AC 2011-1392: TEMPERATURE ALARM LABORATORY DESIGN PROJECT FOR A CIRCUIT ANALYSIS COURSE IN A GENERAL ENGINEERING CURRICULUM

Loren Limberis, East Carolina University

Dr. Limberis joined the Engineering faculty at ECU in August 2006. He earned his B.S. in electrical engineering and Ph.D. in bioengineering from the University of Utah. Dr. Limberis taught for several years as an Assistant Professor at The College of New Jersey and was a research analyst with Southwest Research Institute prior to his academic career. His research interests focus on designing techniques to utilize nature’s highly complex and sophisticated biological systems to develop biohybrid devices for use in biotechnology applications.

Jason Yao, East Carolina University

Dr. Jianchu (Jason) Yao joined the Department of Engineering at East Carolina University as an Assistant Professor in August, 2005. He received a B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering from Shaanxi university of Science and Technology, China, in 1992 and 1995, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Kansas State University in 2005. His research interests include wearable medical devices, telehealthcare, bioinstrumentation, control systems, and biosignal processing. His educational research interests are laboratory/project-driven learning and integration of research into undergraduate education. Dr. Yao is a member of the American Society of Engineering Education and a senior member of Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.
Temperature Alarm Laboratory Design Project for a Circuit Analysis Course in a General Engineering Curriculum

Abstract

We have developed and delivered an integrated multi-week operational amplifier-based laboratory design project as a means to enrich our students with an electrical engineering design experience within our general engineering program. The design project is presented in a circuit analysis course, which is only one of two electrical engineering-based core courses in our program, the other being a combined instrumentation and control systems course. Our goals are to support the outcomes of the program with sufficient coverage of electrical engineering topics within these two courses and to ensure the success of our students as well-rounded engineers by covering key topical design areas. This design project is one of the critical components in achieving these goals.

The students are assigned to design a temperature alarm system that measures air temperature and provides an alert when the temperature exceeds certain upper and lower limits. The multi-week format is used to integrate successive stages of the instrumentation system into digestible design tasks. This helps the students hone their design skills by focusing on one aspect of the entire system design and making appropriate connections on how each stage impacts the behavior of their entire system. Each stage of the system focuses on different applications of operational amplifiers. The first design stage is the development of an operational amplifier-based Wheatstone bridge circuit consisting of a resistive network that contains a thermistor as the sensor. The second design stage is the linearization of the thermistor-based Wheatstone bridge circuit. Since the resistance of a thermistor is an exponential function of temperature the students are required to design the resistive network of their amplified bridge circuit to meet specific linearization requirements. The students develop and use their own MATLAB models to test their circuit designs and characterize the responses with respect to temperature. The third design stage is the development of a circuit that compares the output voltage of the amplification thermistor circuit with voltages representing specific temperature upper and lower limits. The fourth stage is the design of an alarm circuit that alerts the operator with LEDs when the measured temperature is out of range. The design loop is then closed by integrating, testing, and characterizing the entire alarm system followed by a formal report describing the work of the project. Our assessment of how this laboratory experience impacts the students’ ability to meet the course objectives and the program outcomes is based on: 1) How well the students communicate specific design aspects in the formal report; 2) How well they communicate their linearization methods using MATLAB; 3) A survey on how well the students believe they are meeting the course objectives associated with the laboratory project; and, 4) the successful completion of embedded questions in quizzes the final exam associated with the design project.

Introduction

The general engineering program at East Carolina University was started in 2004 to help support the need of broadly-skilled, general engineers for the regional industries and business located among the small towns of eastern North Carolina. The philosophy of the general engineering program, which is structured to fulfill this need and to enhance sustained growth in regional
economic development, is best presented by Kauffmann et. al.¹: “Instead of traditional 
engineering disciplines, these operations require engineering generalists with a strong theoretical 
background, broad knowledge in a range of areas, and specific skills in problem solving to give 
them a sound but flexible base for managing and implementing technology change and 
operations.” The mission of East Carolina University’s Department of Engineering is “to 
provide a theory-based, application-oriented general engineering education that serves as a basis 
for career success and lifelong learning. Our graduates demonstrate the engineering and 
scientific knowledge to analyze, design, improve and evaluate integrated technology-based 
systems.”² To help support the objectives of the general engineering program, a common core of 
courses that develops the fundamental engineering skills was developed and implemented. This 
core curriculum is used as the foundation for four concentrations that build specialized 
knowledge in growth areas within the region: industrial and systems engineering, bioprocess 
engineering, biomedical engineering, and mechanical engineering.

Within the core curriculum, we have developed and implemented a two course series of 
electrical engineering based courses. The first course is a general circuit analysis course taken 
first semester junior year, which is designed to expose students with fundamental electrical 
engineering concepts and circuit analysis methods at a system-level.³ The second course in the 
series, taken in the second semester junior year, is a combined instrumentation and control 
systems course and provides a systems view of instrumentation and control theory and methods.⁴ 
Within both electrical courses we strive to relate course topics to practical applications and 
emphasize development of hands-on skills with laboratory exercises and project design. These 
courses are also designed to support the outcomes of our general engineering program, provide 
sufficient coverage of key electrical engineering topics and background for advanced study in the 
different concentrations, and to ensure the success of our students as well-rounded engineers.

One of the responsibilities of our core curriculum is to provide meaningful design opportunities 
for our students so they may experience engineering design associated with the different core 
engineering disciplines. We have developed and delivered an integrated multi-week operational 
amplifier-based laboratory design project within the circuit analysis course to address these 
responsibilities. The project incorporates the following Learning Objectives: 1) to strengthen 
skills in using mathematical knowledge to solve engineering problems; 2) to strengthen skills in 
designing experiments; 3) to strengthen skills in conducting experiments; 4) to apply and 
improve MATLAB programming skills; 5) develop skills in analyzing, designing, and applying 
operational amplifier-based circuits; and 6) develop skills for building prototype circuits on 
breadboards. It is anticipated that these objectives will enable the students to gain an experience 
of an electrical engineering design environment while also teaching them important skills and 
abilities that will further their overall training in the core general engineering curriculum.

**Laboratory Development**

We chose to focus this laboratory design project on the step-wise design and development of an 
operational amplifier-based instrumentation/temperature alarm system. An operational 
amplifier-based design was selected because of the versatility of these circuit elements and the 
applicability to electric engineering circuit design. This project exposes the students to different 
applications of operational amplifiers within the same system and learning how to integrate these
systems. Our rationale for developing a multi-week format was to provide straightforward design objectives so that the students could focus their efforts on a single design objective each week with the combination of each week’s objectives leading to the final system design. The anticipated outcome upon completion of the multi-week project would be that students would have developed a better understanding and appreciation of the incredibly useful operational amplifier and the purpose of each step in the design of an overall system. Furthermore, by including a sensing element within the system, in this case a thermistor, and including an instrumentation theme, we have initiated integration between the circuit analysis course and the subsequent instrumentation and controls course. Our intent is to assist the students in making meaningful connections between the two courses and to help the students better understand the applications in electrical engineering based design.

The overall laboratory design project consists of the following general week-to-week progression, as shown in Figure 1, with each week’s design achievement integrating into the next week’s design.

**Week 1 – Wheatstone Bridge Circuit Analysis and MATLAB Circuit Simulation**
- Derive output voltage expression of the op amp-based Wheatstone bridge circuit with respect to the thermistor and resistor network.
- Write Matlab script to simulate the op amp output voltage as a function of temperature.

**Week 2 – Linearization of Thermistor-based Wheatstone Bridge Circuit**
- Linearize the circuit to meet design specification. Use Matlab as a design tool to assist in achieving the design goals. Analyze circuit linearity of the design. Build the circuit.

**Week 3 – Voltage Comparing and Alarm Circuits Design**
- Design comparing circuit to compare voltage from the Wheatstone bridge circuit with the voltage limits representing upper and lower temperature limits. Design indicator/alarm circuit. Build circuits.

**Week 4 – System Integration and Design Characterization**
- Integrate all circuits and characterize the system. Document all data, voltages, resistor values, circuit schematics, etc., for inclusion in final report.

Figure 1. Flowchart depicting the major experimental and design procedures conducted during each laboratory period of the multi-week, operational amplifier-based temperature alarm design project. Each week the students have a pre-laboratory assignment. These assignments primarily focus on important concepts to help aid in the design process. Background material and introduction to new material is provided in the handouts along with the pre-lab assignments to help set the stage for each design phase.

**Week 1 – Project Introduction and the Op-Amp-based Wheatstone Bridge**

The students are introduced to the concept of temperature measurement and monitoring for use in instrumentation or even temperature alarm systems. Introduction of the thermistor is provided, which is a circuit component that changes resistance as a function of temperature as expressed in Equation 1,

\[ R_T = R_o e^{\frac{1}{T} \frac{1}{T_0}} \]  

(1)
where: \( T \) = temperature in Kelvin (K)  
\( T_o \) = is a reference temperature (typically 298 K)  
\( \beta \) = constant (based on the material properties of the thermistor)  
\( R_o \) = resistance value of \( R_T \) when \( T = T_o \) (in this project, \( R_o = 10 \, \text{k\Omega} \) at \( T = 298 \text{K} \))

These passive elements are small, rugged, inexpensive, and can be manufactured for various tolerances, temperature ranges, and resistance ranges. We chose to include thermistors in the project since the students will eventually encounter in their careers a system that uses thermistors. Although there are many other ways to measure temperature, using the variety of thermal sensitive components, thermistor-based devices are fairly common. Another reason we chose to expose the students to thermistors is that they are non-linear devices. The resistance response with respect to temperature is exponential in nature, as illustrated in Equation 1. One of the goals of this project is to design a circuit using operational amplifiers to linearize the voltage response as a function of temperature.

The students are provided a general block diagram (Figure 2) of the system they are to design. The concept of representing temperature (\( T \)) by a resistance \( R_T \), which influences the output voltage of the bridge circuit, is heavily emphasized at this point. The block diagram outlines this concept and the path of the signal through each system component starting from the thermistor and terminating at the alerting signal. The four main blocks consist of: 1) a transducer (i.e., the thermistor); 2) an amplifier; 3) a comparator; and 4) an alarm circuit. The resistance of the thermistor \( R_T \) varies as the temperature \( T \) changes. As a result of \( R_T \) change, the output voltage \( V_o \) of the amplification circuit changes. \( V_o \) is compared to the two voltages that correspond to specified upper/lower temperature limits. If the voltage is in the range of the upper and lower limits, a green LED is on, indicating that the temperature is “normal”. Otherwise, an alert is issued by turning on a red LED.

![Figure 2. Block diagram of the temperature alarm system.](image)

The introductory material and project overview is presented to the students in the lecture portion of the class. The students are then provided a laboratory handout discussing operational-amplifier-based Wheatstone bridge circuits and a short review of key MATLAB development tools. The students have previous MATLAB training in a prerequisite engineering core curriculum course covering MATLAB and Excel applications in engineering. The students are required to complete a pre-lab assignment to prepare them for a productive use of the laboratory time. The pre-lab questions focus on Wheatstone bridges, advantages of using operational amplifiers for the bridge circuit, and a few MATLAB programming exercises.
The first stage of the system the students design is the Wheatstone bridge circuit shown in Figure 3. This is a relatively simple, yet powerful circuit that contains a thermistor, a few resistors, and an operational amplifier. In general, the bridge circuit is used to detect small changes in the resistance of any of the resistors, which is reflected as a change in the output voltage. The students are asked to derive the expression of the output voltage, $V_o$, as a function of the input voltage and the Wheatstone bridge resistive network, which contains the thermistor. The students are encouraged to refine their expression into the fewest terms possible to make MATLAB programming and downstream design much easier to handle. The students are then required to write a MATLAB script to simulate the thermistor and the Wheatstone bridge circuit. They are to use the thermistor formula (Equation 1) and the $V_o(T)$ expression they derived and write a MATLAB program that will calculate $V_o$ as a function of temperature $T$ for any given set of parameters of the resistive network and $V_A$ and $V_i$. This program is intended to be used as a design tool as part of the following week’s lab for linearizing the circuit. The MATLAB program must include the saturation of the operational amplifier. That is, if the calculated $V_o \geq V_A$, where $V_A$ is the rail voltage of the operational amplifier, set $V_o = V_A$. Otherwise, the circuit will not behave as designed if the output voltage is greater than the supplied voltage to the operational amplifier. The program is to plot $V_o$ versus $T$ in °C (instead of in K).
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Figure 3. Schematic of the operational amplifier-based Wheatstone bridge circuit. The output voltage $V_o$ depends on the values of the resistances and the input voltage $V_i$. Since the resistance value of the thermistor $R_T$ is sensitive to temperature $T$, $V_o$ will vary as a function of temperature $T$.
```

Week 2 – Linearization of Thermistor-based Wheatstone Bridge Circuit

The overall objectives of the second week lab session are for the students to become familiar with and apply linearization techniques for their Wheatstone bridge circuit and use their MATLAB programs as a tool to assist in linearizing the circuit. Their linearization design must meet specific design specifications as described below. The students are to then build the linearized thermistor thermometer circuit as the first stage of the system.

The students are to design a set of resistance values for the bridge circuit that will provide the most linear response of $V_o$ as a function of $T$ over a temperature range from 0°C to 100°C.
students are reminded that resistors are manufactured to provide a fairly wide range of resistance values, but nonetheless only exist in a relative handful of values. The students are provided a table in their handout (Table I) of available resistors for their use. This limitation is intended to emphasize the realistic availability of resistors for prototype circuit work and to apply the simple rules of combining resistors in series and parallel to achieve a desired resistance value.

**Table I.** Resistors available in the lab for student use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance Value</th>
<th>10 Ω</th>
<th>15 Ω</th>
<th>47 Ω</th>
<th>100 Ω</th>
<th>150 Ω</th>
<th>270 Ω</th>
<th>330 Ω</th>
<th>470 Ω</th>
<th>510 Ω</th>
<th>680 Ω</th>
<th>820 Ω</th>
<th>1 kΩ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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<td>Unit</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>Ω</td>
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<td>Ω</td>
<td>kΩ</td>
</tr>
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Some guidance is offered to the students to help them understand a few basic techniques of linearizing circuits. By providing the design specification of a linearized circuit between the temperatures of 0°C and 100°C, it is much easier for the students to understand the importance of design constraints and to help guide them through the design process. The students are asked to consider the linearization of the circuit by calculating values of \( R_2 \), \( R_3 \), and \( R_4 \) so that the following two conditions are satisfied:

\[
V_o(0°C) = 0
\]

\[
V_o(50°C) = \frac{1}{2} V_o(100°C)
\]

These two conditions are used to essentially anchor the output voltage \( V_o \) at the origin (the zero point of voltage and temperature) when \( T = 0°C \), at the middle of a straight line at half the maximum voltage value, which is half of the temperature range of 100°C at 50°C, and at the maximum voltage for maximum temperature. A typical response for \( V_o(T) \) is shown in Figure 4a when the resistances are chosen without regard to satisfying these conditions. With properly chosen values of resistances, the more linear response illustrated in Figure 4b can be obtained. When applying these conditions to determine resistor values \( (R_2, R_3, \text{and } R_4) \), the students are reminded to use the thermistor resistance values at \( T=0°C, 50°C, \text{and } 100°C \) calculated from Equation 1.

Even with the provided design specifications, this linearization process forces the students to critically think about design techniques and developing their skills in selecting the appropriate components to satisfy the design requirements. In this case, the students have virtually two equations (Equations 2 and 3), but three unknowns \( (R_2, R_3, \text{and } R_4) \). They are directed to examine their expression for \( V_o \) as a function of \( V_i, R_T, R_2, R_3, \text{and } R_4 \) and encouraged to establish ratios between appropriate resistors to satisfy the design requirements.

The students are required to analyze the linearity of their designed circuit using their MATLAB programs. They are asked to calculate the maximum deviation in °C of their best response. That is, they are to calculate the maximum difference in °C between the linearized response using the calculated resistor values \( (R_2, R_3, \text{and } R_4) \) and the ideal linear response (straight line from 0°C to 100°C) at the particular \( V_o \) for which this maximum difference occurs. They are asked to comment on the effectiveness of the optimizing procedure they used. Once the circuit is
Figure 4. Output voltage $V_o$ response of the thermistor circuit. (a) Typical response of $V_o$ versus $T$ for the circuit in Figure 3 when no linearization procedure is used. (b) Typical response when the linearization procedure is used.

designed and meets the design requirements, the students are required to construct the circuit on a breadboard. They are instructed to use ±12 V to power the operational amplifier and to search online for LF353 pin layout of the chip.

**Week 3– Voltage Comparing Circuit and Alarm Circuit Design**

The overall objectives of the third week lab session are determine the voltages corresponding to the upper (50°C) and lower (25°C) temperature limits, design a comparing circuit to compare the voltage from the Wheatstone bridge circuit ($V_o$) with the voltage limits, and design an indicator/alarm circuit. A general schematic of a comparing circuit coupled to a summing amplifier and an LED indicator output (Figure 5) is provided to help guide the students with their design. The voltage comparing circuit (Figure 6) includes two operational amplifiers, both working as a comparator. The circuit receives the output signal ($V_o$) from the linearized Wheatstone bridge circuit containing the thermistor and compares this voltage to two voltages ($V_{UPPER}$ and $V_{LOWER}$) that correspond to the two (i.e., upper and lower) temperature limits, 50°C and 25°C, respectively. The students are instructed to pay particular attention to how $V_o$ is connected to the
Figure 5. Schematic representation of the comparison and output stages of the temperature alarm system. The three main stages are a dual operational amplifier comparator circuit, a single operational amplifier summing circuit, and an indicator/alarm circuit featuring antiparallel LEDs.

Figure 6. Schematic representation of the comparator circuit. The comparator circuit compares the output voltage $V_o$ from the linearized Wheatstone bridge circuit with the two voltages corresponding to the prescribed upper and lower temperature limits.

two comparators (inverting/noninverting input). The students are presented with a comparator circuit in which the operational amplifiers work in open-loop mode with no feedback circuits connecting the output and input terminals. Based on the lecture component of the course, the students need to analyze these operational amplifier configurations and take note of the open-loop mode, resulting in large voltages gains. The students experience the advantage of using the saturated modes of the operational amplifiers as a design technique that essentially detects the difference between the two inputs and results in a large output (saturated rail voltage, $V_S^+$ or $V_S^-$). As part of their pre-laboratory assignment, the students have to determine the input/output
relationships of the comparator components and complete a table representing the output voltage conditions corresponding to the upper and lower temperature conditions. From these calculations, students design the voltage dividers, $R_5$ and $R_6$ as well as $R_7$ and $R_8$ to deliver the appropriate $V_{\text{UPPER}}$ and $V_{\text{LOWER}}$ values, respectively, to the corresponding operational amplifier inputs.

The indicator/alarm circuit receives the outputs of the comparator, determines, and indicates the status of the temperature (Normal or Out of Range) with two LEDs (light emitting diodes) that emit green and red light. Specifically, the students are asked to design the alarm circuit to work in the following manner: when the temperature is within the range of the upper and lower limits (between 50°C and 25°C), a green LED is turned on; alternatively, when the temperature is out of the defined range, a red LED is turned on to issue an alert. This circuit is presented to the students in two parts: a summing amplifier (Figure 7) and the LED circuits (Figure 8).

![Figure 7. Summing amplifier schematic.](image)

This operational amplifier is configured to combine (sum) the output voltages from the comparators to drive the indicator/alarm circuit.

![Figure 8. Antiparallel LEDs used as the indicator/alarm circuit.](image)

The temperature status is indicated in the normal range with illumination of the green LED and out of range by the red LED. $R_{12}$ is used to limit the current through the LEDs.

The students are instructed to design the summing amplifier so that when both inputs $v_1$ and $v_2$ are low (-$Va$), the summing amplifier output voltage $V_{\text{sum}}$ should equal 12V. When one input is high (+$Va$) and the other is low (-$Va$), then $V_{\text{sum}} = 0V$. The students are asked to discuss if the input voltages from the comparators can both be high (+$Va$) at the same time. This stage of the project asks the students to again design another configuration for an operational amplifier to provide specific outputs based on three different conditions. The students are required to critically think and analyze the behavior of this summing amplifier configuration and apply some simple mathematical relationships to achieve the design goals.

The last design component of the temperature alarm project is a simple indicator circuit. The students are asked to analyze the schematic shown in Figure 8 and to select an appropriate resistor value for $R_{12}$ to limit the current through the LEDs to around 10mA. When the temperature sensed by the thermistor is within the prescribed range, $V_{\text{sum}}$ should equal approximately 12V and apply a forward bias (with respect to the applied 5V shown in Figure 8)
to the green LED for illumination. Conversely, when the sensed temperature is out of range, $V_{sum}$ should equal approximately 0V and apply a forward bias to the red LED.

**Week 4 – System Integration and Design Characterization**

The last laboratory session is provided for the students to integrate all of the stages of their system and to characterize the operation and behavior of their temperature alarm device. Up to this point, the students should already have been integrating each stage in the previous two weeks to ensure proper step-wise operation of their designs. We found that the students consistently encounter problems with their assembled circuits and have to troubleshoot. This in itself is an invaluable learning, albeit frustrating, experience for students as they have to design and conduct their own experiments to determine the source(s) of the problem(s). The instructors and laboratory assistants provide limited prompting to help guide the students in solving their own circuit problems.

Once the students successfully design, assemble, and demonstrate their temperature alarm system they are required to characterize their design. We ask students to investigate and describe the details and functionality of their designed system. They are expected to investigate all of the specifications of their design and the prototype model they built in the lab. These specifications and information should be in enough detail that would be needed for inclusion in a pre-marketing presentation to “company administration” that discusses the detailed operational functions of their system. For example, the students are expected to measure and discuss the linearity of their circuit, determine the actual temperatures the system uses to indicate in-range or out-of-range temperatures, and what voltages are used to switch the LEDs, for example. This is an open-ended characterization exercise. The students design their own characterization procedures and measure their own data.

**Student Assignments**

The central focus of pre-laboratory assignments and the final project report is to engage the students in the laboratory experience. Our intent is to guide the students in preparing for each laboratory session and impress upon them the significance of each subcomponent and the contribution to the entire system. The pre-laboratory assignments are geared to prepare the students for each laboratory session by learning the applicable new material and completing preparatory work for designing and conducting their experiments in the lab. To encourage students to continue their development of technical writing skills, students are required to submit the compilation of their design work and characterization of their temperature alarm system. Assessment of their work is based on the merit of their experimental and engineering designs, presentation of results, and overall presentation of the work. The students are also asked to reflect on their experiences and what they learned from the project.

**Learning Outcomes and Assessment**

To assess the effectiveness of this laboratory design project in teaching students the aspects of electrical engineering design using operational amplifiers, a series of summative assessment tools were used. The first is an evaluation of how well the students are able to communicate their
designs in a formal report. The second is how well the students communicate their linearization methods using MATLAB. The third is a student survey consisting of a series of self-assessment questions that ask students to rank their confidence in their ability to successfully complete the design project. The fourth is an overall look at how well the students performed at answering operational amplifier-based questions on weekly quizzes and the final exam.

Comparing and Alarm Circuits Design (ABET Outcome c)

Within the formal report, the students were instructed to communicate their design of the comparing and alarm circuits. They were required to explain the operation of the circuits, provide schematic diagrams, and clearly present their design and calculations that meet the provided specifications. Table II shows the results of the following rubric used to assess student design work within the formal design project report:

4 (Superior): The student designs successful comparing and alarm circuits that meet the design specifications, clearly articulates the operation of the circuits, provides complete and comprehensive schematics, clearly presents design solutions and calculations for the circuits, and demonstrates an understanding of the three stages of the system.

3 (Satisfactory): The student designs successful comparing and alarm circuits that meet most of the design specifications, describes the operation of the circuits, provides correct schematics, presents most of the design solutions and calculations, and demonstrates a reasonable understanding of the three stages of the system.

2 (Below Expectation): the students designs a partially successful comparing and alarm circuit system, describes in some detail the operation of the circuits, provides partial schematics, presents some of the design solutions and calculations, and demonstrates some understanding of the three stages of the system.

1 (No Progress Shown): The student is unable to design the comparing and alarm circuits and discuss the operation of the circuits with enough detail to demonstrate an understanding of the project.

Table II. Assessment outcome results for the comparing and alarm circuits design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Student demonstrates superior achievement of the outcome</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Student demonstrates satisfactory achievement of the outcome</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The student’s achievement of this outcome falls below expectations</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The student shows no significant achievement toward this outcome</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Rating 3.125

% of Samples Rated 3 or 4 78%
**Linearization Using MATLAB (ABET Outcome k)**

Within the formal report, the students were instructed to communicate their linearization methods using MATLAB with specific requirements included in their code. These requirements included the file name, brief description of the code, course number, project name, author, and date. Each function block or line of code must have descriptive comments explaining the block’s or line’s function in enough detail that a peer not familiar with the project could understand the code. Table III shows the results of the following rubric used to assess student work within the formal design project report:

4 (Superior): the student completed the MATLAB tasks. Results are very clearly displayed in graphs. The documentation of the program is clear and well organized. Comments provided are clear and sufficient.

3 (Satisfactory): the student is able to use MATLAB for engineering analysis and design. The code is generally well documented. Results are clearly displayed in graphs. Most of comments are included.

2 (Below Expectation): the student has problems with using MATLAB to analyze and design engineering systems. Results presentation is not clear. The code is not well documented. No sufficient comments are provided.

1 (No Progress Shown): the students cannot use MATLAB for engineering analysis or design.

**Table III. Assessment outcome results for student use of MATLAB for circuit linearization.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 = Student demonstrates superior achievement of the outcome</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 = Student demonstrates satisfactory achievement of the outcome</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 = The student’s achievement of this outcome falls below expectations</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 = The student shows no significant achievement toward this outcome</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Rating</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Samples Rated 3 or 4</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Student Self-Assessment Survey**

A survey on how well the students believe they are meeting the course objectives associated with the laboratory project was conducted. The survey consisted of a series of self-assessment questions that asked the students to rank their confidence in their engineering skills gained from this design laboratory experience, in areas such as their ability to use mathematical knowledge,
design experiments, conduct experiments, use MATLAB, analyze operational amplifier circuits, and to design operation amplifier-based circuits. A Likert scale survey (5-Strongly agree; 4-Agree; 3-Neutral; 2-Disagree; and 1-Strongly disagree) was conducted to collect the students’ opinions. The survey was conducted immediately following the final exam for the course. Table IV shows the results of the following survey questions:

1. The temperature alarm design project improved my ability to use mathematical knowledge to solve engineering problems.
2. The temperature alarm design project improved my ability to design experiments.
3. The temperature alarm design project improved my ability to conduct experiments.
4. The temperature alarm design project improved my MATLAB programming skills.
5. After the temperature alarm design project, I am able to analyze op-amp circuits.
6. After the temperature alarm design project, I am able to design op-amp circuits.
7. After the temperature alarm design project, I understand different applications of op-amps.
8. After the temperature alarm design project, I am able to build circuits on breadboards.
9. Finishing the temperature alarm design project gave me a sense of accomplishment.
10. The temperature alarm design project was fun and motivated me to learn.

Table IV. Temperature Alarm Project Self-Assessment Student Survey Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>% Rated 4 or Higher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weekly Quiz and Final Exam Operational Amplifier Questions

As part of the grading structure for the course, 12 quizzes (10-12 minutes each) and a final exam were administered. The two lowest scores of the twelve quizzes were dropped and not counted toward the final grade. Each quiz was worth 50 points and amounted to 4% of the final course grade. For the quizzes, the students were allowed one 3” x 5” card containing hand-written notes and equations only, no examples or worked problems. For the final exam the students were allowed two 8.5” x 11” sheets of paper for hand-written notes and equations only, no examples or worked problems. Two of the twelve quizzes focused exclusively on operational amplifiers. The final exam contained two questions on operational amplifiers counting for 4.5% of the final course grade.
The first question in the sixth quiz of the semester asked the students to analyze a non-inverting operational amplifier and calculate the output voltage across a load resistor and the current through the resistor. The second problem asked the students to design a simple operational amplifier circuit that provides a voltage gain of 151. The average score was 77% (38.5/50) \((n = 25)\) and a standard deviation of 10.6 for the 50 point total.

The seventh quiz of the semester asked the students to analyze a three-input summing amplifier for the first question. The problem provided the output voltage, two of the three input voltages, the input resistances, and the feedback resistor. The students were asked to calculate the unknown input voltage to satisfy the given output voltage. The second problem asked the students to design a difference amplifier with voltage gain of 80 that rejects a common mode signal. The average score was 93.6% (46.8/50) \((n = 28)\) and a standard deviation of 5.3 for the 50 point total.

The final exam featured an embedded Fundamentals of Engineering (FE) exam style question asking the students to analyze a three-input summing amplifier. The problem provided the output voltage, the three input voltages, the three input resistances, and the output voltage. The students were asked to calculate the unknown feedback resistor to satisfy the given conditions. This FE style question was a multiple choice problem and was scored all or nothing for the correct selection among four possible answers. The students performed very well on this question in which 31 of the 32 students (~97%) answered this question correctly.

A second question on the final exam asked the students to analyze an operational amplifier based circuit configured as a voltage follower. The students were asked to calculate the voltage across one of two resistors connected in series between the output of the follower and ground. The students had to first calculate the non-inverting input voltage from a voltage divider input stage. The students performed unexpectedly poorly for this particular problem. The average score for this problem was 52.3% (10.46/20) \((n = 32)\) and a standard deviation of 7.64 for the 20 point total.

**Discussion**

The assessment results of the temperature alarm design project presented in this paper is from the first offering of the project in its present form. Earlier versions focused more on instrumentation aspects of the thermistor-based Wheatstone bridge circuit and not the design of the comparator and alarm circuits. This laboratory project was used as the active learning element to assist the students in gaining experience in an electrical engineering-based design environment. At the same time, this project is used to teach important skills and abilities that will transfer in the core general engineering curriculum. The effectiveness of our laboratory design project is reflected in assessment of two specific outcomes (ABET outcomes c and k), a student survey, and performance results from specific quizzes and specific questions on the final exam.

The students’ ability to design the comparing and alarm circuits that meet the design specifications and clearly discuss their work was assessed in the final design project report. Based on the results presented in Table II, 78% of the students showed superior and satisfactory achievement in their design and discussion abilities. The average assessment rating, based on the
provided rubric, was 3.125 with 78% of the students scoring a 3 or 4. Our standard for reporting that the students are achieving the outcomes of the project is 75%. These results indicate the students are achieving this particular outcome. This may be interpreted as a positive indicator that our design series project approach to teaching electrical engineering-based design is successful. We are pleased with the 78% achievement of this outcome, but this is just above our standard for reporting. We will increase the emphasis in the report requirements and present the importance of clear and articulate design and presentation of design work in the laboratory reports. Our goal is to see more students demonstrate superior achievement for this outcome in subsequent offerings of the course.

The students’ ability to apply MATLAB as part of the design process to model, linearize, and analyze an operational amplifier-based Wheatstone bridge circuit was assessed in the final design project report. Based on the results presented in Table II, 75% of the students showed superior and satisfactory achievement in their ability to program MATLAB and use their M-files to model and linearize their circuits and generate appropriate, descriptive plots. The average assessment rating, based on the provided rubric, was 3.28 with 75% of the students scoring a 3 or 4. As with all our assessment in the engineering department, our standard for reporting that the students are achieving the outcomes of the project is 75%. These results indicate the students are achieving this particular outcome. We are pleased with these results, but despite stressing the importance of clearly organized and thoroughly-commented MATLAB scripts, we would like to have a higher percentage of outcome achievement. We plan to increase the emphasis in the laboratory report requirements with additional exercises the students must perform using their MATLAB code and additional engineering design analysis from the increased use.

Based on the self-reporting of students on the project survey (Table III), this laboratory series is effective in several important areas, all of which are important aspects of general engineering-based design, such as using math to solve engineering problems, designing experiments, conducting experiments, programming skills, and op-amp circuit analysis, design, and application. The survey results (29 responses from 32 enrolled students) demonstrate that, out of those students who responded, most of them expressed they achieved the laboratory objectives and are confident with their abilities. With the exception of the MATLAB question, the students responded with a 4 or 5 at a rate of 82.7% or higher for each question. Notably, the students reported with high confidence (100% agree and strongly agree responses) in their ability to build circuits on breadboards. This confidence can be transferred to other engineering subdisciplines in applying hands-on techniques to solving engineering problems. The students also reported that the project gave them a sense of accomplishment (93.1% agree and strongly agree) and that the project motivated them to learn (86.2% agree and strongly agree). This is an indication that this laboratory experience had a positive impact on the students’ ability to learn by motivation and accomplishment. On a puzzling note, the students expressed with relatively low confidence that the design project improved their MATLAB programming skills (65.5% agree and strongly agree). Some students indicated on the survey form, when asked what they liked least about the project, that MATLAB programming was the least enjoyable part of the project. However, based on the outcome assessment for the use of MATLAB in the linearization design, the students showed they achieved this outcome (75% scoring a 3 or 4 from the given rubric). Perhaps we asked the wrong question. We are thinking of changing the question to something along the lines of the use of MATLAB has increased my engineering design skills.
Student performance on solving operational amplifier-based questions on quizzes and the final exam was fairly strong. The students scored averages of 77% and 93.6% on two quizzes exclusively covering operational amplifiers. These two quizzes were taken a few weeks before the start of the laboratory-based temperature alarm design project. This indicates the students were fairly strong in operational amplifier analysis and intermediate design prior to starting the project. After the project was completed in the lab, the students encountered two more operational amplifier analysis problems on the final exam. On one FE style multiple choice question, which was scored as either correct or incorrect, asking the students to analyze a summing amplifier, 31 of the 32 students answered the question correctly. This is strong indicator the students have maintained, if not improved, their ability to work with summing amplifier circuits. On a separate question featuring two voltage divider networks separated by a voltage follower operational amplifier configuration, the student average was only 52.3%. This is a fairly disappointing result, but students mostly lost points solving the two separate voltage dividers, the bulk of the points for the problem, as there is not much to analyze in a voltage follower configuration.

The overall assessment results indicate the temperature alarm design project had a positive impact in student learning and motivation. The students are achieving specific course outcomes, performing well on quizzes and tests, and express confidence in their abilities to apply themselves as engineers. Throughout the four-week laboratory series, students gain valuable exposure to many fundamental design techniques and hands-on experience with electrical engineering bench top instrumentation. With each successive lab session, students discover new challenges in designing their circuits, building their designs, experimentation, troubleshooting, and characterization. This serves as a means to keep students engaged and interested in the laboratory and helps them develop their engineering design skills by bridging connections between the fundamental course work and the laboratory design experience.

Conclusions

Overall, the 4-week temperature alarm laboratory design project series described in this paper represents a successful approach to laboratory training of undergraduates engineering students in a general engineering degree program. The laboratory activities and the design experience influenced the students' confidence in many skill areas that are key to being successful engineers. The project provided the students with hands-on experience in electrical engineering techniques using laboratory bench equipment, prototype circuit boards, and the frustrating but extremely beneficial experience of circuit troubleshooting. This laboratory series has become an integral design-based component of the general engineering core curriculum at East Carolina University. Although there is still a great deal of improvement we would like accomplish in this series, the experience demonstrated the positive impact on student learning and the development of additional engineering design and communication skills.
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