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Introduction

Engineering graduates of today must be prepared for a lifetime of learning and adaptation. Thus, one of the goals of engineering education is to create independent, lifelong learners. This project is developing e-learning modules in support of that goal. The modules are designed to teach undergraduate engineering students about metacognition and motivation as well as strategies to improve learning. Both modules begin with an instrument (learning style inventory or motivation questionnaire), then a tutorial that gives students a first hand experience of the influence of learning style or motivation, then questions of understanding, then a tutorial about learning style or motivation strategies, and finishing with reflection questions and an evaluation of the module. The learning style module creates the “first hand experience” by asking students to learn material that is presented in different learning styles. The motivation module manipulates task value and control beliefs in its presentation of new material to learn.

The modules have been implemented in two mechanical engineering classes: a sophomore level manufacturing class and a junior level design processes class. To test the effectiveness of the modules, we compare results from a lifelong learning readiness instrument.

Data Collection

Figure 1 shows what type of data has been collected over four semesters. Student participants took two computer modules: a learning styles module and a motivation module. The Self-Directed Learning Readiness Survey (SDLRS)\(^1\) was taken pre and post as a measure of the impact of the modules. In the first semester of data collection—Spring 2012—many participants failed to complete both the pre and post SDLRS. Thus, for subsequent semesters, participants have been randomly assigned to take the SDLRS either before or after taking the modules.

Figure 1: Data collection scheme in 2\(^{nd}\) year manufacturing class and 3\(^{rd}\) year design processes class for the last four semesters
Results

The SDLRS can identify scores for four factors: viewing learning as a tool for life, self-confidence, responsibility for learning, and curiosity. Figure 2 shows average values for these four factors from the pre and post-tests. Two factors show statistically significant increases from pre to post: Self-Confidence and Responsibility.
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**Figure 2:** Pre and post-test results for lifelong learning readiness factors

Module Re-Design

Based on student evaluations of the modules, we recently made significant modifications to both modules. For example, students reported they were already familiar with their preferred learning style based on the categories of visual, auditory, tactile, and kinesthetic. In response, we replaced the Barsch learning style inventory with the Felder-Silverman one to expose students to additional less familiar aspects of learning styles. This index classifies learning styles along four dimensions: visual vs. verbal, sensing vs. intuitive, active vs. reflective, and global vs. sequential. Also, in the first version, students found the tutorial materials on topics such as osmosis, mitosis, and Punnett squares to be boring. The second version includes topics that students will find more relevant to their lives, such as food and nutrition. Figures 3 and 4 show screenshots of the revised learning style module. The visual-verbal dimension is straightforward to realize in a computer module. However, other dimensions require more imagination. For the global-sequential dimension, we target the global learning style by using hyperlinks so that participants can jump back and forth between pages. For the sequential learning style, the module presents the pages in sequential order.
The motivation module manipulates two aspects of motivation: task value and control beliefs. The goal of the module is to have students experience different motivation levels while learning a new topic. Common student comments about the module were that it was boring and too long. In response, we changed the module topics and simplified the module. For the task value portion in the revised module, the two topics are black holes and food swaps. Figure 5 shows two screenshots from this portion.
For the control beliefs portion, the two topics are seismology and nuclear fission. Figure 6 shows screenshots from this portion of the module.

Conclusions and Future Work

This project has developed two computer modules for the purpose of teaching about learning styles and motivation. An understanding of these two aspects of learning will help students become more independent and effective lifelong learners. Based on four semesters of testing, the modules do have some effect on a lifelong learning readiness measure. Based on student feedback, both modules have undergone extensive revisions and will be further tested.
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