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Introduction

Engineers have a profound impact on society and a resultant responsibility to society. Statements in codes of conduct for engineering professionals support this position. The Code of Ethics for the National Society of Professional Engineers states that “Engineers shall hold paramount the safety, health and welfare of the public.” Specific codes for mechanical engineers, electrical engineers, and chemical engineers (inter alia) clearly express an engineer’s obligation to others. One can divide these obligations as 1) obligations to society, 2) obligations to employer, 3) obligations to clients, and 4) obligations to the profession, which includes obligations to students, trainees, and colleagues. Certainly, these obligations include a requirement for technical abilities (the codes stipulate an obligation to practice only in areas of the engineer’s competence), and most engineering courses in higher education address these areas of technical strength (engineering science, engineering analysis, and engineering synthesis—or design).

Although the need for technical competence or scientific knowledge is fundamental, there is more to being a engineer. An engineer uses tools of analysis and creativity to apply scientific knowledge to social needs. Figure 1 presents a graphical representation of these domains: scientific knowledge, analysis, creativity, and societal need. The intersection is the realm of engineering practice. Not all specific engineering activities require analysis (area “C”); nor do all specific engineering activities involve creativity (area “A”), but the authors argue that all engineering activities inherently have societal impact (area “B”). Proper preparation for the practice of engineering requires not only an understanding of technical strengths but also an understanding of and appreciation for the professional obligations of engineers. The Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) recognizes the need for educating engineers whose competence includes analytical abilities, creativity, and an awareness of the social impact of engineering, as well as technical skill. Collectively, these qualifications, which should be developed and sustained by professional engineers, can be grouped under the concept of professional responsibility. ABET has expressed the need for educational programs that address those elements of the profession.
Academic institutions take several approaches in addressing the areas of professional responsibility. This paper describes the PRiME Project, **Professional Responsibility Modules in Engineering** a program developed in the College of Engineering at The University of Texas at Austin to include topics of professional responsibility in the engineering curriculum.

**Origins of the PRiME Project**

In the summer of 2004, faculty teaching Engineering Communication from five departments in the college of engineering began meeting to explore ways to improve the ways that professional responsibility is addressed in the College. The committee began by conducting a curriculum review of courses offered to undergraduate engineering students at the University of Texas and several peer institutions that include topics of ethics, technology and society, professional responsibility, and leadership. The goal of that preliminary investigation was to establish a better sense of what pedagogical materials exist in the field and what sorts of material would be helpful.

As a result of those explorations, the PRiME Project was conceived. The practical aim of the project, which is funded by the Chair for Free Enterprise, is to compile and develop web-based teaching modules that tackle the complex issues involved in training engineers to be responsible professionals, ethical leaders, and dependable contributors to society. The PRiME committee, whose members teach Engineering Communication in the College (see Table 1 for a list of members), developed modules during the fall of
2004 on several topics and piloted them in the Spring of 2005. The initial assessment of the existing modules will be completed in the summer of 2005. The committee undertook modules on five topics: *Introduction to Professional Ethics*, *Ownership of Information*, *Credibility of Sources*, *Ethical Interpersonal Communication*, and *Ethical Leadership*. Once these initial modules are evaluated and revised, the committee plans to develop additional modules.

### Table 1
Members of the PRiME Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Topics of Modules Developed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christy Moore</td>
<td>Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>Sr. Lecturer</td>
<td><em>Introduction to Professional Ethics</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ownership of Information</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillary Hart</td>
<td>Civil Engineering</td>
<td>Sr. Lecturer</td>
<td><em>Credibility of Sources</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Arcy Randall</td>
<td>Chemical Engineering</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td><em>Ownership of Information</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Credibility of Sources</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randi Voss</td>
<td>Biomedical Engineering</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td><em>Ethical Interpersonal Communication</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Carpenter</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td><em>Ethical Leadership</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Objectives of the Modules

The overall goal of the project is to compile and develop teaching materials that address the topics of leadership and professional responsibility. Although all of the modules have been developed by communication instructors and piloted in the College of Engineering’s required Engineering Communication courses, the larger goal of the project is not simply to create materials for communication courses. Effective communication is one aspect of professional competence, and communication courses are an excellent arena for critical discussion of the complex issues involved in analyzing responsible professional decisions. But it is clear that engineering professional responsibility involves more than effective communication. The long-term goal of the PRiME Project is to create modules that will include topics on the following categories which are the essential elements of professional responsibility:

- Technical competence
- Safety (health, safety, and welfare of others)
- Professional ethics
- Legal liability
- Intellectual property
- Environmental responsibility
- Quality

The initial modules undertaken by the members of the PRiME committee begin to address these topics. The modules on *Ownership of Information* and *Credibility of Sources* are the first of a series of modules on the topic of intellectual property. The committee plans to develop modules on the patent process and research ethics in addition. The modules on *Introduction to Professional Ethics* as well as the modules on *Ethical Interpersonal Communication* and *Ethical Leadership* are the first on the topic of
professional ethics. Ultimately, the committee will develop modules on the other topics listed above. For instance, a module on environmental responsibility is currently in the planning stage.

All of the modules are designed for and intended for use in engineering core courses, not just communication courses. Each module contains a variety of materials, including readings, exercises, and assignments, that can be adapted to different classes in the engineering curricula. The materials are, in fact, designed to be flexible so that they may be used in a variety of engineering courses. For instance, some of the modules offer several possible final assignments at the conclusion of the material. One of those assignments might be designed for a first-year introduction to engineering course; another would be more appropriate in a design course. Depending on the topic and the portions of the module an instructor chooses to use, each module can be completed in anywhere from one to four class periods.

The modules themselves will be supplemented by instructor’s site which will give instructors guidance on techniques for using the material in the module, PowerPoint slides to use in lectures, and lists of additional material.

The Design of the Modules

The modules are designed to guide students through an analytical evaluation of the topics, a process that is as important to professional development as the actual data provided in the content of the modules. In How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School \(^1\), Bransford, Brown, and Cocking note that the goal of educators is no longer to simply teach students how to remember and repeat information. “[T]he goal of education is better conceived as helping students develop the intellectual tools and learning strategies needed to acquire the knowledge that allows people to think productively about history, science and technology, social phenomena, mathematics, and the arts.” [How 1999] Using the theoretical model set forth in this book, Dr. Kathy Schmidt of the Faculty Innovation Center in the College of Engineering has developed a web-based format for the Challenge Cycle which was created by Bransford and colleagues. The format leads students through educational material in a sequence that will help them to develop their analytical skills. This six-step series of exercises, readings, or tasks allows students to explore complex issues in a way that engages their critical responses rather than simply drills data into their brains. Figure 2 shows the Challenge Cycle which is the design used for all the PRiME Project modules.

Each module begins with a Challenge which involves a case study or a scenario that prompts students to consider some difficulty or dilemma in engineering or professional behavior. The subsequent steps in the cycle allow students to explore their initial response to the problem, research the problem further, and ultimately demonstrate what they have learned in the process.
Description of Example Module: *Introduction to Professional Ethics*

The Challenge cycle allows students to go through the iterative steps of reflecting, investigating, analyzing, and making judgements, a process that prepares them to be responsible professionals as much as the actual information they acquire. Although the content, depth, and length of the modules differ, the actual structure is so similar that a review of one of the modules will serve to explain the function of all of the modules. The following sections demonstrate this process using one module as an example.

*Introduction to Professional Ethics* is a module designed to make students aware of the impact engineers can have on society and the codes of ethics that guide professionals. Using the 1972 Buffalo Creek flood as a case study, the module requires students to grapple with the difficult question of responsibility. The flood, which resulted in over 100 deaths and incalculable damage, occurred as a result of the failure of an impoundment dam operated by a coal mining company – Pittston Coal. It makes for an interesting case study because there is evidence that the impoundment dams had a history of design and maintenance problems and that Pittston managers withheld information from the residents of the Buffalo Creek Valley shortly before the failure of the dam.
**The Challenge.** The Challenge step (see Figure 3) in the cycle starts students off with a simple description of the flood, which was preceded by days of heavy rainfall, and the damage it caused. There is, at this point, no mention of Pittston Coal Company.

![Challenge](Image)

**Earl Benton/Charleston Daily Mail, (published February 11, 1999)**

"**Aftermath:** Many rescue crews described Buffalo Creek in February 1972 as being more like a battlefield than a flood." (from *Buffalo Creek Changed It All*)

On February 26, 1972 after heavy rains, a coal impoundment dam in West Virginia failed. The resulting flood, which was one of the worst in U.S. history, devastated the valley and destroyed the communities on Buffalo Creek. Visit one of the resources below for an introduction to the Buffalo Creek Flood. Think about what circumstances may have led to this disaster?

- Visit a pictorial introduction to the Buffalo Creek Flood
- Read an excerpt from an article on the Buffalo Creek Flood

**Continue to ‘Generate Ideas’**

Figure 3. *Introduction to Professional Ethics: The Challenge.* Instructors can use one of two possible paths on this page. One takes students to an excerpt of an article by Tom Price about the flood; the other takes them to a web site operated by the West Virginia Archive Society that describes the flood using both photographs and text.

**Generate Ideas.** The next step in the Challenge Cycle, “Generate Ideas” (see Figure 4), gives students the opportunity to record their initial reaction to learning about the disaster. Students are encouraged to generate their own ideas by offering open-ended questions about the flood, what sorts of people might have lived in a coal-mining community in West Virginia, and who or what might be held responsible for this disaster.
**Generate Ideas**

Begin by entering your and your instructor’s email:

Your email: 
Instructor’s email: 
Your Name: 

1. Take a moment to write down your initial response to the disaster you just read about.

2. What do you know about the Appalachian region and the people who live there?

---

**Figure 4. Introduction to Professional Ethics: Generate Ideas.**

This phase of the cycle asks students to respond to a series of open-ended questions.

**Gather Multiple Perspectives.** In the next step in the sequence -- “Gather Multiple Perspectives” (see Figure 5) -- students are introduced to Pittston Coal Company. This section of the module directs students to web space containing the full text of the resources that were abridged for the Challenge. Both the article by Tom Price and the West Virginia Historical Archives Society describe the problems with the impoundment dams and the questionable decisions made by Pittston management regarding disclosure to the public. This page includes a chronology of recorded problems with the impoundment dams operated by Pittston Coal, a description of impoundment dams and their design constraints, and video excerpts from interviews with survivors of the flood, mine workers, and community leaders. The question of responsibility becomes most conspicuous when Tom Bethell, former United Mine Workers of America spokesman, accuses Pittston managers of knowing that the residents of Buffalo Valley were in danger and deciding not to warn them.

**Research and Revise.** From “Gather Multiple Perspectives,” students go to “Research and Revise” (see Figure 6). In this phase of the cycle students are introduced to the ethical elements applicable to their professional practice: the concept of professional responsibility and professional codes of conduct. It is at this

---
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Figure 5. Introduction to Professional Ethics: Gather Multiple Perspectives.

In this step in the cycle, students are provided additional resources about Pittston Coal Company’s role in the disaster.

Point in the cycle that students learn about the theoretical precepts that govern professional behavior, such as the paramouncy principle. They are asked to apply such principles to this particular incident. This crucial step in the process encourages students to develop their own skills of analysis and judgment. This part of the cycle ends with an exercise asking students to consider the relationship and balance of responsibility between companies and the communities in which they are situated. The resources in Research and Revise challenge and provoke students in ways that prompt them to consider the assignment of responsibility for the consequences of the disaster.

Test Your Mettle. The penultimate step in the process – “Test Your Mettle” (see Figure 7) – gives instructors a tool to assess what students have learned so far in the module. This particular module offers students two possible tests. One is the NSPE Code of Ethics Examination, a 25-question test over the provisions of the Code of Ethics; the other is a seven-question short answer exercise that could be used either as a test or a series of discussion questions in class.
Research and Revise

1. Codes of Ethics

Many professions, including engineering, have codes of ethics providing guidelines for members of the profession. Read the NSPE Code of Ethics and think about how NSPE’s guidelines for practices and behaviors could guide engineers working at companies such as Pittston Coal Company. To learn more about the value of codes of ethics for engineers, read the following article by Michael Davis of the Center for the Study of Ethics in the Professions (Illinois Institute of Technology) Thinking Like An Engineer: The Place Of A Code Of Ethics In The Practice Of A Profession.

Watch a lecture by Dr. Steven P. Nichols of the University of Texas at Austin to find out what one engineer has to say about professional responsibility.

2. According to Dr. Nichols there are seven dimensions of Professional Responsibility. Find out more about what is involved in each dimension:
   - Health, safety, and welfare of the public
   - Professional ethics
   - Liability
   - Intellectual property
   - Environmental responsibility
   - Communications
   - Quality

Go Public. The final step in the Challenge Cycle, “Go Public” (see Figure 8) allows students to apply what they have learned. This module offers instructors four possible paths. The first, “Propose a Research Project,” is designed specifically for the Engineering Communication course in which the module was piloted. In that course, this module is used as a foundation for a semester-long research project into corporate behavior. The assignment asks students to “do some research and find an instance of another company that has faced a problem” and then propose a research project on that company and its problem. Two other options ask students to discuss the concept of professional responsibility either in an essay or in an informal presentation. These
assignments could be adapted for a variety of courses, most notably a freshman introduction to engineering course. The final assignment which asks students to evaluate the dams on Buffalo Creek could be used in a variety of technical-content courses including a design course.

Figure 8. Introduction to Professional Ethics: Go Public

Each of the assignments is designed to elicit students’ analytical responses to question of professional responsibility.

Piloting and Assessing the Modules

All of the modules developed in the fall of 2004 were piloted in two separate Engineering Communication courses by different instructors in different departments in the spring of 2005. In the fall of 2005 one of the modules will be piloted in two first-year introduction-to-engineering courses. The effectiveness of the pilots have been evaluated using assessment tools which use both qualitative and quantitative data. Although the committee understands the value of quantitative data, it also recognizes that pedagogical initiatives such as the ones undertaken by this project must be evaluated qualitatively. For the qualitative assessment, the project is using comments collected in focus groups with students from each course involved in the pilot, written evaluations of each class in the pilot completed by research assistants acting as observers, and faculty feedback forms completed by each instructor teaching any portion of a lesson. The quantitative assessment is based on an on-line, anonymous student survey developed specifically to evaluate these modules. The combination of these assessment tools will be used as the foundation for the revisions of the modules and plans for future modules.

Conclusions

As Colleges of Engineering endeavor to find effective ways to teach undergraduates the foundations of professional responsibility, administrators and faculty...
must invent tools to fit the task. The PRiME Project is one of the ways the University of Texas is meeting that challenge. The goal of the project is to offer pedagogically sound resources that will encourage students to develop their analytical skills and teach them some fundamental strategies for approaching professional responsibility. The modules that have been developed are on-line and available to all faculty within our own college as well as other colleges.
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