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The Impact of Faculty Development Workshops on Shifting Faculty Teaching Beliefs and Classroom Practice toward Student Centeredness

Introduction
JTFD (Just-in-Time-Teaching with Two Way Formative Feedback (JTF) to the Multiple Disciplinary program level) is an NSF-funded Improving Undergraduate Science Education (IUSE) project at a large southwestern university. In the present study, the JTF approach has been extended to include faculty from aerospace (AE), biomedical (BME), chemical (CHE), civil (CE), construction (CON), material science (MSE), and mechanical (ME) engineering disciplines across the lifetime of the project. This novel expansion introduces and sustains evidence-based instructional strategies (EBIS) to multiple faculty through a series of workshops throughout a 9-week training period. The JTFD project aims to introduce, sustain, and assess the use of EBIS and JTFD pedagogy to faculty to promote student centered learning within multiple engineering disciplines. The implementation of such pedagogy is analyzed to determine the way two-way formative feedback is implemented to modify classroom activities and enhance student learning. Two-way formative feedback allows students the opportunity to engage in content matter by identifying areas of reinforcement and refinement to ultimately enhance individual learning. The purpose of this paper aims to sustain a shift in faculty beliefs and classroom practice towards student-centeredness whereby facilitating professional development workshops with pairs of faculty members from multiple engineering disciplines.

Methods
This project is one in which assesses participants throughout multiple university semesters for identification of trends and sustained gain for each faculty. Cohort 1 participants consisted of 3 assistant professors, 2 associate professors, 1 professor, and 2 professors of practice. The complete project timeline is displayed in Figure 1. During the first year of this project, Cohort 1, Tier 1 Disciplinary Leader Pairs (DLPs) were trained by JTFD project faculty during a two-semester program. The former half of this program consisted of training modules and the latter half included follow-up assessments on instructor fidelity to student centered learning practices. This project follows the “train-the-trainer” model, in which the Cohort 1, Tier 1 DLPs conduct similar training to a second tier of Disciplinary Faculty Groups (DFGs) during the second year of the project, and so forth for subsequent semesters, as depicted in Figure 1. Cohort 1 faculty are from the aerospace, chemical, mechanical, and material science engineering disciplines. Cohort 2 introduces participates from the biomedical, civil, and construction engineering disciplines. Continuous, long term assessment of instructor fidelity to student-centered learning is conducted within each cohort DLP and DFG individually and as a group.

Each participant is required to attend workshops during the 9-week training period that teach evidence-based instructional strategies and implementation of JTF pedagogy. The topics that

Assessment of the implementation of these principles is conducted through the Reformed-Teaching Observation Protocol (RTOP), which is an instrument “designed to constructively critique details of classroom practice,” such as cooperative learning and interactive engagement. This instrument allows for a measure of effectiveness and faculty fidelity to student-centered teaching in the classroom. The RTOP evaluation assesses the overall lesson design and implementation of tools introduced in the training workshops. Observers are initially given a training manual and supplemental evaluations accompanied by recorded lectures in which the RTOPs were performed to learn and practice implementing the assessment instrument. Observers are trained on this 25-item evaluation tool to produce a score from 0-100, in which a traditional passive university lecture would score very low (< 20) as compared to a reformed teaching environment that implements student-centered learning strategies (>50). This evaluation tool has been used in over 400 K-20 STEM related classrooms and has been distinguished for its strong predictive validity.

The first cohort consisted of 8 JTFD faculty that underwent a cycle of 9-week training workshops to develop and sustain JTF pedagogy and EBIS within classrooms. Two evaluators were trained in RTOP measurements and participated in the evaluations. 6 total observations were performed within these instructor’s classrooms; 3 were conducted at baseline and 3 were conducted at follow up. Initial and final attitudes and beliefs towards student centered learning were recorded and assessed to attain an overall change in these metrics for each individual participant. Assessors visited the same course within the instructor’s repertoire to normalize any variance in instructional strategies between course subjects. The change in RTOP scores and RTOP gain (%) were recorded for each instructor and analyzed for overall student performance. A one-way ANOVA was used to determine if the length of time that faculty participants have been teaching influences the average RTOP score and gain (%). Based on RTOP measurement and workshop participation, the participants were categorized into high and low scoring groups. The subsequent RTOP performance was measured for significance using a one-way ANOVA. Student performance was approximated by gauging student performance before and after implementation of JTFD. The grade ratio (i.e., AB/CDEW: A’s and B’s to C’s, D’s, E’s and course withdrawals) was compared between two consecutive semesters to assess overall student performance. To assess the effectiveness of the JTF pedagogy, initial and follow up RTOP scores were compared for overall measure of student-centered learning.

Results

The RTOP measurements produced an initial baseline score of 36.6 out of 100, indicating much room for improvement across each of the participants. Among JTFD Disciplinary Pair leaders, overall minimum and maximum RTOP gain was calculated for a normal measure of performance, which resulted in values of 33.6 and 64.6 (%), respectively, compared to baseline. Gain was calculated by taking the difference between the maximum and minimum and dividing by the minimum score. Participant RTOP average gain is exhibited in Figure 2 and displays a similar increase across each instructor. The relationship between faculty time teaching and average RTOP score and gain was assessed with a one-way ANOVA, which did not display significant results.
(p=0.5595 and p=0.2900, respectively). The high and low subcategorized participant groups were compared with a one-way ANOVA, which resulted in a statistically significant difference between the RTOP scores of the groups based on workshop participation rate (%) (p=0.0124). To investigate the relationship between RTOP improvement and student performance, the outcome performance ratio (i.e., AB/CDEW: A’s and B’s to C’s, D’s, E’s and course withdrawals) was compared before and after JTFD implementation. Within these Disciplinary Pair Leaders, three of the participants were observed before and after JTFD implementation under the same course and curriculum between consecutive semesters. Two of these participants exhibited an increase in student outcome performance ratios (i.e., AB/CDEW: A’s and B’s to C’s, D’s, E’s and course withdrawals) from 0.94 and 1.00 to 1.92 and 2.20, respectively. The third JTFD participant maintained a consistent student outcome performance ratio between the two consecutive semesters.

**Discussion/Conclusion**

The goal of this paper is to display the implementation and sustainment in the shift of faculty beliefs and classroom practices towards student-centered learning across multiple engineering disciplines within a large southwestern university. Participants attended a 9-week training session that included modules to implement Just-in-Time-Teaching with Two-Way Formative Feedback (JTF) pedagogy and evidence-based instructional strategies (EBIS). The faculty fidelity to shift attitudes and beliefs towards student-centered learning were assessed with the Reformed-Teaching Observation Protocol (RTOP), in which 3 measurements were taken at both baseline and follow up. The time that participants have spent teaching did not impact the RTOP average score or gain (%) (p=0.5595 and p=0.2900, respectively), indicating that this does not limit the results reported. The faculty were divided into high and low performing groups, and the difference in gains were statistically significant, as deemed by the one-way ANOVA conducted on the data (p=0.0124). Student performance ratios were conducted for three participants that maintained the same course and curriculum between two consecutive semesters, before and after JTFD implementation. Increase in student performance corresponded to an increase in RTOP performance in two of the three participants. The third member maintained their student performance ratio. Evaluation of the RTOP evaluation tool displays a shift in engineering faculty attitudes and beliefs towards fidelity of EBIS and JTF pedagogy. Future work includes the dissemination of these evidence based instructional strategies and JTF pedagogy across a second cohort, as well as additional RTOP analysis for each participant. A broader impact of this novel study is increased student performance, engagement, and development throughout their engineering careers.
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