RIGHTS AT ALL.”

--George Ciccariello-Maher on his resignation from Drexel University after being targeted and harassed.

onefacultyoneresistance.org
One model of how to respond

Report
Support
Recommit
Report

- Unit, college, university levels
- Law enforcement: campus, local, state (state investigation unit), federal (FBI, Office of Civil Rights)
- Non-profits: SPLC, AAUP, AAC&U
- Other online harassment support orgs: Heart Mob, Troll Busters, Crash Override, Online SOS
Support

- Physical and emotional safety of the target
- AAUP chapters – engage impartial observers in meetings with administrators
- ASEE Diversity Committee – sending indicators of support to target’s supervisors, P&T committees, etc.
- Review other suggested plans and resources listed on handout
- Connect the target with us!
Recommit

- University media emphasize value of the researcher and their expertise to the institution, research community
- Units name what happened, and recommit publicly to principles of academic freedom
  - Faculty senate
  - Might need to be independent from university communications
- Ex: ASEE statement published March 13, 2018

March 13, 2018

Over the past year, there has been a proliferation of targeted attacks on scholarly work addressing diversity and inclusion in STEM education, including work in engineering education specifically. Many of these attacks have appeared on conservative outlets and in broader alt-right media and social media networks. When specific faculty members are targeted, they and their colleagues are often subject to harassing and threatening calls, emails, tweets, and more.

Such attacks serve to undermine academic freedom. The principle of academic freedom is grounded in the professional status and expertise of faculty. More than mere tolerance of
Other models

“Responding to Right-Wing Attacks” by Dana Cloud

Steps to follow in order:

1. Reverse the right’s narrative
2. Find allies on campus
3. Gain the support of major organizations that defend academic freedom.
4. Obtain signatures from academics and allies everywhere.
5. Get help.

Other models

Share models of how to respond with administrators at your university.

A good start: Faculty Support & Safety Guidance, University of Iowa

https://provost.uiowa.edu/sites/provost.uiowa.edu/files/Faculty_Support_Safety_Guidance.pdf
Questions?

Then we’re going to have you make a plan.
Make a plan now

Handout:

1. Who do you know who might be targeted?
2. What people should be prepared to support them, and in what ways?
3. What SOPs can you develop around communication and physical safety?
   • How can you help connect them to us?
4. What step will you commit to taking before fall term?
5. How can we help hold you accountable to this commitment?
   • Leave a copy of handout with us
Thank you for attending!

Pass on the word - and grab a pin to show your support for defending academic freedom!